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Factors contributing to student nurses’/midwives’ perceived competency in spiritual care

BACKGROUND
The spiritual part of life is recognised internationally as having an important part to play in health, wellbeing and quality of life (e.g. World Health Organisation [WHO], 2002; Koenig et al., 2012) and spiritual care features within healthcare policy and guidance internationally (e.g. NICE, 2004; www.palliatief.nl). There is much debate surrounding the definition of spirituality (e.g. Pike, 2011). A recent concept analysis identifies 3 common elements; transcendence, connectedness of self/others/nature/higher power and meaning in life (Weathers and Coffey 2015). These elements also feature in the 8 domains of spirituality identified by the WHO in its international measure of spiritual wellbeing (WHO 2002) which includes: connectedness to a spiritual being or force, meaning of life, awe, wholeness and integration, spiritual strength, inner peace/serenity/harmony, hope and optimism, and faith. This definition is health focused and, therefore, of particular relevance to nursing. The Royal College of Nursing (RCN 2011b) adopts a similar definition and cites the NHS Education for Scotland’s (2009, p6) definition of spiritual care as:

‘that care which recognizes and responds to the needs of the human spirit when faced with trauma, ill health or sadness and can include the need for meaning, for self worth, to express oneself, for faith support, perhaps for rites or prayer or sacrament, or simply for a sensitive listener. Spiritual care begins with encouraging human contact in compassionate relationship, and moves in whatever direction need requires’.

Spiritual care is expected of nurses (e.g. International Council of Nurses, 2012) who should be competent in its delivery at point of registration (e.g. NMC, 2010). Spiritual care competency has been defined as the knowledge, skills and attitudes needed for delivery of spiritual care (Attard, 2015; van Leeuwen et al, 2009). Whilst spiritual care competency frameworks exist for palliative care (Marie Curie Cancer Care, 2003) and chaplaincy (NHS Education for Scotland, 2008), work has only recently begun on developing frameworks for nursing (e.g. Attard, 2015; van Leeuwen et al, 2009). Whilst the expectation is that nurses will be competent in spiritual care at point of registration, little is known about the factors that contribute to the acquisition of spiritual caring skills and spiritual care competency by student nurses/midwives. The literature highlights that spiritual care teaching and personal attributes of the nurse/midwife may be two factors.

Spiritual care teaching
Nurses overwhelmingly and consistently report the need for further education on spiritual care (Lewinson et al, 2015; RCN, 2011a). There is some evidence that educating nurses in spirituality and spiritual care results in: greater understanding of the complex nature of spirituality and spiritual care; improved interpersonal/communication skills; a more person centred approach to care; personal benefits, such as greater job satisfaction (e.g. van Leeuwen et al., 2008; Cockell and McSherry 2012; Cone and Giske 2012; Giske and Cone 2012; Cooper et al., 2013). Clinical experience may provide additional opportunities for gaining competence in spiritual care (Giske, 2012). However differences in study design, sampling and rigour in many of these studies prevented firm conclusions from being drawn.

1
Personal attributes of the nurse/midwife
There is evidence that the personal spirituality of the nurse/midwife may dictate whether or not and how spiritual care is addressed, with those claiming and practising religious/spiritual beliefs being most at ease with spiritual care (Taylor et al., 2008, van Leeuwen et al., 2008, Giske 2012), but again many of these studies were small in scale with varying methodologies and rigour. There is further evidence from other healthcare professional groups that personal beliefs and values impact upon clinical decisions about patient care. For example non-religious doctors were more likely than religious doctors to prescribe continuous sedation thus speeding up death at end of life (Seale, 2010).

Clearly further work is needed including larger samples from different countries and a sound methodology, to identify the factors contributing to student nurses/midwives attainment of spiritual care competency. In a previous paper we described how a sample of students from four countries perceived spirituality/spiritual care and their competency in giving spiritual care (Ross et al, 2014). In the current paper we further interrogate the same data to identify factors contributing to spiritual care competency.

METHOD

Aim
To explore factors contributing to undergraduate nurses’/midwives’ perceived competence in giving spiritual care.

Design
Cross-sectional, multinational, correlational survey design.

Sample
Questionnaires were distributed to a target convenience sample of 618 undergraduate nursing/midwifery students at six universities (3 religious, 3 secular) in four countries (Wales, Malta, Netherlands [3 universities], Norway) in September 2010. A response rate of 86% was achieved (n=531, range 78% [Wales] – 100 % [Netherlands]). Thus the findings can be considered to be representative of the target sample, but not necessarily of all student nurses undertaking nurse training in the countries included. Ethical approval was obtained from ethics committees within each university and external organisations as required by each country. Participation of universities and students was voluntary and anonymity and confidentiality were assured.

The sample was given verbal and written information about the study 1-2 weeks in advance of the questionnaires being administered by the authors during class time. Those not wishing to participate returned blank forms.

The sample, described in Table 1 below, included mainly female (85%) nursing students (95%) in year one of their course, aged up to 20 years (57%) and studying at secular universities (62%). Most were religious (87%, mainly Christian 80%), practised their faith/belief in a range of ways (51-60% daily/weekly), had experienced significant life events (55%) and had no previous health care experience (60%).
Data collection

Students completed five questionnaires relating to the study aims as follows.

- Purpose designed demographic questionnaire which asked questions about gender, age, educational background, religious affiliation/life view etc.

- JAREL Spiritual well-being Scale (Hungelman et al., 1996). JAREL measures spiritual wellbeing and contains 21 items (all items loaded at 0.5 or above) and 3 subscales: faith/belief; life/self responsibility; life satisfaction/self actualization. Treated as a categorical variable, JAREL measures three levels of spiritual wellbeing: low (0-50); medium (51-84) and high (85-126). JAREL was developed for nursing and includes religious and existential domains of spirituality.

- JAREL Spiritual well-being Scale (Hungelman et al., 1996). JAREL measures spiritual wellbeing and contains 21 items (all items loaded at 0.5 or above) and 3 subscales: faith/belief; life/self responsibility; life satisfaction/self actualization. Treated as a categorical variable, JAREL measures three levels of spiritual wellbeing: low (0-50); medium (51-84) and high (85-126). JAREL was developed for nursing and includes religious and existential domains of spirituality.

- Spiritual Attitude and Involvement List (SAIL, Meezenbroek et al., 2008). SAIL consists of 26 items arranged in 3 dimensions with 7 subscales: Connectedness to oneself (meaningfulness, trust, acceptance); to the environment/others (caring for others, connectedness with nature); to the transcendent (transcendent experiences, spiritual activities). Factorial, convergent and discriminant validity were demonstrated. Subscales showed adequate internal consistency and test-retest reliability. SAIL can be employed as a continuous measure ranging from 1 to 6 with higher scores indicating higher levels of spiritual attitude/involvement or it can be employed as a binary variable whereby high spiritual attitude/involvement is indicated by a SAIL score >4.

- The Spirituality & Spiritual Care Rating Scale (SSCRS, McSherry et al., 2002) measured students’ perceptions of spirituality and spiritual care. A high overall score indicates a broader view of spirituality (i.e. inclusive of both religious and existential elements) and spiritual care (i.e. facilitating religious rites/rituals as well as addressing patients’ need for meaning, value, purpose, peace and creativity). The SSCRS has demonstrated Cronbach’s alpha scores ranging from 0.64-0.84 in 42 studies in 11 countries. In previous studies the SSCRS has produced a 4 factor model including: existential spirituality (view that spirituality is concerned with peoples’ sense of meaning, purpose, value, peace and creativity i.e. items f,h,i,j,l); religiosity (view that spirituality is only about religious beliefs/practises i.e. items d,m,p); spiritual care (view of spiritual care in its broadest sense including religious and existential elements e.g. facilitating religious rituals and showing kindness i.e. items a,b,g,k,n) and personal care (taking account of peoples’ beliefs and values and dignity i.e. items n,o,q) (McSherry et al., 2002). The Scale, however, has not yet been used to intentionally explore these factors within samples.

- The Spiritual Care Competency Scale (SCCS, van Leeuwen et al., 2009) measured students’ perceptions of their competence in delivering spiritual care. It contains 27 items scored on a 5 point scale from ‘completely disagree’ to ‘completely agree’, therefore the highest possible competency score is 135 and the lowest is 27. There are 6 subscales measuring: assessment and implementation of spiritual care; professionalization and improving the quality of spiritual care; personal support and patient counselling; referral to professionals; attitude towards patients’ spirituality; communication. The
SCCS has good homogeneity, average inter-item correlations >0.25 and good test-retest reliability. It is valid and reliable (Cronbahl’s alpha domains range 0.56-0.82). The SCCS can be employed as a continuous measure of competency ranging from 1 to 5 with higher scores indicating higher levels of perceived competency or it can be employed as a binary variable whereby competency is indicated by a mean SCCS score across all questions >3.5.

**Data analysis**

Questionnaires were scored at country level and were posted by secure mail to the central analysing centre in Wales UK where the data were entered into PASW Statistics v18.

Analysis was performed to detect differences/relationships in the measures according to demographic factors. For dependent variables with scale outcomes, t-test/Mann-Whitney tests and One-Way ANOVA/Kruskal Wallace tests were used for categorical independent variables (depending upon distribution of dependent variable). Results showed that no respondents were classified as being of low spiritual wellbeing using JAREL, so this variable was treated as having a binary outcome and chi square tests were applied to investigate any association with categorical independent variables.

In order to establish the extent to which demographic factors contributed to perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS), competence (SCCS), spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL), spiritual well-being (JAREL), multiple regression analysis was performed for variables with scale outcomes, while logistic regression analysis was performed for variables with binary outcomes.

**RESULTS**

Table 2 summarises the results of the initial bivariate analysis conducted to detect differences/relationships for perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS), perceived competence (SCCS) and personal spirituality (JAREL, SAIL) according to demographic factors.

Insert Table 2

Factors contributing to spiritual care competence (SCCS)

Perceived spiritual care competence was found to be related to two main factors, namely students’ perception of spirituality and spiritual care and students' personal spirituality, as presented below.

Perception of spirituality/spiritual care

Students who perceived themselves to be competent in delivering spiritual care had higher mean SSCRscores (mean=3.59) meaning they held a broader view of spirituality/spiritual care compared to those who rated as not competent (SSCRS mean=3.49) (t=-3.088, p=0.002).

Perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS) was affected by:

- the practise of certain spiritual activities such as meditation (t=-2.370, p=0.018), never reading religious books (t= 2.836, p=0.005), art (t= -2.268, p=0.024) and rest in nature (t= -2.645, p= 0.009). Those who regularly practiced meditation, art and rest in nature had slightly higher mean SSCRs
scores compared to those who never practised while those who never practised reading a religious book had slightly higher mean SSCRS scores than those who practiced regularly. There was however no significant difference in terms of mean SSCRS scores between those who regularly practiced (daily/weekly) any of the listed activities and those who practised never/monthly (t=-1.546, p=0.123).

-whether institutions were secular or not (SSCRS, t=3.658, p<0.001). Students from religious universities had slightly lower mean SSCRS scores (mean= 3.51) compared to respondents from secular universities (mean= 3.6), indicating a slightly narrower view of spirituality/spiritual care.

-previous healthcare experience (SSCRS, t=-2.353, p=0.019). Students who reported having worked in healthcare had higher mean SSCRS scores (mean=3.6) compared to those who did not have any (mean=3.54, t=-2.353, p=0.019).

Students’ life view was not significant in how they perceived spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS, t=1.268, p=0.025).

**Personal spirituality**

Spiritual care competency (SCCS) was affected by:

-life view. Students who were religious perceived themselves to be more competent in delivering spiritual care than those who were non-religious (t=2.384, p=0.017). A higher proportion of students who were religious had high spiritual well-being scores (JAREL, \( \chi^2 (1) = 66.681, p<0.001 \)) and higher spiritual attitude/involvement scores (SAIL, t=4.201, p<0.001).

-practise of certain spiritual activities. Although the practice of all seven spiritual activities were individually related to higher SAIL scores and JAREL scores, students who regularly undertook certain spiritual practices such as prayer (t=-3.535, p<0.001), reading religious books (t=-4.326 p<0.001), attending religious meetings (t=-3.444, p=0.001), practising art (t=-2.533, p=0.012), rest in nature (t=-2.198, p= 0.029) and voluntary work (t=-1.985, p=0.048) had higher competency scores (SCCS) compared with those that did not undertake these practices.

- spiritual wellbeing (JAREL) and spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL). Students who scored high on spiritual wellbeing (score of 85-126 on JAREL) and spiritual attitude/involvement (score > 4 on SAIL) perceived themselves to be more competent (SCCS score > 3.5) in delivering spiritual care (\( \chi^2 (1)=13.019, p<0.001 \); \( \chi^2 (1)=16.713, p<0.001 \)). Students who had experienced any significant life events (SAIL, \( t(510) = 2.611, p=0.009 \)) and who had more healthcare experience (SAIL, t=-3.216, p<0.001) had higher spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL) scores.

**Predicting spiritual care competency**

Multiple regression analysis was performed to create models to predict a person’s score on SCCS (competency), SSCRS (perception of spirituality/spiritual care), SAIL (spiritual attitude/involvement) and JAREL (spiritual wellbeing) on the basis of a number of factors: age; gender; country; course (midwife or nurse); religious/secular school; prior healthcare experience; experience of life events; life view (religious v non-religious); frequency of practice of a number of religious and non-religious activities.
Competency (SCCS). Three factors explained only 5.6% (5.1% adjusted) of the variance within SCCS, F(3, 519) = 10.356, p<0.001. Being from the Netherlands rather than Malta and being a midwifery (none were registered nurses) rather than a nursing student were predictive of a higher competency score, whilst never praying rather than praying monthly was predictive of a lower competency score.

Perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS). Four factors explained only 12.7% (12.1% adjusted) of the variance within SSCR, F(4, 512) = 18.69, p<0.001. Being a midwifery student rather than a nursing student and practising art weekly rather than monthly were predictive of a broad view whereas being from the Netherlands and Wales rather than from Malta was predictive of holding a narrower view of spirituality/spiritual care.

Spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL). Seven factors explained 31.3% (30.3% adjusted) of the variance with SAIL, F(7, 470) = 30.646, p<0.001. Praying or seeking rest in nature daily or practising art weekly led to higher overall SAIL scores compared to participating in those activities on a monthly basis. Being from Norway rather than Malta and being over 41 years of age also led to higher overall scores.

Spiritual wellbeing. A logistic regression model was created to predict spiritual wellbeing (JAREL) classifications. The final model achieves 82.7% ability to predict medium or high spiritual wellbeing. Results indicate that praying daily and weekly is more likely to lead to higher spiritual wellbeing (OR 7.659, 95% CI 2.736-21.439; OR 3.186, 95% CI 1.092-9.299) compared to praying monthly and that reading religious books weekly is more likely to lead to higher spiritual wellbeing (OR 16.463, 95% CI 1.1939-139.809) compared to doing so monthly.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this paper was to identify factors contributing to student nurses’/midwives’ perceived competency in giving spiritual care. The two key factors identified in this regard were students’ perceptions of spirituality and spiritual care and students’ personal spirituality. Figure 1 summarises the main findings in order to aid the discussion.
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Perception of spirituality and spiritual care

Students who perceived themselves to be competent in spiritual care held a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care (i.e. a view inclusive of both religious and existential elements such as meaning, purpose, value, peace and creativity).

The question of why holding a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care is significant to greater perceived competency is an important one to explore. Religiosity of students did not impact on perception of spirituality/spiritual care, but the practice of certain spiritual activities such as art, meditation and seeking rest in nature but never reading religious books did. It is not clear why practise of these particular activities is important but engaging in them may be indicative of a person who is reflective and aware of the bigger picture in life and in touch with life’s broader spiritual meaning (Briggs and Lovan, 2014). Never reading religious books cannot be explained as it is out of keeping with the other
findings where reading religious books contributed positively to spiritual care competency and spiritual wellbeing.

Previous healthcare experience affected perception of spirituality with students reporting having had more experience holding a broader view. The experience of illness, suffering and death as triggers bringing the spiritual into focus is a recurring theme in the literature and has been a feature of philosophical enquiry down the ages (e.g. Jaspers and Schilpp, 1981). It may be that students who have been exposed to the suffering of others have a raised awareness of and sensitivity to spiritual concerns, both existential and transcendent, that such encounters trigger; concerns about the meaning of life, suffering and ultimately death and what lies beyond.

Whether institutions were secular or not affected perception of spirituality; students from religious universities held a slightly narrower view, possibly reflecting the ethos of those universities.

**Personal spirituality**

Students who were religious, who rated more highly on spiritual wellbeing and spiritual attitude/involvement and who practised activities related to their personal spiritual beliefs (prayer, reading religious literature, attending religious meetings, art, seeking rest in nature, doing voluntary work) perceived themselves to be highly competent in spiritual care.

The personal spirituality of students was also indirectly related to perceived competency through healthcare experience (which is related to a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care and thus to competency) with these students scoring higher on spiritual wellbeing and spiritual attitude/involvement (Figure 1). The practise of spiritual activities was also indirectly related to perceived competence: students who practised all spiritual activities had higher spiritual wellbeing and spiritual attitude/involvement scores (Table 2) which in turn is related to higher perceived competency. Those who practised certain spiritual activities (art, rest in nature, meditation, never reading religious books) held a broader view of spirituality/spiritual care and this was related to higher perceived competency (Figure 1).

These findings confirm what has long been postulated in the literature about the impact of the nurse’s core beliefs and values on the delivery of patient care (e.g. Ross, 1994; Narayanasamy, 2006; Taylor et al., 2008; van Leeuwen et al., 2008). What is not clear is whether students with a particular religious orientation perceive themselves to be most competent in delivering spiritual care to patients of a similar faith, as suggested by Narayanasamy (2006). It will be interesting to explore the impact of spirituality teaching on raising perceived competency amongst students who are not religious and in broadening students’ perceptions of spirituality/spiritual care, a factor related to higher perceived competency. This will shed further light on the debate about whether spiritual caring skills are ‘caught’ as suggested by Bradshaw (1997) or can be taught as the emerging body of evidence suggests (Attard et al., 2014, van Leeuwen et al., 2008, Cone and Giske 2012, Cooper et al., 2013).

**Predicting spiritual care competency**

An attempt to predict factors contributing to perceived spiritual care competency using a model which included the demographic factors listed in Table 2 was inconclusive. Attempts to predict perception of
spirituality/spiritual care and spiritual attitude/involvement (also related to spiritual care competency) using similar models were also inconclusive. JAREL’s 82.7% ability to predict medium or high spiritual wellbeing on the basis of praying daily and weekly and reading religious books weekly may suggest that the JAREL scale is more appropriate for use with samples who claim religious affiliation because of the more religiously focused questions in the faith/belief subscale.

However, the practise of spiritual activities contributed to the small percentage of variance in all four regression models suggesting that the practise of students’ beliefs/values may be worthy of further exploration in relation to spiritual care competency, possibly using different models. The country students were from also featured in three of the four models (not JAREL) as well as impacting on all study measures (Table 1) but could not be explained by whether universities were secular or religious or by whether the students studying at them were religious or not. Different educational input between countries is unlikely to explain any differences as students had just began their studies. Other factors, possibly related to culture, may be at play and requires further investigation.

Limitations
Our study is limited by its sample size and homogeneity. Many of the effects shown in this study are fairly subtle and care must be taken when interpreting their clinical significance. A larger sample with greater heterogeneity may produce stronger and clearer associations in study measures. The inclusion of four countries did not produce diversity of life view (the majority of the sample was Christian) therefore exploration of the effect of cultural factors on study outcomes was limited. Although we obtained a high response rate (86%) it is possible that the exclusion of non-respondents introduced some bias. We captured students’ perceptions at one point in time only. We measured perceived spiritual care competency, not actual competency for which there is currently no available measure.

CONCLUSION
We identified that the student’s personal spirituality, both in terms of their personal beliefs/values and how they practise these is directly related to perceived spiritual care competency. This finding backs up what has long been suspected in the literature and recently by studies which have shown that own spirituality served as the strongest predictor of perceived ability to provide spiritual care (e.g. Meyer, 2003; Mitchell et al., 2006). A new finding not previously identified in the literature is that viewing spirituality/spiritual care broadly (i.e. not just in religious terms) is also directly related to perceived spiritual care competency. This is an important finding because it suggests that students who have this broad perspective will be more aware of the full range of spiritual concerns that the patients/clients they care for may present with. The challenge for nurse/midwifery educators is how they might enhance spiritual care competency in students who are not religious and how they might encourage students who hold a narrow view of spirituality/spiritual care to broaden their perspective to be inclusive of all faith and no faith backgrounds.

Previous healthcare experience did not feature as prominently in our results as we had predicted, but we only included first year students. It was, however, related to a broader view of spirituality/spiritual care and to higher spiritual attitude/involvement scores, so clinical placements may foster students’ spiritual awareness, as suggested by Giske and Cone (2012).
This study has shown that students' beliefs/values and how they practise these impacts on their perceived spiritual care competency. How broadly they view spirituality has a similar impact. Further investigation is needed to identify the complex range of factors contributing to spiritual care competence over the duration of undergraduate nurse/midwifery education programmes in a more culturally diverse sample. This knowledge is important to educators in developing evidence based education programmes to equip nursing/midwifery students to become competent in the delivery of spiritual care at point of registration as per regulatory body requirements. Such a study is in progress involving 21 universities in 8 countries.
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Factors contributing to student nurses’/midwives’ perceived competency in spiritual care

BACKGROUND
The spiritual part of life is recognised internationally as having an important part to play in health, wellbeing and quality of life (e.g. World Health Organisation [WHO], 2002; Koenig et al., 2012) and spiritual care features within healthcare policy and guidance internationally (e.g. NICE, 2004; www.palliatief.nl). There is much debate surrounding the definition of spirituality (e.g. Pike, 2011). A recent concept analysis identifies 3 common elements; transcendence, connectedness of self/others/nature/higher power and meaning in life (Weathers and Coffey 2015). These elements also feature in the 8 domains of spirituality identified by the WHO in its international measure of spiritual wellbeing (WHO 2002) which includes: connectedness to a spiritual being or force, meaning of life, awe, wholeness and integration, spiritual strength, inner peace/serenity/harmony, hope and optimism, and faith. This definition is health focused and, therefore, of particular relevance to nursing. The Royal College of Nursing (RCN 2011b) adopts a similar definition and cites the NHS Education for Scotland’s (2009, p6) definition of spiritual care as:

‘that care which recognizes and responds to the needs of the human spirit when faced with trauma, ill health or sadness and can include the need for meaning, for self worth, to express oneself, for faith support, perhaps for rites or prayer or sacrament, or simply for a sensitive listener. Spiritual care begins with encouraging human contact in compassionate relationship, and moves in whatever direction need requires’.

Spiritual care is expected of nurses (e.g. International Council of Nurses, 2012) who should be competent in its delivery at point of registration (e.g. NMC, 2010). Spiritual care competency has been defined as the knowledge, skills and attitudes needed for delivery of spiritual care (Attard, 2015; van Leeuwen et al, 2009). Whilst spiritual care competency frameworks exist for palliative care (Marie Curie Cancer Care, 2003) and chaplaincy (NHS Education for Scotland, 2008), work has only recently begun on developing frameworks for nursing (e.g. Attard, 2015; van Leeuwen et al, 2009). Whilst the expectation is that nurses will be competent in spiritual care at point of registration, little is known about the factors that contribute to the acquisition of spiritual caring skills and spiritual care competency by student nurses/midwives. The literature highlights that spiritual care teaching and personal attributes of the nurse/midwife may be two factors.

Spiritual care teaching
Nurses overwhelmingly and consistently report the need for further education on spiritual care (Lewinson et al, 2015; RCN, 2011a). There is some evidence that educating nurses in spirituality and spiritual care results in: greater understanding of the complex nature of spirituality and spiritual care; improved interpersonal/communication skills; a more person centred approach to care; personal benefits, such as greater job satisfaction (e.g. van Leeuwen et al., 2008; Cockell and McSherry 2012; Cone and Giske 2012; Giske and Cone 2012; Cooper et al., 2013). Clinical experience may provide additional opportunities for gaining competence in spiritual care (Giske, 2012). However differences in study design, sampling and rigour in many of these studies prevented firm conclusions from being drawn.
Personal attributes of the nurse/midwife

There is evidence that the personal spirituality of the nurse/midwife may dictate whether or not and how spiritual care is addressed, with those claiming and practising religious/spiritual beliefs being most at ease with spiritual care (Taylor et al., 2008, van Leeuwen et al., 2008, Giske 2012), but again many of these studies were small in scale with varying methodologies and rigour. There is further evidence from other healthcare professional groups that personal beliefs and values impact upon clinical decisions about patient care. For example non-religious doctors were more likely than religious doctors to prescribe continuous sedation thus speeding up death at end of life (Seale, 2010).

Clearly further work is needed including larger samples from different countries and a sound methodology, to identify the factors contributing to student nurses/midwives attainment of spiritual care competency. In a previous paper we described how a sample of students from four countries perceived spirituality/spiritual care and their competency in giving spiritual care (Ross et al, 2014). In the current paper we further interrogate the same data to identify factors contributing to spiritual care competency.

METHOD

Aim
To explore factors contributing to undergraduate nurses’/midwives’ perceived competence in giving spiritual care.

Design
Cross-sectional, multinational, correlational survey design.

Sample
Questionnaires were distributed to a target convenience sample of 618 undergraduate nursing/midwifery students at six universities (3 religious, 3 secular) in four countries (Wales, Malta, Netherlands [3 universities], Norway) in September 2010. A response rate of 86% was achieved (n=531, range 78% [Wales] – 100 % [Netherlands]). Thus the findings can be considered to be representative of the target sample, but not necessarily of all student nurses undertaking nurse training in the countries included. Ethical approval was obtained from ethics committees within each university and external organisations as required by each country. Participation of universities and students was voluntary and anonymity and confidentiality were assured.

The sample was given verbal and written information about the study 1-2 weeks in advance of the questionnaires being administered by the authors during class time. Those not wishing to participate returned blank forms.

The sample, described in Table 1 below, included mainly female (85%) nursing students (95%) in year one of their course, aged up to 20 years (57%) and studying at secular universities (62%). Most were religious (87%, mainly Christian 80%), practised their faith/belief in a range of ways (51-60% daily/weekly), had experienced significant life events (55%) and had no previous health care experience (60%).
Data collection
Students completed five questionnaires relating to the study aims as follows.

- Purpose designed demographic questionnaire which asked questions about gender, age, educational background, religious affiliation/life view etc.

- JAREL Spiritual well-being Scale (Hungelman et al., 1996). JAREL measures spiritual wellbeing and contains 21 items (all items loaded at 0.5 or above) and 3 subscales: faith/belief; life/self responsibility; life satisfaction/self actualization. Treated as a categorical variable, JAREL measures three levels of spiritual wellbeing: low (0-50); medium (51-84) and high (85-126). JAREL was developed for nursing and includes religious and existential domains of spirituality.

- Spiritual Attitude and Involvement List (SAIL, Meezenbroek et al., 2008). SAIL consists of 26 items arranged in 3 dimensions with 7 subscales: Connectedness to oneself (meaningfulness, trust, acceptance); to the environment/others (caring for others, connectedness with nature); to the transcendent (transcendent experiences, spiritual activities). Factorial, convergent and discriminant validity were demonstrated. Subscales showed adequate internal consistency and test-retest reliability. SAIL can be employed as a continuous measure ranging from 1 to 6 with higher scores indicating higher levels of spiritual attitude/involvement or it can be employed as a binary variable whereby high spiritual attitude/involvement is indicated by a SAIL score >4.

- The Spirituality & Spiritual Care Rating Scale (SSCRS, McSherry et al., 2002) measured students’ perceptions of spirituality and spiritual care. A high overall score indicates a broader view of spirituality (i.e. inclusive of both religious and existential elements) and spiritual care (i.e. facilitating religious rites/rituals as well as addressing patients’ need for meaning, value, purpose, peace and creativity). The SSCRS has demonstrated Cronbach’s alpha scores ranging from 0.64-0.84 in 42 studies in 11 countries. In previous studies the SSCRS has produced a 4 factor model including: existential spirituality (view that spirituality is concerned with peoples’ sense of meaning, purpose, value, peace and creativity i.e. items f,h,i,j,l); religiosity (view that spirituality is only about religious beliefs/practises i.e. items d,m,p); spiritual care (view of spiritual care in its broadest sense including religious and existential elements e.g. facilitating religious rituals and showing kindness i.e. items a,b,g,k,n) and personal care (taking account of peoples’ beliefs and values and dignity i.e. items n,o,q) (McSherry et al., 2002). The Scale, however, has not yet been used to intentionally explore these factors within samples.

- The Spiritual Care Competency Scale (SCCS, van Leeuwen et al., 2009) measured students’ perceptions of their competence in delivering spiritual care. It contains 27 items scored on a 5 point scale from ‘completely disagree’ to ‘completely agree’, therefore the highest possible competency score is 135 and the lowest is 27. There are 6 subscales measuring: assessment and implementation of spiritual care; professionalization and improving the quality of spiritual care; personal support and patient counselling; referral to professionals; attitude towards patients’ spirituality; communication.
SCCS has good homogeneity, average inter-item correlations >0.25 and good test-retest reliability. It is valid and reliable (Cronbah’s alpha domains range 0.56-0.82). The SCCS can be employed as a continuous measure of competency ranging from 1 to 5 with higher scores indicating higher levels of perceived competency or it can be employed as a binary variable whereby competency is indicated by a mean SCCS score across all questions >3.5.

Data analysis
Questionnaires were scored at country level and were posted by secure mail to the central analysing centre in Wales UK where the data were entered into PASW Statistics v18.

Analysis was performed to detect differences/relationships in the measures according to demographic factors. For dependent variables with scale outcomes, t-test/Mann-Whitney tests and One-Way ANOVA/Kruskal Wallace tests were used for categorical independent variables (depending upon distribution of dependent variable). Results showed that no respondents were classified as being of low spiritual wellbeing using JAREL, so this variable was treated as having a binary outcome and chi square tests were applied to investigate any association with categorical independent variables.

In order to establish the extent to which demographic factors contributed to perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS), competence (SCCS), spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL), spiritual well-being (JAREL), multiple regression analysis was performed for variables with scale outcomes, while logistic regression analysis was performed for variables with binary outcomes.

RESULTS
Table 2 summarises the results of the initial bivariate analysis conducted to detect differences/relationships for perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS), perceived competence (SCCS) and personal spirituality (JAREL, SAIL) according to demographic factors.
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Factors contributing to spiritual care competence (SCCS)
Perceived spiritual care competence was found to be related to two main factors, namely students’ perception of spirituality and spiritual care and students’ personal spirituality, as presented below.

Perception of spirituality/spiritual care
Students who perceived themselves to be competent in delivering spiritual care had higher mean SSCRs scores (mean=3.59) meaning they held a broader view of spirituality/spiritual care compared to those who rated as not competent (SSCRs mean=3.49) (t=-3.088, p=0.002).

Perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS) was affected by:
- the practise of certain spiritual activities such as meditation (t=-2.370, p=0.018), never reading religious books (t= 2.836, p=0.005), art (t= -2.268, p=0.024) and rest in nature (t= -2.645, p= 0.009).
Those who regularly practiced meditation, art and rest in nature had slightly higher mean SSCRs
scores compared to those who never practised while those who never practised reading a religious book had slightly higher mean SSSRS scores than those who practiced regularly. There was however no significant difference in terms of mean SSSRS scores between those who regularly practiced (daily/weekly) any of the listed activities and those who practised never/monthly (t=-1.546, p=0.123).

-whether institutions were secular or not (SSCRS, t=3.658, p<0.001). Students from religious universities had slightly lower mean SSSRS scores (mean= 3.51) compared to respondents from secular universities (mean= 3.6), indicating a slightly narrower view of spirituality/spiritual care.

-previous healthcare experience (SSCRS, t=-2.353, p=0.019). Students who reported having worked in healthcare had higher mean SSSRS scores (mean=3.6) compared to those who did not have any (mean=3.54, t=-2.353, p=0.019).

Students’ life view was not significant in how they perceived spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS, t=1.268, p=0.025).

**Personal spirituality**

Spiritual care competency (SCCS) was affected by:

-life view. Students who were religious perceived themselves to be more competent in delivering spiritual care than those who were non-religious (t=2.384, p= 0.017). A higher proportion of students who were religious had high spiritual well-being scores (JAREL, χ² (1) = 66.681, p<0.001) and higher spiritual attitude/involvement scores (SAIL, t=4.201, p<0.001).

-practise of certain spiritual activities. Although the practice of all seven spiritual activities were individually related to higher SAIL scores and JAREL scores, students who regularly undertook certain spiritual practices such as prayer (t=-3.535, p<0.001), reading religious books (t=-4.326 p<0.001), attending religious meetings (t=-3.444, p=0.001), practising art (t=-2.533, p= 0.012), rest in nature (t=-2.198, p= 0.029) and voluntary work (t=-1.985, p=0.048) had higher competency scores (SCCS) compared with those that did not undertake these practices.

-spiritual wellbeing (JAREL) and spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL). Students who scored high on spiritual wellbeing (score of 85-126 on JAREL) and spiritual attitude/involvement (score > 4 on SAIL) perceived themselves to be more competent (SCCS score > 3.5) in delivering spiritual care (χ² (1)=13.019, p<0.001; χ² (1)=16.713, p<0.001). Students who had experienced any significant life events (SAIL, t(510) = 2.611, p=0.009) and who had more healthcare experience (SAIL, t=-3.216, p<0.001) had higher spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL) scores.

**Predicting spiritual care competency**

Multiple regression analysis was performed to create models to predict a person’s score on SCCS (competency), SSSRS (perception of spirituality/spiritual care), SAIL (spiritual attitude/involvement) and JAREL (spiritual wellbeing) on the basis of a number of factors: age; gender; country; course (midwife or nurse); religious/secular school; prior healthcare experience; experience of life events; life view (religious v non-religious); frequency of practice of a number of religious and non-religious activities.
**Competency (SCCS).** Three factors explained only 5.6% (5.1% adjusted) of the variance within SCCS, \(F(3, 519) = 10.356, p<0.001\). Being from the Netherlands rather than Malta and being a midwifery (none were registered nurses) rather than a nursing student were predictive of a higher competency score, whilst never praying rather than praying monthly was predictive of a lower competency score.

**Perception of spirituality/spiritual care (SSCRS).** Four factors explained only 12.7% (12.1% adjusted) of the variance within SSCRs, \(F(4, 512) = 18.69, p<0.001\). Being a midwifery student rather than a nursing student and practising art weekly rather than monthly were predictive of a broad view whereas being from the Netherlands and Wales rather than from Malta was predictive of holding a narrower view of spirituality/spiritual care.

**Spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL).** Seven factors explained 31.3% (30.3% adjusted) of the variance with SAIL, \(F(7, 470) = 30.646, p<0.001\). Praying or seeking rest in nature daily or practising art weekly led to higher overall SAIL scores compared to participating in those activities on a monthly basis. Being from Norway rather than Malta and being over 41 years of age also led to higher overall scores.

**Spiritual wellbeing.** A logistic regression model was created to predict spiritual wellbeing (JAREL) classifications. The final model achieves 82.7% ability to predict medium or high spiritual wellbeing. Results indicate that praying daily and weekly is more likely to lead to higher spiritual wellbeing (OR 7.659, 95% CI 2.736-21.439; OR 3.186, 95% CI 1.092-9.299) compared to praying monthly and that reading religious books weekly is more likely to lead to higher spiritual wellbeing (OR 16.463, 95% CI 1.1939-139.809) compared to doing so monthly.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this paper was to identify factors contributing to student nurses’/midwives’ perceived competency in giving spiritual care. The two key factors identified in this regard were students’ perceptions of spirituality and spiritual care and students’ personal spirituality. Figure 1 summarises the main findings in order to aid the discussion.
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**Perception of spirituality and spiritual care**

Students who perceived themselves to be competent in spiritual care held a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care (i.e. a view inclusive of both religious and existential elements such as meaning, purpose, value, peace and creativity).

The question of why holding a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care is significant to greater perceived competency is an important one to explore. Religiosity of students did not impact on perception of spirituality/spiritual care, but the practice of certain spiritual activities such as art, meditation and seeking rest in nature but never reading religious books did. It is not clear why practise of these particular activities is important but engaging in them may be indicative of a person who is reflective and aware of the bigger picture in life and in touch with life’s broader spiritual meaning (Briggs and Lovan, 2014). Never reading religious books cannot be explained as it is out of keeping with the other
findings where reading religious books contributed positively to spiritual care competency and spiritual wellbeing.

Previous healthcare experience affected perception of spirituality with students reporting having had more experience holding a broader view. The experience of illness, suffering and death as triggers bringing the spiritual into focus is a recurring theme in the literature and has been a feature of philosophical enquiry down the ages (e.g. Jaspers and Schilpp, 1981). It may be that students who have been exposed to the suffering of others have a raised awareness of and sensitivity to spiritual concerns, both existential and transcendent, that such encounters trigger; concerns about the meaning of life, suffering and ultimately death and what lies beyond.

Whether institutions were secular or not affected perception of spirituality; students from religious universities held a slightly narrower view, possibly reflecting the ethos of those universities.

**Personal spirituality**
Students who were religious, who rated more highly on spiritual wellbeing and spiritual attitude/involvement and who practised activities related to their personal spiritual beliefs (prayer, reading religious literature, attending religious meetings, art, seeking rest in nature, doing voluntary work) perceived themselves to be highly competent in spiritual care.

The personal spirituality of students was also indirectly related to perceived competency through healthcare experience (which is related to a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care and thus to competency) with these students scoring higher on spiritual wellbeing and spiritual attitude/involvement (Figure 1). The practise of spiritual activities was also indirectly related to perceived competence: students who practised all spiritual activities had higher spiritual wellbeing and spiritual attitude/involvement scores (Table 2) which in turn is related to higher perceived competency. Those who practised certain spiritual activities (art, rest in nature, meditation, never reading religious books) held a broader view of spirituality/spiritual care and this was related to higher perceived competency (Figure 1).

These findings confirm what has long been postulated in the literature about the impact of the nurse’s core beliefs and values on the delivery of patient care (e.g. Ross, 1994; Narayanasamy, 2006; Taylor et al., 2008; van Leeuwen et al., 2008). What is not clear is whether students with a particular religious orientation perceive themselves to be most competent in delivering spiritual care to patients of a similar faith, as suggested by Narayanasamy (2006). It will be interesting to explore the impact of spirituality teaching on raising perceived competency amongst students who are not religious and in broadening students’ perceptions of spirituality/spiritual care, a factor related to higher perceived competency. This will shed further light on the debate about whether spiritual caring skills are ‘caught’ as suggested by Bradshaw (1997) or can be taught as the emerging body of evidence suggests (Attard et al., 2014, van Leeuwen et al., 2008, Cone and Giske 2012, Cooper et al., 2013).

**Predicting spiritual care competency**
An attempt to predict factors contributing to perceived spiritual care competency using a model which included the demographic factors listed in Table 2 was inconclusive. Attempts to predict perception of
spirituality/spiritual care and spiritual attitude/involvement (also related to spiritual care competency) using similar models were also inconclusive. JAREL’s 82.7% ability to predict medium or high spiritual wellbeing on the basis of praying daily and weekly and reading religious books weekly may suggest that the JAREL scale is more appropriate for use with samples who claim religious affiliation because of the more religiously focused questions in the faith/belief subscale.

However, the practise of spiritual activities contributed to the small percentage of variance in all four regression models suggesting that the practise of students’ beliefs/values may be worthy of further exploration in relation to spiritual care competency, possibly using different models. The country students were from also featured in three of the four models (not JAREL) as well as impacting on all study measures (Table 1) but could not be explained by whether universities were secular or religious or by whether the students studying at them were religious or not. Different educational input between countries is unlikely to explain any differences as students had just began their studies. Other factors, possibly related to culture, may be at play and requires further investigation.

Limitations
Our study is limited by its sample size and homogeneity. Many of the effects shown in this study are fairly subtle and care must be taken when interpreting their clinical significance. A larger sample with greater heterogeneity may produce stronger and clearer associations in study measures. The inclusion of four countries did not produce diversity of life view (the majority of the sample was Christian) therefore exploration of the effect of cultural factors on study outcomes was limited. Although we obtained a high response rate (86%) it is possible that the exclusion of non-respondents introduced some bias. We captured students’ perceptions at one point in time only. We measured perceived spiritual care competency, not actual competency for which there is currently no available measure.

CONCLUSION
We identified that the student’s personal spirituality, both in terms of their personal beliefs/values and how they practise these is directly related to perceived spiritual care competency. This finding backs up what has long been suspected in the literature and recently by studies which have shown that own spirituality served as the strongest predictor of perceived ability to provide spiritual care (e.g. Meyer, 2003; Mitchell et al., 2006). A new finding not previously identified in the literature is that viewing spirituality/spiritual care broadly (i.e. not just in religious terms) is also directly related to perceived spiritual care competency. This is an important finding because it suggests that students who have this broad perspective will be more aware of the full range of spiritual concerns that the patients/clients they care for may present with. The challenge for nurse/midwifery educators is how they might enhance spiritual care competency in students who are not religious and how they might encourage students who hold a narrow view of spirituality/spiritual care to broaden their perspective to be inclusive of all faith and no faith backgrounds.

Previous healthcare experience did not feature as prominently in our results as we had predicted, but we only included first year students. It was, however, related to a broader view of spirituality/spiritual care and to higher spiritual attitude/involvement scores, so clinical placements may foster students’ spiritual awareness, as suggested by Giske and Cone (2012).
This study has shown that students' beliefs/values and how they practise these impacts on their perceived spiritual care competency. How broadly they view spirituality has a similar impact. Further investigation is needed to identify the complex range of factors contributing to spiritual care competence over the duration of undergraduate nurse/midwifery education programmes in a more culturally diverse sample. This knowledge is important to educators in developing evidence based education programmes to equip nursing/midwifery students to become competent in the delivery of spiritual care at point of registration as per regulatory body requirements. Such a study is in progress involving 21 universities in 8 countries.
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# Table 1: Description of the sample (n=531)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>% (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (n=531)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>85.1 (450)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14.9 (79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (n=529)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 20</td>
<td>57.1 (302)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>28.8 (152)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 and over</td>
<td>14.2 (75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of course (n=531)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>94.7 (503)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwifery</td>
<td>5.3 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of University (n=531)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secular</td>
<td>61.8 (328)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious</td>
<td>38.2 (203)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare Experience (n=519)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>59.9 (311)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40.1 (208)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life View (n=519)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian*</td>
<td>80.1 (416)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atheist</td>
<td>5.8 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanist</td>
<td>3.0 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnostic</td>
<td>1.1 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim*</td>
<td>0.6 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish*</td>
<td>0.4 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist*</td>
<td>0.2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu*</td>
<td>0.2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek Orthodox*</td>
<td>0.2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9.6 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life View (n=487)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious (those marked *)</td>
<td>87.1 (424)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-religious</td>
<td>12.9 (63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Event (n=514)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>55.3 (284)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>44.7 (230)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Event (n=217)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>17.1 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>82.9 (180)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practice prayer (n=525)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>31.2 (164)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily/Weekly</td>
<td>60.0 (315)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>8.8 (46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practice meditation (n=500)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>70.4 (353)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily/Weekly</td>
<td>20.8 (104)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>8.8 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practice reading religious book (n=521)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>55.1 (287)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily/Weekly</td>
<td>36.1 (188)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>8.8 (46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practice religious meeting (n=515)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>34.4 (177)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily/Weekly</td>
<td>50.9 (262)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>14.8 (76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Practice art (n=517)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>48.0 (248)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily/Weekly</td>
<td>36.0 (186)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>16.1 (83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice activity</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice rest in nature (n=518)</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=518)</td>
<td>(161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice voluntary work (n=515)</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=515)</td>
<td>(311)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: Not all students completed all questions within all measures, therefore the numbers presented do not always add up to 531.
For example, there is a statistically significant difference between people with religious or non-religious life views in terms of SCCS score \((p=0.017^*)\). However, there is no difference between people who have experienced a life event in terms of SCCS score \((p=0.402)\).
Figure 1: Factors related to perceived spiritual care competency

- Holding a broad view of spirituality/spiritual care ($p=0.002$)
- Personal Spirituality
  - Being religious ($p=0.017$)
  - Practise of spiritual activities: art ($p=0.012$), rest in nature ($p=0.029$), prayer ($p<0.001$), reading religious books ($p<0.001$), attending religious meetings ($p=0.001$), voluntary work ($p=0.048$) but not meditation ($p=0.423$)
  - Having higher spiritual wellbeing (JAREL, $p<0.001$) and spiritual attitude/involvement (SAIL, $p<0.001$)

Exploring this further

- Students who held a broad view:
  - Practised meditation ($p=0.018$), art ($p=0.024$), rest in nature ($p=0.009$) but never read religious books ($p=0.005$)
  - Had healthcare experience ($p=0.019$, these students also had higher SAIL scores)
  - Were from secular universities ($p<0.001$)

NB: Religiosity was not related to perception of spirituality/spiritual care ($p=0.228$)
Research Highlights

Students reporting higher perceived spiritual care competency:

- were religious
- practised religious/spiritual activities
- reported high spiritual wellbeing (measured by JAREL) and high spiritual attitude/involvement (measured by SAIL)
- viewed spirituality and spiritual care broadly, not just in religious terms.