1	
2	
3	
4	One case, four approaches: The application of psychotherapeutic approaches in sport
5	psychology.
6	Turner, M. J*a., Aspin, G <sup>b</sup> ., Didymus, F. F <sup>c</sup> ., Mack, R <sup>d</sup> ., Olusoga, P <sup>e</sup> ., Wood, A. G <sup>a</sup> ., and
7	Bennett, R. <sup>f</sup>
8	
9	Submitted: 12 <sup>th</sup> June, 2019
10	Resubmission: 9th August 2019
11	2 <sup>nd</sup> resubmission: 11 <sup>th</sup> September 2019
12	Accepted in The Sport Psychologist: 11th October
13	
14	<sup>a</sup> School of Life Science and Education, Staffordshire University
15	<sup>b</sup> GCApsychology, Manchester
16	<sup>c</sup> Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University
17	<sup>d</sup> Centre for Sport and Exercise Science; Sheffield Hallam University
18	<sup>e</sup> Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University
19	<sup>f</sup> School of Psychology, University of Birmingham
20	
21	
22	*corresponding author: Sport and Exercise, School of Life Science and Education,
23	Staffordshire University, Brindley Building, Leek Road, Stoke on Trent, ST4 2DF
24	
25	

## Abstract

Sport and exercise psychology practitioners tasked with service provision within any environment can decide which framework(s) they draw upon to inform their applied work. However, the similarities and differences between psychotherapeutic approaches are under represented in current literature. Therefore, this paper brings together practitioners from four dominant psychotherapeutic approaches to address one specific hypothetical case. Four different cognitive behavioral approaches are outlined, namely rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT), cognitive therapy (CT), schema therapy (ST), and acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT). Each practitioner outlines their approach and proceeds to address the case by covering assessment, intervention and evaluation strategies that are specific to their approach. Similarities and differences across the approaches are discussed and implications for practice are put forth. Finally, two further practitioners introduce motivational interviewing (MI) as an additional framework to foster the working alliance. *Keywords:* CBT; applied sport psychology; soccer; counselling; philosophy of practice 

1

2

One case, four approaches: The application of psychotherapeutic approaches in sport psychology

3 A practitioner tasked with the provision of sport psychology usually has an 4 opportunity to decide which framework(s) they draw on to inform applied work. Applied 5 sport psychology historically draws mostly from cognitive-behavioral approaches to 6 psychotherapy. Known as 'the Canon' (Andersen, 2009), the most prevalently reported techniques are imagery, relaxation, goal setting, and self-talk. All four have obvious roots in 7 8 cognitive behavioral therapies (CBTs) but rarely are the origins of these techniques 9 recognized in sport psychology literature. Also, these techniques are often used in isolation as 10 'mental skills' and in absence of full psychotherapeutic procedures. Therefore, there is a 11 dearth of information in published literature concerning the use of CBTs with athletes 12 (McArdle & Moore, 2012).

13 One common misconception is that CBT represents one specific approach. In 14 contrast, CBT is an umbrella term that captures a family of therapies predicated on the 15 blending of cognitive- and behavior-based elements (Bennett & Oliver, 2019). This includes 16 rational emotive behavior therapy (REBT; Ellis, 1957), cognitive therapy (CT; Beck, 1976), schema therapy (ST; Young, Klosko, & Weishaar, 2003), and acceptance and commitment 17 18 therapy (ACT; Hayes, Stroshl, & Wilson, 2012), amongst other approaches. Research in sport 19 has found support for many of these approaches (REBT: Turner, 2016a; CT: Didymus & 20 Fletcher, 2017; ACT: Gardner & Moore, 2012). With so many approaches all under the CBT 21 umbrella, practitioners are challenged to train in, and adopt, one or more (or none) of these approaches. This is challenging because differences between the CBTs are not always clear, 22 23 and there is limited professional practice literature that deals with the explicit usage of 24 different CBTs. The main aim of the current paper is to address a hypothetical case (Jordan) using four dominant psychotherapeutic approaches, to encourage some discussion about 25

1 therapeutic training in sport and exercise psychology.

2 With the above aim in mind, this paper brings together four practitioners with 3 expertise in four dominant psychotherapeutic approaches, namely REBT, CT, ST, and ACT 4 (CBTs), to address one case. The four approaches were selected because they represent both 5 second wave (REBT, CT) and third wave (ACT, ST) CBTs, which share some 6 characterisites, but also fundamentally differ. In addition, REBT, CT, and ACT are 7 particularly popular in sport psychology professional practice and applied literature, whilst 8 ST is a relatively novel approach to sport psychology. We wanted to present a paper that 9 provided details across these CBTs, but also highlighted differences across the approaches. In 10 additional to the four CBTs, two additional practitioners bring expertise in motivational 11 interviewing (MI; Miller & Rollnick, 2013), a counselling approach presented as a valuable 12 adjunct to CBT. The first author assembled the practitioner team by contacting prominent 13 experts in each of the selected approaches. Once the team was assembled, collectively a case 14 narrative was developed that reflected a typical case we might work with in sport. The 15 collective experiences of the team were abstracted out into the case of Jordan, and each 16 practitioner was tasked with addressing the case using the approach for which they held 17 significant expertise. So in what follows, the REBT expert authors the REBT section, and CT 18 expert authors the CT section, and so on. Each CBT practitioner outlines their approach and 19 proceeds to address the case by covering assessment, intervention and evaluation strategies 20 specific to their approach. In a final stage, each section was reviewed by a highly experienced 21 (> 25 years) CBT practitioner (HCPC Registered, British Association of Behavioural and 22 Cognitive Psychotherapies Accredited), trainer, and supervisor, to ensure the accuracy of 23 each approach. The coverage of these four approaches marks a shift in effective sport 24 psychology practice, whilst introducing the reader to different ways of approaching a case. It 25 is hoped that this paper encourages discussion about therapeutic training in sport and exercise

psychology. The approaches are organized in chronological order from when they were
 originally conceived in the literature, but first, we introduce the hypothetical case that each
 approach will address.

4 The Case

5 Jordan is a 25-year-old professional soccer athlete competing in the top domestic 6 league. Jordan has fully invested in soccer since the age of 17, deciding not to pursue further 7 education, and focus solely on soccer. Jordan has been playing at the same club since the age 8 of 9, coming up through the academy system to secure a starting place in the first team. After 9 a period of underperforming in training and matches, the coach decided not to start Jordan in 10 the next game, placing Jordan on the bench for the first time in two years. The team played 11 well, and subsequently, Jordan has remained on the bench for three consecutive games. You 12 [the practitioner] notice that Jordan has become distant, not displaying the usual vigor you 13 have observed in the past, and is bickering with teammates more frequently. In a brief 14 conversation with you yesterday, you open up some informal dialogue with Jordan about the 15 situation, in which Jordan commented that "I've been dropped...it's made me feel so angry, 16 and really embarrassed." Jordan also communicates to you that "the coach thinks I'm not 17 good enough" and that "it will be downhill from here for sure." Jordan asks to speak with you in more detail, and so you arrange a more formal and private one-to-one session. 18

19

## **Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT)**

The practitioner holds an MSc in applied sport and exercise psychology, a PhD in
sport psychology, is a Health Care Professions Council (HCPC) Registered and British
Psychological Society (BPS) Chartered Sport and Exercise Psychologist, and is also
Accredited with the British Association of Sport and Exercise Sciences (BASES). They have
been working as a sport and exercise psychologist since 2008 and hold an Advanced
Certificate in REBT. Developed by psychotherapist Dr. Albert Ellis in the 1950s (Ellis,

1 1957), REBT is considered to be the first CBT, and is different from other therapies most 2 notably due to its emphasis on rational (flexible, non-extreme, & logical) and irrational (rigid, 3 extreme, & illogical) beliefs as the key cognitive mediators between a situation (or inferences 4 about a situation) and affective and behavioral reactivity (Ellis & Ellis, 2018). To reflect this 5 cognitive mediation model, a GABCDE framework is used to help clients become aware of 6 the role rational and irrational beliefs play in how they feel and behave. If clients present with irrational beliefs (iB) that, in response to situations or events (A), manifest to block or impede 7 8 their goals (G) by triggering unhealthy emotions and maladaptive behaviors (C), clients are 9 encouraged to rigorously dispute (D) and challenge these irrational beliefs. Rational beliefs 10 (rB) are then encouraged and reinforced to help the client experience healthy emotions and 11 adaptive behaviors (E).

There has been a recent upsurge in literature examining REBT within sport and exercise settings (e.g., Turner & Bennett, 2018), with irrational beliefs being associated with psychological distress (Turner, Carrington, & Miller, 2019) and increased burnout (Turner & Moore, 2016) in athletes. Also, REBT is an effective approach for reducing irrational beliefs and associated dysfunctional cognition, emotions, and behaviors (see Turner, 2016a). The extant literature provides general guidance on how REBT can be applied with athletes (e.g., Turner, 2019), yet details of how REBT can be applied to specific cases are scarce.

## 19 Initial Assessment

It is possible for an initial assessment to be brief. Ellis maintained that whilst historical factors can influence psychological wellbeing, we disturb ourselves in the present. Therefore, my main aim in an initial conversation with Jordan would be to garner as much contextual information as possible, with a focus on the present. During this initial conversation, it would be important for me to show genuine interest and curiosity to accurately understand Jordan's current thoughts and feelings. I would not challenge myself or

the client to explore the presence of rational or irrational beliefs (Bs). I would also avoid biasing my assessment of Jordan with preconceived notions of irrationality. I would also not assume that Jordan's responses (C) are disproportionate or inappropriate, and would not rule out the idea that her emotions could aid goal attainment at this stage.

5 Assessing C. In my formal face to face assessment, I would attempt to ascertain 6 whether Jordan is experiencing Unhealthy Negative Emotions (UNE; dysfunctional, and maladaptive) or Healthy Negative Emotions (HNE; functional, and adaptive; Ellis, 1994) in 7 8 relation to being side-lined by the coach. Through fully exploring Jordan's emotional and 9 behavioral experiences in relation to A, I can understand whether her emotions are helping or 10 hindering goal attainment - not all emotions are unhealthy and targets for change (Kashdan & 11 Biswas-Deiner, 2014). We would talk about her behavioral reactions to A (as we currently 12 understand it). If my assessment reveals that Jordan is experiencing UNEs, then I will target 13 the specific UNEs for change. For the purposes of this paper, we could suggest that Jordan is displaying shame (UNE). In REBT, shame is typically predicated by thinking that one has 14 15 acted in a way that falls very short of ones ideal, and that one is being looked down upon. 16 Shame is evidenced by Jordan's behavior; withdrawal, saving face by attacking other(s), and 17 defending threatened self-esteem in self-defeating ways (e.g., being excessively defensive and alienating others as a result; Dryden, 2016). 18

19 Assessing A. I would next help the client to more deeply explore the initial inference
20 (A) so that we can better understand the specific irrational beliefs at the center of Jordan's
21 shame. To do this, I would use inference chaining (Ellis, Gordon, Neenan, & Palmer, 1997;
22 Turner & Bennett, 2018) to go beyond the initial A that Jordan has been "dropped" and find
23 that it is really the perception that she has let people down, that is bringing forth Jordan's
24 shame. This more critical A can help us to discover potential irrational beliefs (iB) held more
25 deeply. An example of Jordan's irrational beliefs might be, "I don't want to, and therefore I

must not, let people down, I can't stand it when I do, and doing so makes me a failure." 1 2 Jordan has demands about not letting people down ("I must not"), and frustration intolerance 3 ("I can't stand it") and has self-depreciation beliefs ("I am a failure") about letting people 4 down. These beliefs have been developed over time and may be triggered in situations where 5 Jordan thinks that she has underachieved. By going beyond the initial inference (being 6 dropped), Jordan can realize and verbalize the irrational beliefs held in relation to letting people down. Using inference chaining, I can help Jordan to see that it might not be the 7 8 deselection (inferential A) that has directly caused the shame (C); it is the irrational beliefs 9 (iB) Jordan has about letting people down (critical A) that has led to such shame. Following 10 this assessment, I would administer the irrational Performance Beliefs Inventory-2 (iPBI-2; 11 Turner & Allen, 2018) to psychometrically assess irrational beliefs. This acts as a formal 12 baseline of irrational beliefs.

## 13 Intervention

14 GABC education. I consider the intervention work to really begin when I formally 15 introduce the GABC framework to the client. The early stages of the work with Jordan would 16 include psycho-education about the GABC framework of REBT (e.g., Turner et al., 2018). My priority is to help Jordan understand the connection between B and C. I would challenge 17 Jordan's A-C language such as "being dropped has made me feel so angry, and really 18 embarrassed," by asking, "what are you telling yourself about letting people down that is 19 20 leading to this embarrassment?" I am positing that the real cause of UNEs at this point in time are beliefs about what has happened. It is not in the client's long-term interest for me to 21 22 challenge the interpretation of events. How can either of us truly know the truth of the 23 matter? For example, Jordan says "I'd be letting people down." We don't know whether 24 Jordan would be letting people down or not, so why spend time making excuses and pretending that this cannot be the case? An important rule when dealing with A, is to assume 25

that A is true. I am sensitively placing Jordan at the center of her emotional turmoil, so that
an elegant solution can be achieved (Wood, Barker, & Turner, 2017), where Jordan takes
responsibility for emotional reactivity. It would be easy for me to say "of course you
wouldn't be letting people down, why would you think that?" But that only allows me to help
Jordan *feel* better about this situation; it does not address the cause of the UNEs (i.e., deeprooted beliefs) that could cause future turmoil.

7 Disputation. Here I would help Jordan to challenge her irrational beliefs. Disputation 8 is a collaborative and scientific process in which I see the client and practitioner as scientists 9 in cahoots, testing the validity and utility of the client's beliefs. Disputation comprises a 10 variety of arguments, but three main arguments are generally reported in sport literature 11 (Bennett & Turner, 2018): an Empirical argument such as "Are your beliefs about letting 12 people down consistent with reality?" "Let's be scientists, what does the data show?"; a Logical argument such as "Does it make sense that letting people down makes you a 13 14 complete failure? Does it follow that because you don't want to let people down, that you 15 'must not'?"; and a *Pragmatic* argument such as "Are your beliefs helpful with future goal 16 attainment? Is it useful to believe that you are a failure if you let people down?" I would 17 usually supplement this disputation process with a variety of interactive activities such as the Big I little i technique (Lazarus, 1977), in which Jordan is encouraged to understand that she 18 is capable of 'good' and 'bad' behaviours (little i) but rating her whole self is not possible 19 20 because humans are too complex (Big I). I also use case examples from the real world to 21 demonstrate the fallibility of global self-rating. For example, I reason that all athletes are capable of success and failure, but no athlete can be rated as a 'complete success' or 22 23 'complete failure'. I would aim to help Jordan accept the inherent fallibility of being human, 24 and understand that self-worth is not conditional or contingent on success or failure. Rational reinforcement. In this phase, we replace the irrational beliefs that have been 25

1 rendered false, illogical, and unhelpful, with rational beliefs. Initially, this is an intellectual 2 process of understanding which beliefs the client could endorse instead of the irrational 3 beliefs, but as the work develops and rational beliefs are reinforced, the client can gain 4 emotional insight and start to experience genuine emotional change in line with their new 5 rational beliefs. We can compare rational beliefs to the irrational beliefs by asking, "is it more 6 true, logical, and helpful to believe that letting people down makes you a failure, or is it more 7 true, logical, and helpful to believe that letting people down shows that you are a fallible 8 human being?" Rational reinforcement can involve the client practicing their rational beliefs 9 using self-statements such as the athlete rational resilience credo (ARRC; Turner, 2016b). 10 *Homework*. Homework assignments between sessions can maximize the work being 11 done with the client, and can be cognitive, emotive, and or behavioral (e.g., Turner & Barker, 12 2014). The Smarter Thinking 2 App (Turner & Wood, 2018) is a cognitive activity that 13 captures the GABCDE process digitally. The app helps the client to locate, dispute, and

14 replace their irrational beliefs and offers a diary function allowing the practitioner to view the 15 client's work. This is vital because homework needs to be reviewed as part of each session to 16 assess client gains and areas for future development.

## 17 Evaluation

It is possible to take a client through assessment, education, disputation, and rational 18 19 reinforcement in one session but this is reliant on the client connecting with the philosophy of 20 REBT quickly and being open from the start. In my experience it is more typical for the assessment to take one telephone conversion and one face to face session, the GABC 21 22 education to last one session, disputation to take one session (per irrational belief), and 23 rational reinforcement to be completed in the following session. After the client and I are 24 satisfied that the irrational beliefs have been addressed, we can then move onto imbedding the rational beliefs into everyday life, which could take one to two sessions, at the same time 25

1 constantly reviewing the GABCDE process to ensure comprehension and independent 2 application. My final session is a wrap-up of what has been covered and an opportunity for 3 the client to demonstrate REBT on me in a role play in which I will fictitiously adopt an 4 irrational belief and the consequent UNE. Once I am confident that Jordan can apply REBT 5 independently and that we have resolved her main issues, following research in the field (e.g., 6 Turner & Davis, 2018), I would administer the iPBI to mark post-intervention changes. 7 Conclusion 8 In this section I have briefly introduced REBT and its main components, and have 9 detailed some assessment and disputation techniques that could be used with Jordan. I have 10 also indicated the process and flow of the work, which will depend on the client and the 11 issue(s) at the heart of the work.

12

## **Cognitive Therapy (CT)**

13 The practitioner has a PhD in sport and performance psychology, is a BASES accredited sport and exercise scientist (psychology support), a BASES supervisor and 14 15 reviewer, a Science Council chartered scientist, and holds a primary certificate in cognitive 16 behavioral therapy, training, and stress management. They have worked with athletes, 17 coaches, support staff, and sports teams since 2008. CT (Beck, 1967) is a structured, short-18 term, present-orientated approach that focuses on changing cognition to bring about 19 subsequent helpful changes in emotions and behaviors (Beck, Rush, Shaw, & Emery, 1979). 20 To achieve such change, CT incorporates a variety of techniques that assume that negative 21 thoughts are the result of underlying schemas and dysfunctional beliefs (see e.g., Beck, 22 2011). Originally developed as a treatment for depression (Rush, Beck, Kovacs, & Hollon, 23 1977) and stemming from a psychiatric standpoint, aspects of CT have been applied by sport 24 psychology practitioners. For example, cognitive restructuring has been shown to have positive effects on athletes' sportsperson-like behavior (Mohr, 2001), emotions (e.g., Haney, 25

1 2004), and stress appraisals and performance (e.g., Didymus & Fletcher, 2017).

2 While many similarities between CT and other CBTs exist, CT has some 3 distinguishing features. For example, CT is based on a more complex model than other CBTs 4 and focusses on stressors, reactions, and beliefs. CT often discusses beliefs in terms of core 5 beliefs (e.g., those that are deeply held), intermediate beliefs (e.g., relating to attitudes, 6 expectations), and automatic thoughts. Rather than being a philosophical modality like REBT, CT is a more concrete approach that focuses on the therapeutic alliance to develop, 7 8 amongst other things, unconditional other acceptance (i.e., the understanding that others can 9 accept us unconditionally). CT also emphasizes collaboration between the practitioner and 10 the client where active participation from both parties leads to co-discovered solutions and 11 the client becoming his or her own practitioner. One other distinguishing feature of CT is that 12 the main change agent is testing the validity of negative automatic thoughts, either via 13 cognitive restructuring or behavioral experiments. An important part of CT is psycho-14 education that is adapted to the individual's level of neuropsychological functioning. During 15 this education, the practitioner encourages the client to recognize, evaluate, and respond to 16 dysfunctional thoughts (Beck, 2011), which empowers individuals to take an active role in 17 managing their presenting issues.

## 18 Assessment

After introductory discourse and setting of expectations, one of the first questions that I would ask Jordan is "What brings you here today?" The aim of this first open question is to encourage Jordan to talk, to begin building rapport, and to instigate the process of cognitive conceptualization (Beck, 1995). The initial phase of conceptualization takes the form of a one-to-one assessment session that usually lasts between 90 and 120 minutes. During the assessment session, I would take notes to facilitate my conceptualization beyond the session and during future sessions and would ask a series of open questions that adopt a Socratic style (i.e., open but guiding). I would also reflect a developing partnership between Jordan and I by taking an active role in questioning and listening. Some of the key questions that I aim to be able to answer by the end of the assessment session include "How did Jordan develop the presenting problem(s)?" "What are Jordan's most basic beliefs about self, the world, and others (e.g., teammates, coaches, family)?" "What are Jordan's assumptions, expectations, rules, and attitudes?" and "What automatic thoughts and emotions are helping to maintain the problem(s)?"

8 The process of cognitive conceptualization lasts for the duration of the intervention 9 and evolves alongside the therapeutic alliance between me and Jordan. I may use tools such 10 as a thought adjustment sheet (TAS, see Table 1), which can be used to record negative 11 automatic thoughts, emotions, and believability, during the initial phase of conceptualization. 12 The TAS could also form the basis of between-session homework tasks (Beck, 2011; Fehm & 13 Mrose, 2008) to facilitate transfer of learning to real-life situations. Psychometric tools may 14 also be appropriate in Jordan's case to assess target variables (e.g., affect, performance) pre-15 and post-intervention. For example, it may be helpful for me to use a measure of affect (e.g., 16 the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; PANAS; Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988) at the 17 start of the therapeutic relationship to ascertain Jordan's baseline positive and negative affect. 18 A measure of subjective performance satisfaction (SPS; see e.g., Didymus & Fletcher, 2017) 19 may also help Jordan to reflect on performance at baseline.

## 20 Intervention

The primary aim of a CT intervention for Jordan is to address thoughts and inferences about self and the coach (e.g., "the coach thinks I'm not good enough") and future expectancies (e.g., things being perceived as "downhill from here for sure") with the goal of subsequently addressing feelings of anger and embarrassment. This, in turn, would influence Jordan's behavior (e.g., bickering with teammates) and help with work towards soccer related

1 goals. The intervention with Jordan starts during the assessment session and would continue 2 for approximately five sessions (see Beck, 1995, 2011) that would be regularly spaced (e.g., 3 once per week) based on Jordan's needs and competitive schedule. Ideally, each session 4 would last between 60 and 90 minutes (dependent on availability) and could be supplemented 5 by less frequent booster sessions after the intervention has ended. Each session is structured 6 with an introduction (e.g., setting of the agenda for the session, discussion of homework tasks 7 that have been completed since the last session), middle (e.g., discussion of new information 8 and presenting problems that feed into the cognitive conceptualization), and end (e.g., recap 9 of discussions, agreement of next homework task). The intervention focuses throughout on 10 educating Jordan to recognize, evaluate, and respond to dysfunctional thoughts and 11 underlying beliefs (see Beck, 2011).

The mechanism of change during the intervention involves an ongoing focus on the 12 13 automatic thoughts that Jordan experiences in response to various soccer-related situations, 14 associated emotions, and the behaviors that are displayed as a result of the thoughts and 15 emotions experienced. The physiological component of presenting problems is also explored to help Jordan understand the links between situations, thoughts, emotions, and the impact of 16 17 these on physiological states. To facilitate change, I would ask questions such as "What is an 18 alternative way of viewing this situation?" once our client has shared some of their automatic 19 thoughts. I may also ask questions like "What is the worst that could happen and how would 20 you cope if it did?" and "What influence does believing your automatic thoughts have?" 21 These questions are designed to apply the main agent of change in CT, which is to test the validity of negative automatic thoughts. An important part of the intervention is Jordan's 22 23 engagement with homework tasks, which would be agreed and reviewed during each session. 24 Each homework task would be developed with, rather than for, Jordan so the exact nature of them varies client-to-client. However, some suggestions include working through a TAS 25

section by section to record thoughts and emotions between sessions or creating two lists of
 goals: one for the intervention and one for soccer.

**3 Ending and Evaluating the Relationship** 

4 Jordan is ready to end the intervention when automatic thoughts are consistently more 5 helpful, belief in the negative automatic thoughts is reduced, emotions are generally 6 facilitative for soccer performance, and behavior toward teammates and others is more 7 favorable. To smooth Jordan's transition out of the intervention, sessions would be tapered 8 from once per week to, for example, once every other week and eventually to three- or four-9 week intervals. During this time, we would explore Jordan's automatic thoughts about ending 10 the intervention to remain aware of potential concerns. The structure and content of the final 11 session is similar to all previous sessions but includes more of a focus on what Jordan has 12 learnt during the intervention and this will be implemented independently in the coming 13 weeks and months. The intervention can be evaluated via verbal feedback from Jordan and 14 via assessment of learning in view of original goals. Re-using the PANAS and SPS measures 15 to gather immediate and delayed post-intervention data is also likely to be helpful.

16 **Conclusion** 

To summarize the CT approach to Jordan's case, the emphasis is on the links between cognition, emotion, and behavior, which are primarily accessed and changed via a focus on challenging the evidence base of and believability in negative automatic thoughts. A sound therapeutic alliance is essential for a successful intervention, as is authenticity on both my and Jordan's parts alongside engagement with homework tasks.

22

## **Schema Therapy**

The practitioner holds a Doctorate in Clinical Psychology and is a HCPC registered Practioner Psychologist, and is also Chartered with the BPS. The practitioner has an MSc in sport and exercise psychology, and have been working as a Clinical Psychologist since 2006.

1 They have been a certified Schema Therpist with the International Society for Schema 2 Therapy (ISST) since 2015. The goal of Schema Therapy (ST) is to identify and modify 3 maladaptive thinking, feeling, and behaving. However, ST has a larger emphasis on past 4 experiences and emotions, and change happens through understanding the development of 5 schemas. The goals of ST are to identify and reduce maladaptive coping behaviours (which 6 perpetuate schemas and reduce the likelihood of schema change), whilst developing healthier, 7 more adaptive alternatives, and healing unhelpful schemas (Masley, Gillanders, Simpson, & 8 Taylor, 2012; Young et al., 2003). It is more accurate to say that ST reflects an integrated 9 model of therapy that combines aspects of CBTs, Gestalt experiential therapy, and 10 psychoanalytic thinking. The aim is for clients to become aware of the schema being 11 triggered and insert thoughts between emotion and action to take control of their weakened 12 schemas. In ST, schemas are considered to be extremely stable and enduring themes that 13 develop during childhood, and are dysfunctional to a significant degree (Young, 1999). These 14 schemas serve as templates for the processing of later experience, but result from a child's 15 adaptive attempt to cope with a lack of fit between their needs and the environment they grew 16 up in (Linehan, 1993). In adulthood, these schemas result in dysfunctional perceptions that 17 govern the way a person sees themselves, others, and the world. Eighteen individual schemas have been identified (see Young, Klosko & Weishaar, 2003). Maladaptive schemas are 18 19 defined as "extremely stable and enduring themes that develop during childhood, are 20 elaborated throughout an individual's lifetime, and are dysfunctional to a significant degree. 21 These schemas serve as templates for the processing of later experience" (Young, 1999, p. 9). Schemas influence thoughts, feelings, and behaviors, and maladaptive schemas are, for 22 23 example, positively related to psychological distress (Calvete, Estévez, López de Arroyabe, 24 & Ruiz, 2005). Calvete et al. (2005) showed that certain schemas relate to anger and anxiety, 25 and Hawke and Provencher (2011) and Aspin (2018) found a significant reduction in anxiety

#### 1 symptoms using ST.

2 The lack of literature on the use of ST in sport may be due to ST being a relatively 3 new and comparatively under researched CBT, and does not necessarily reflect ST's poor fit into the sporting context. On the contrary, ST and the schemas it proposes are relevant to 4 5 athletes (Turner, Aspin, & Gillman, 2019). Given the dearth of ST-related work in sport, this 6 section represents an important step in introducing how ST could be applied with athletes.

#### 7 Assessment

8 In the assessment, the aim is to explore schema-related thoughts and feelings, and 9 their origins. My first question might be "you said to me yesterday that you feel the coach 10 thinks you are not good enough, can you tell me more about that?" This open-ended question 11 starts a conversation to identify whether, and which, schemas are present. I am listening to 12 the way thoughts are described and what feelings are present to match these with my 13 knowledge of the how the schemas are defined. For example, I have heard Jordan's comments 14 about not being picked for the team again, and this negative thinking about performance (and 15 the assumption that improvement is impossible) is indicative of a Failure to Achieve schema. 16 Asking "does this experience remind you of any time in your past?" starts to explore the 17 schema's origins and helps Jordan and me to understand where and why Jordan learned to 18 think and feel this way. Jordan could be experiencing Failure to Achieve and Defectiveness 19 schemas, which develop from childhood needs for praise and confidence building not being 20 sufficiently met. This may have left feelings of disappointment or deflation as Jordan does 21 not have sense of success or competence. It is usual to pick out a few schemas within how 22 clients talk during the first meeting and I would also ask Jordan to complete the Young 23 Schema Questionnaire (Young, 2005), often between the first and second sessions. When 24 reviewing the questionnaire we would identify two or three schemas to address. 25

Next is an imagery exercise where Jordan describes a recent time when the schema

1 was triggered, such as being told to stay on the bench. Once strong emotions are present the 2 client is asked to wipe that image from their mind, keeping the feelings, and picture a time, as 3 young as possible, when they felt the same. Imagery is used to explore the origins of the 4 schemas because placing oneself in our mind's eye into a past situation helps us to remember the details of the thoughts and, particularly, emotions. This is important to gather more 5 6 information to understand the schema but particularly for the client to get an emotional sense of the origin of the schema. This helps the client to challenge the schema driven thoughts 7 8 through the realization that the schema's origins are in a past, rather than the present moment.

## 9 Intervention

10 The intervention aims to weaken the influence of the schema. Imagery for change 11 rescripts past experiences to have a healthier experience that meets the child's needs, 12 allowing healthier attitudes such as confidence and competence. The client recounts the 13 childhood event with closed eyes and the psychologist asks guiding questions, such as "where are you, what can you see, who is there, what are they saying, what is the expression 14 15 on their face?" Re-scripting starts when events in the image do not meet the child's legitimate 16 needs (that all children have a right to be met), where the client usually becomes upset 17 (sometimes tearful) and or their body language changes markedly, and or when there is a logical sense in the room that this is not right for the child. The psychologist is directive in 18 telling the client how to imagine the situation so that their needs are met. As clients get to 19 20 know their needs, they can become more active in re-scripting. Imagery is not to pretend that 21 difficult events did not happen, rather, changing other people's responses in line with what 22 the child needed. This gives a different emotional understanding; that the schema is not the 23 objective truth but a creation due to experiences of needs not being met. This helps to 24 distance the client from their schemas.

25

Another technique to challenge schemas is to use an empty chair to represent

1	schemas. The client can discuss with each schema what "it" wants, what "it" thinks, why "it"
2	is there and what "its" purpose is. This is an abstract concept that even young clients can
3	grasp quickly. A chair is identified to represent the schema being worked on, and another
4	chair to represent the healthy and functional part of the client. Clients move between chairs
5	speaking from either the schema or healthy part of themselves and hold this conversation
6	until the healthy part feels it has won the 'argument'. The dialogue could go as follows:
7	Jordan, being the Failure to Achieve schema: "you will never be a good enough
8	soccer player, you will never be chosen again".
9	(Moves chairs) Jordan, as the healthy part of the self: "that's a horrible thing to say,
10	why would you say that?"
11	(Moves back) Failure to achieve chair: "because if you think you are going to be
12	successful you will be very disappointed, I am trying to protect you from that pain".
13	Healthy chair: "but you are stopping me from even having chance as your negativity
14	stops me being able to put in the effort I need to get picked each week".
15	Failure to Achieve: "but that negativity is your realization that you'll never be any
16	better and means you will be prepared for the inevitable".
17	Healthy part: "but you are holding me back [gets angry], you are stopping me, you
18	are making me despondent, you are making me play worse, I'm not having it any
19	more, I will not listen to you anymore!"
20	This feeling that Jordan gets of triumph and powerfulness at having won over the
21	schema is the aim of chair work; the belief and self-confidence that the client can fight the
22	schema and win. There is realism as the psychologist and client are not pretending that

23 Jordan will be the best athlete, just that the client has given their all and is not held back by

24 the schemas. The client is also encouraged to identify and question the schemas outside of the

25 sessions by using a monitoring sheet to record the trigger, emotions, thoughts, behaviors, and

1 identify the schema. This helps them to understand how schemas operate and can be

2 challenged in daily life, and can be backed up by keeping lists of evidence to the contrary of

3 the schema in order to build confidence that they can overcome the schema-related thinking.

## 4 Evaluation

5 The work ends when the client feels they have some control over the schemas, 6 although they may not be symptom free. This is evident when the client feels confident in 7 their use of self-talk to challenge the schema and win, and in using some or all of the above 8 techniques to continue progressing without the psychologist. The number of sessions is 9 dependent on the difficulties the client brings but between 10 and 20 sessions is often 10 sufficient to bring about lasting change. Finishing therapy is typically an anxiety provoking 11 time and a follow-up session a few weeks later can be offered to trouble shoot issues before 12 ending. If the therapy has been lengthy or an outcome measure is sought for auditing/research 13 the YSQ can be re-administered but this is not standard practice.

# 14 **Conclusion**

15 ST is about helping clients to change deeply held beliefs by accessing and changing 16 the emotions felt in childhood when the schemas formed. Imagery and chair work are used to 17 develop more healthy views and emotions and to encourage doubt that the schemas are 18 factual. For some, however, issues are related to other people, and ST is an individual-based 19 therapy with limited scope for a formal understanding of how a person's schemas may impact 20 those around them. However, ST is an effective way for empowering people to challenge 21 their inner voices that hold them back and allowing them to fulfil their potential.

22

## Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT)

The practitioner has an MSc in sport and exercise psychology and PhD in sport and performance psychology. They gained Chartered Psychologist status with the BPS in 2010, and they have 10 years' experience working as a sport psychologist with athletes and coaches.

They have extensive ACT training including BPS approved ACT training with Mindfulness 1 2 Training Ltd. Practitioners adopting CBTs such as REBT and CT seek to challenge 'negative' 3 or unhelpful thoughts, emotions, and bodily sensations that athletes might feel hinder their 4 performance. Athletes are helped to develop strategies to remove and/or replace these internal 5 experiences with more 'positive' or useful ones. In contrast, ACT posits that applying 6 problem-solving strategies to those internal experiences (e.g., striving to reduce anxiety) is actually a root cause of psychological suffering. Therefore, rather than trying to help athletes 7 8 rid themselves of these unwanted experiences in pursuit of what might be considered an 9 'ideal' performance state (i.e., optimal anxiety, high in confidence, relaxed, in flow, etc.), 10 ACT approaches seek to change the relationship an individual has with internal experiences. 11 ACT uses "acceptance and mindfulness processes and commitment and behavioral 12 activation processes to produce psychological flexibility" (Hayes, Strosahl, & Wilson, 2012, p. 97). ACT contends that six core processes underpin psychological flexibility (i.e., the 13 14 ability to stay in contact with present moment experineces and, depending on the situation, 15 persist or change behavior in pursuit of values). These processes are: flexible attention to the present moment, values, committed action, self-as-context, cognitive defusion, and 16 17 acceptance (see Hayes et al., 2012). Deficits in any of these core processes can result in 18 psychological rigidity (i.e., an inability to adapt to changing life circumstances), the root 19 cause of suffering. The key to psychological flexibility, therefore, is an open, centered, and 20 engaged response style, where individuals can accept and make room for unpleasant mental 21 activity, pay conscious attention to the present moment, and stay connected to chosen values 22 through daily life actions. Growing research indicates that Mindfulness-Acceptance-23 Commitment (MAC; Gardner & Moore, 2004, 2006) approaches are related to improvements 24 in mindfulness, flow, performance, and lower competitive anxiety (e.g., Noetel, Ciarrochi,

25 Van Zanden, & Lonsdale, 2018). It should be noted, however, that while initial findings are

encouraging, further research with more clearly defined intervention protocols and more
carefully selected control groups is needed to increase confidence in the efficacy of MACbased interventions. Indeed, in a recent systematic review (Noetel et al., 2018) it was
indicated that many studies found positive effects for acceptance interventions, but that there
was limited internal validity across studies. Therefore, the extant research exploring ACT
prohibits strong causal claims about the benefits of ACT in athletes, and clearly. researchers
should undertake more research in this area, addressing the limits of past work.

## 8 Assessment

9 The first stage of assessing the client in ACT is understanding how *they* see their issue 10 at this particular time, so we might start by asking Jordan, "can you tell me a little about what 11 you're struggling with at the moment?" Jordan mentions anger and embarrassment at being 12 cut, and indicates experiencing unhelpful thoughts about the future ("it will be downhill from 13 here for sure") and what the coach thinks ("coach thinks I'm not good enough"), so let us 14 assume that the conversation is steered towards those unhelpful thoughts, sensations, and 15 emotions.

16 To reformulate the issue in ACT terms, we focus on the six core ACT processes and 17 try to establish the unique version of psychological inflexibility Jordan is experiencing 18 (Luoma, Hayes, & Walser, 2017). There is no right or wrong place to start in ACT case formulation, but since Jordan began by discussing embarrassment and anger, we might start 19 20 by establishing the thoughts and feelings that Jordan is avoiding or fused with (i.e., thoughts 21 that Jordan believes are literally true and that guide behavior in an unhelpful way). Fusion 22 with thoughts can present as clients' ongoing and fixed evaluations of themselves, so Jordan's 23 current experience (anger/embarrassment) seems fused with the future-oriented "downhill" 24 outcome (despite the potentially infinite number of other possible outcomes of the current 25 reality, i.e., being on the bench for three games).

1 During case formulation in ACT, it is important to focus on the function of Jordan's 2 presenting behaviors, emotions, and thoughts, rather than their form. For example, Jordan 3 bickering with teammates might serve the function of displaying passion for the team (a 4 valued action), but is perhaps more likely to be a way of avoiding unwanted feelings 5 (experiential avoidance) of inferiority. As such, we might seek further information about the 6 thoughts and behaviors Jordan seems to be avoiding ("what do you mean when you say vou're embarrassed?", "do these issues show up in your bodily sensations at all?"), and their 7 8 specific function ("where does that thought take you?", "does bickering help you or harm 9 you?").

10 We would then explore other core processes that might be contributing to 11 inflexibility. For example, Jordan dwells on past performances and has mentioned worry 12 about the future(inflexible attention). Jordan has come through the system to secure a starting 13 role and seems very much attached to that 'version' of the self (attachment to conceptualized 14 self). Given Jordan's history, pursuing soccer at the expense of education and being with this 15 club for 16 years, it seems prudent to explore current values (potential lack of contact with 16 values). Finally, Jordan seems to be displaying impulsive, self-defeating behavior (i.e., 17 avoiding feelings of inferiority/criticism by not putting in effort), action that is moving 18 Jordan further away from valued living (inaction, impulsivity, avoidant persistence). Hayes et 19 al. (2012), provide a number of useful tools such as assessment anchors (a numerical method 20 of tracking the six psychological flexability processes), the psy-flex planning tool (a visual 21 and easy to interpret case formulation tool), and the ACT Advisor (a quick client assessment 22 tool) to facilitate case formulation and intervention planning. Once the main issues are 23 established in ACT terms, we might also consider factors that can limit motivation for change 24 (e.g., lack of understanding about the cost of avoidance), and any strengths that could help build psychological flexibility (e.g., experiences of mindfulness, openness, acceptance, or 25

## 2 Intervention

3 There are two main goals with initial ACT consultations. First, exploring Jordan's 4 current and previous attempts to 'solve' the problem, with the aim of highlighting the ultimate 5 ineffectiveness of trying to control, reduce, or eliminate unwanted thoughts, feelings, and 6 sensations. We should discuss explicit coping strategies that Jordan has tried, but also less 7 conscious behaviors ("what typically happens when you start to notice these feelings of 8 embarrassment?") to demonstrate that these behaviors (bickering, lack of effort) have a 9 purpose (i.e., reduction or control of unwanted experiences). In effect, we're already in the 10 intervention stage here. Second, we should explore Jordan's willingness to try an approach 11 other than control. We start this process by examining the workability of Jordan's attempts to 12 manage the issue through two routes: 1) by establishing whether attempts to solve the 13 problem worked out how Jordan thought they would ("has becoming distant actually reduced anger and embarrassment?"), and 2) by asking what attempts to manage the issue have cost in 14 15 terms of living in pursuit of values (e.g., "what would you be doing with your time if you 16 weren't busy trying to manage your anger/embarrassment/thoughts about what your coaches 17 think about you?"). As an ACT practitioner, it is important to make sure that what is 18 happening here is a genuine, non-judgmental examination of whether strategies have worked 19 in a client's life. Jordan might well think that strategies have worked in the short-term (and 20 they might have), but the fact that Jordan is here seeking help ("and yet here we are"), is an 21 indication of the long-term unworkability of control. Any number of *creative hopelessness* 22 (dysfunctional state of mind that one is unable to see a meaningful future for oneself) 23 exercises might be used to highlight this such as the Chinese fingertrap metaphor (the harder 24 you struggle to get out, the tighter it becomes around your fingers), and the Tug-of-War 25 metaphor (let go of the rope instead of struggling (see Strosahl, Hayes, Wilson, & Gifford,

2004, for explanations of these and many other exercises). Only then can we explore
 willingness to try something different, such as unhooking (creating distance between
 thoughts and feelings, and actions), or the two scales metaphor (to encourage acceptance),
 and subsequently work on relevant core processes.

5 It has been suggested that traditional Psychological Skills Training (PST) 6 interventions are incompatible with ACT (Gardner & Moore, 2006). However, I would argue 7 that elements of goal setting are important in working towards committed action, and that 8 forms of imagery are often used in mindfulness exercises. It is important, however, to note 9 that any efforts to undermine 'faulty' or maladaptive cognitions, or to emphasize reducing 10 unwanted thoughts or sensations, are at odds with ACT and can ultimately be confusing for 11 clients (Luoma et al., 2017).

12 There is no "right" place to start applied work, but in this case, exploring values might 13 be important given the disconnect between Jordan's apparent values (sporting achievement, 14 teamwork) and behavior (withdrawing, lack of effort). Other relevant intervention goals 15 might include exposure to experiences of self-as-context (i.e., taking a perspective from 16 which challenging experiences can be observed) to unhook the client from a conceptualized 17 view of themself (e.g., take your mind for a walk, leaves on a stream) and promoting contact 18 with the present moment to help with acceptance of 'unpleasant' emotions (e.g., mindfulness, 19 'just noticing' exercises).

## 20 Evaluation

While some clients may immediately grasp the idea that their control strategies are ultimately unworkable, others may take a lot longer to reach the stage where we can begin working on developing psychological flexibility. As such, it is extremely difficult to indicate how long an ACT intervention might take. Typically though, somewhere between 6-10 sessions provides the opportunity to work on relevant ACT processes in way that might move

1 the client forwards. Evaluation in ACT is ongoing, and constant re-evaluation of treatment 2 goals occurs throughout consultancy (Hayes, Strosahl, Luoma, Smith, & Wilson, 2004). 3 Psychometric tools such as the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II: Bond et 4 al., 2011) could be used to evaluate experiential avoidance, and several of the case-5 formulation tools described above can also function as ongoing assessment tools. However, 6 when the client is engaging in committed action based on chosen values and is demonstrating 7 the open, centered, and engaged response style discussed at the start of this section, we can 8 consider bringing the consultancy to a close. 9 Conclusion 10 The therapeutic relationship itself is at the heart of the ACT intervention. It is 11 accepting and focused on values, with the therapist modelling and reinforcing the 12 psychological flexibility being taught. While a detailed discussion about the therapeutic 13 relationship in ACT is beyond the scope of this article, Hayes et al. (2012) provide a useful 14 chapter that examines the powerful nature and challenges of this relationship in ACT. 15 **General Conclusion** 16 The preceding sections covering four prominent CBTs have offered a brief portrayal 17 of each approach that allows the reader to compare and contrast (see Table 2, or consult 18 Dryden, 2012, for a comprehensive comparison). Clearly, within the scope of a short paper 19 such as the present one, it is not possible, nor was it our aim, to communicate the full 20 complexity and nuances of each approach. The main aims of the paper were to address the 21 case of Jordan using four dominant psychotherapeutic approaches, with a view to 22 encouraging some discussion about therapeutic training in sport and exercise psychology. 23 However, one must acknowledge that our selection of four CBTs causes some discriminative 24 problems, because we omit many CBTs in favour of REBT, CT, ACT, and ST. Our intention 25 in the current paper is not to provide a comprehensive discussion about all possible CBTs, as

1 more detailed and expansive information can be found elsewhere (e.g., Dryden, 2012). But 2 we have biasedly leant towards CBT approaches that we as practitioners are very familiar 3 with and have sufficient expertise within. With the case of Jordan, it is possible that none of 4 the CBTs we cover in this paper is best for the resolution of the case. We do not intend to be 5 prescriptive here and do not suggest that with a case like Jordan's one can only use one of the 6 four CBTs we present. On the contrary, we hope to illustrate that a case can be approached in many ways and that practitioners could aim to develop a broad therapeutic skillset that 7 8 includes a range of approaches. The reader will no doubt gravitate to one or more, or none, of 9 the outlined approaches and it is hoped that if not already trained in the approach/es they will 10 seek formal training in one of the CBTs presented here, or one of the many other CBTs. In 11 sport psychology, there are many examples of interventions that take valuable and effective 12 techniques from a variety of psychotherapeutic approaches (e.g., The Canon) that can help 13 athletes to achieve their potential. However, with this paper we hope that practitioners will 14 decide to supplement their knowledge by formally training in a CBT to strengthen the work 15 they do and to add additional procedural reliability to their work. The CBTs covered in this 16 paper offer a vast array of well-tested and validated procedures that can be applied with 17 athletes to aid wellbeing and performance. Whilst we advocate training in psychotherapies, it 18 is also important to be cognizant of ethical and professional boundaries within which sport 19 and exercise psychologists must practice. Indeed, whilst a sport and exercise psychologist's 20 knowledge and use of psychotherapies could have important implications for athlete mental 21 health, "this is not to say that sport psychologists should 'treat' athletes for mental illness; this is ethically beyond many practitioners' professional competencies and occupational 22 23 remit" (Turner, 2016a).

Clearly, practitioners' philosophies of practice have bearing on the approach they take
to any case, the approaches they train in, which of course, can influence their philosophy of

1 practice. There are some fundamental differences in the philosophical underpinnings across 2 some of the approaches included in the current paper, not least because we include a range of 3 second and third wave CBTs. Whilst REBT and CT sit squarely within CBT, ST represents 4 an integration of CBT, Gestalt experiential therapy, and psychoanalytic principles. ACT 5 diverges from REBT, CT, and ST markedly because it directly challenges the cognitive 6 restructuring problem-solving strategies that are at the core of REBT, CT, and ST. Rather than asking "can we think and feel differently" its asks "can we accept and make room for 7 8 unpleasant psychological states?" REBT and CT are often conflated and confused with each 9 other, in part because of the closeness of their conception in time and because they share 10 some common assumptions about the role of cognitions in psychological wellbeing. 11 However, REBT and CT are epistemologically different since REBT is a philosophically 12 based therapy and CT is an empirically based therapy (Padesky & Beck, 2003). As such, CT 13 boasts far more efficacy studies than REBT and has been more rigorously tested. In practice, 14 REBT is concerned with the rationality of core beliefs, whilst CT is more concerned with the 15 functionality of beliefs. Whilst CT encourages guided discovery in identifying and testing 16 one's beliefs, REBT emphasizes the direct disputation of beliefs using a structured method. 17 There are comprehensive resources that readers can access that offer a deeper analysis of the 18 differences between CBTs (e.g., Dryden, 2012). Of course, there are also some similarities 19 across the approaches included in the present paper. All emphasize collaboration between the 20 practitioner and the client where active participation from both parties leads to the client 21 becoming his or her own practitioner. There is also an emphasis on conducting client 22 assessments in a collaborative and Socratic manner with a view to developing a strong 23 therapeutic alliance.

24 The authors of the current paper are not dogmatic about any of the approaches
25 covered in this paper. Practitioners may choose to adopt person-centered therapeutic (PCT)

1	and or psychodynamic therapeutic (PDT) approaches to their work. The prominence of CBTs
2	has been driven in part by the greater corpus of available evidence, and by guidelines
3	proposed by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE, 2005) concentrating on
4	CBTs (Stiles, Barkham, Mellor-Clark, & Connell, 2008). There is relatively less research
5	evidence for PCT and PDT approaches compared to CBTs but the efficacy and effectiveness
6	of PCT has been systematically examined (e.g., Ward et al., 2000). Evidence suggests little
7	difference in effectiveness across CBT, PCT, and PDT approaches on a range of presenting
8	issues (Stiles et al., 2008), or across different CBTs (Stefan, Cristea, Szentagotai-Tatar, &
9	David, 2019). However, the comparative efficacy and effectiveness of each approach on
10	athlete outcomes remains under-researched and is a justified direction for future research. A
11	useful overview of CBT, PCT, and PDT as applied to sport is offered by Watson, Hilliard,
12	and Way (2017), who echo the call made by various experienced consultants (e.g.,
13	Poczwardowski & Sherman, 2011; Sharp, Hodge, & Danish, 2014) for practitioners to
14	operate within a theoretical perspective.
15	Also, each of the CBTs in this paper chart distinct assessment, intervention, and
16	evaluative processes to support Jordan's case. In addition, each approach points to the
17	significance of collaboration (e.g., CT & REBT), therapeutic alliance (e.g., ACT) and
18	intervention commitment (e.g., ST) for effective practice with Jordan. Previous research has
19	noted instances of clients in clinical settings failing to engage with psychological therapies
20	(e.g., Moloney & Kelly, 2004), and illustrates athletes who are introduced to cognitive
21	behavioral strategies but fail to practice or apply them consistently (e.g., Brown, 2011; Mack,
22	Breckon, O'Halloran, & Butt, 2018). One possible explanation for this is a lack of athlete
23	readiness for the content of the intervention (Massey, Gnacinski, & Meyer, 2015).
24	Irrespective of content, practice style or experience, the practitioner's ability to form a close
25	and collaborative therapeutic relationship with the client will largely dictate whether or not

1 the psychological support is deemed to be effective (Cropley, Hanton, Miles, & Niven, 2 2010). The correlation between the strength of the therapeutic relationship and successful 3 outcomes is one of the most robust findings within counselling psychology (Watson, Hilliard, 4 & Way, 2018). The importance of this alliance has been understood in counselling psychology at least since the conception of person-centred counselling (e.g., Rogers, 1957), 5 6 and the delineation of the features of a strong working alliance (agreement on goals; assignment of tasks; development of bonds; Bordin, 1979). Links can clearly be made to the 7 8 sport psychologist-athlete relationship, and yet in sport psychology, there is scant guidance 9 on how to actually cultivate these relational bonds (Mack, Breckon, Butt & Maynard, 2017). 10 This is perhaps because of an emphasis placed on the Canon and other outcome-orientated 11 therapeutic tools and techniques, over the relational, person-centred aspects of the alliance. 12 Clinical and counselling psychology literature, on the other hand, may offer such information 13 (Watson et al., 2018).

14 Across all CBT approaches covered in the current paper, there is a focus on 15 strengthening the practitioner-client working alliance and readying the client for the work. 16 One approach which practitioners could consider integrating with their use of CBTs in sport 17 is MI (Miller & Rollnick, 2013), to actively increase athlete readiness for action-orientated 18 therapy, and enhance the practitioner-athlete relationship. For the present paper, two 19 additional practitioners with expertise in MI were consulted to provide a brief of MI. One 20 practitioner has an MSc in sport & exercise psychology and is completing a PhD in sport 21 psychology, and has been practising MI in sport and exercise settings since 2012, and became 22 an MI trainer affiliated to the Motivational Interviewing Network of Trainers (MINT) in 23 2015. The other practitioner has an MSc in sport & exercise psychology, a PhD in sport 24 psychology, and has completed the Stage Two Qualification in Sport and Exercise Psychology with the BPS. They have been working as a sport psychology consultant since 25

1

2

## 2013 and hold a Primary Practicum Certification in REBT.

MI

3 Originally conceived as an adjunct treatement of addiction, MI is a client-centred and 4 evidence based psychotherapy that strengthens the client's intrinsic motivation for change, 5 through the exploration and resolution of ambivalence (Miller & Rollnick, 2002). Central to 6 MI is the development of a therapeutic alliance, that is, the relationship between the client and therapist (Copeland, McNamara, Kelson, & Simpson, 2015). Whilst garnering an 7 8 autonomy-supportive relationship with the client (Miller & Rollnick, 2013), MI practitioners 9 adopt a collaborative and empathetic communication style to maximize the working-alliance. 10 The four core principles on which MI is founded include: 1) a relational component (spirit) 11 that seeks to develop a collaborative partnership between the practitioner and client. MI 12 advocates that the practitioner attempts to demonstrate accurate empathy (accurate 13 understanding of athletes' thoughts and feelings) and compassion (desire to alleviate athlete 14 distress), and views the athlete as knowledgeable and resourceful, and an active agent in their 15 progress. 2) This spirit is brought to life via the use of specific communication skills known 16 as OARS (Open-ended questions, Affirmations, Reflections, and Summaries). 3) The four + 17 processes (engage, evoke, focus, plan, maintain) offers a structure to a single session, or for 18 ongoing support. 4) MI is sensitive to the language clients use regarding behaviour change, 19 and aims to selectively elicit and reinforce 'change talk' while reducing 'sustain talk' and 20 resistance to change. MI is distinct in that practitioners aim to help their clients to become a 21 more committed advocate and intrinsically motivated for their own change, rather than 22 assuming the role of the advocate for change themselves (Kertes, Westra, Angus, & Marcus, 23 2011). This intrinsic shift towards the intervention process is significant considering much 24 one-to-one support requires honest introspection, inter-session tasks, and diligence from the 25 client (Norcross, Karpiak, & Lister, 2005). In sum, the counselling approach of MI offers an

1	integrative framework to actively increase athlete readiness for action-orientated therapy,
2	enhance the practitioner-athlete relationship, and complement the previously presented
3	psychological approaches. For further details on these core components and the development
4	of a working alliance using MI in sport psychology see Mack et al. (2017).
5	Conclusion
6	The current paper applies four difference psychotherapeutic approaches to the
7	hypothetical case of Jordan to briefly portray the main characteristics of REBT, CT, ST, and
8	ACT, when applied to the same presenting issues. We hope this paper encourages
9	practitioners and researchers to examine each approach more rigorously in sport and exercise
10	settings. It is also hoped that practitioners will report their utilization of psychotherapeutic
11	approaches within sport and exercise settings to deepen and widen the knowledge base.
12	References
13	Andersen, M. B. (2009). The "canon" of psychological skills training for enhancing
14	performance. In K. F. Hays (Ed.), Performance psychology in action: A casebook for
15	working with athletes, performing artists, business leaders, and professionals in high-
16	risk occupations (pp. 11-34). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
17	Aspin, G., (2018). Clinical outcomes of a transdiagnostic individual schema focused therapy
18	group in NHS primary care in the UK, poster presentation at International Society for
19	Schema Therapy Conference, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
20	Beck, A. T. (1967). Depression: Clinical, experimental, and theoretical aspects. New York
21	City, NY: Harper & Row.
22	Beck, A. T. (1976) Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders. New York City, NY:
23	International Universities Press.
24	Beck, J. S. (1995). Cognitive therapy: Basics and beyond. New York City, NY: Guilford
25	Press.

- Beck, J. S. (2011). *Cognitive behavior therapy: Basics and beyond* (2nd ed.). New York City,
   NY: Guilford Press.
- Beck, A. T., Rush, A. J., Shaw, B. F., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*.
  New York City, NY: Guildford Press.
- Bennett, R., & Oliver, J. E. (2019). Acceptance and commitment Therapy: 100 key points *and techniques*. Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- 7 Bennett, R., & Turner, M. J. (2017). The theory and practice of rational emotive behaviour
- 8 therapy (REBT). In M. J. Turner. & R. Bennett (Eds.), *Rational Emotive Behaviour*
- 9 *Therapy in Sport and Exercise* (pp. 4-19). Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- 10 Bond, F. W., Hayes, S. C., Baer, R. A., Carpenter, K. M., Guenole, N., Orcutt, H. K., Waltz,
- 11 T., & Zettle, R. D. (2011). Preliminary psychometric properties of the Acceptance and
- 12 Action Questionniare-II: A revised measure of psychological flexibility and
- 13 experiential avoidance. *Behavior Therapy*, 42, 676-688. doi:
- 14 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2011.03.007
- 15 Brown, J. L. (2011). Cognitive behavioral dtrategies. In J. K. Luiselli & D. D. Reed (Eds.),
- 16 *Behavioral Sport Psychology* (pp. 113–126). New York, NY: Springer.
- 17 http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-0070-7\_7
- 18 Calvete, E., Estévez, A., López de Arroyobe, E., & Ruiz, P. (2005). The schema
- 19 questionnaire-short form: Structure and relationship with automatic thoughts and
- 20 symptoms of affective disorders. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 21,
- 21 90-99. doi:10.1027/1015-5759.21.2.90
- 22 Copeland, L., McNamara, R., Kelson, M., & Simpson, S. (2015). Mechanisms of change
- 23 within motivational interviewing in relation to health behaviors outcomes: a
- systematic review. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *98*(4), 401-411.
- 25 doi:10.1016/j.pec.2014.11.022

1	Cropley, B., Hanton, S., Miles, A., & Niven, A. (2010). Exploring the relationship between
2	effective and reflective practice in applied sport psychology. The Sport Psychologist.
3	24, 521-541. doi:10.1123/tsp.24.4.521
4	Didymus, F. F., & Fletcher, D. (2017). Effects of a cognitive-behavioral intervention on field
5	hockey players' appraisals of organizational stressors. Psychology of Sport and
6	Exercise, 30, 173-185. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.03.005
7	Dryden, W. (2007). Resilience and rationality. Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-
8	Behavior Therapy, 25, 213-226. doi:10.1007/s10942-006-0050-1
9	Dryden, W. (2012). Cognitive behaviour therapies. London, United Kingdom: Sage
10	Publications.
11	Dryden, W. (2016). Attitudes in rational emotive behaviour therapy (REBT): Components,
12	characteristics and adversity-related consequences. London, United Kingdom:
13	Rationality Publications.
14	Ellis, A. (1957). Rational psychotherapy and individual psychology. Journal of Individual
15	Psychology, 13, 38-44. Retrieved from https://utpress.utexas.edu/journals/journal-of-
16	individual-psychology
17	Ellis, A. (1994). Reason and emotion in psychotherapy (rev. ed.). Secaucus, NJ: Birscj Lane.
18	Ellis, A., & Ellis, D. J. (2018). Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy. Washington, DC:
19	American Psychological Association.
20	Ellis, A., Gordon, J., Neenan, M., & Palmer, S. (1997). Stress counselling: A rational emotive
21	behavior approach. London, United Kingdom: Cassell
22	Fehm, L., & Mrose, J. (2008). Patients' perspective on homework assignments in cognitive-
23	behavioral therapy. Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, 15, 320-328.
24	doi:10.1002/cpp.592
25	Gardner, F. L., & Moore, Z. E. (2004). A mindfulness-acceptance-commitment-based

1	approach to athletic performance enhancement: Theoretical considerations. Behavior
2	Therapy, 35, 707-723. doi:10.1016/S0005-7894(04)80016-9
3	Gardner, F. L., & Moore, Z. E. (2006). Clinical sport psychology. Champaign, IL: Human
4	Kinetics.
5	Gardner, F. L., & Moore, Z. E. (2012). Mindfulness and acceptance models in sport
6	psychology: A decade of basic and applied scientific advancements. Canadian
7	Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne, 53, 309-318. doi:10.1037/a0030220
8	Haney, C. J. (2004). Stress-management interventions for female athletes: Relaxation and
9	cognitive restructuring. International Journal of Sport Psychology, 35, 109-118.
10	Retrieved from http://www.ijsp-online.com
11	Hawke, L., & Provencher, M. (2011). Schema theory and schema therapy in mood and
12	anxiety disorders: A review. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy: An International
13	Quarterly, 25, 257-276. doi:10.1891/0889-8391.25.4.257
14	Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K. D., Luoma, J., Smith, A. A., & Wilson, K. G. (2004). ACT case
15	formulation. In S. C. Hayes & K. D. Strosahl (Eds.), A practical guide to Acceptance
16	and Commitment Therapy (pp. 59-73). New York City, NY: Springer
17	Hayes, S. C., Strosahl, K. D., & Wilson, K. G. (2012). Acceptance and commitment therapy:
18	The process and practice of mindful change (2 <sup>nd</sup> ed). New York City, NY: Guilford
19	Press.
20	Kashdan, T., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2014). The power of negative emotion: How anger, guilt,
21	and self doubt are essential to success and fulfillment. London, United Kingdom:
22	Oneworld Publications.
23	Kertes, A., Westra, H. A., Angus, L., & Marcus, M. (2011). The impact of motivational
24	interviewing on client experiences of cognitive behavioral therapy for generalized

1	anxiety disorder. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice, 18, 55-69.
2	doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2009.06.005
3	Lazarus, A. A. (1977) Towards an egoless state of being. In A. Ellis 7 R. Grieger (Eds.),
4	Handbook of Rational-Emotive Therapy. New York City, NY: Springer.
5	Linehan, M. (1993). Cognitive behaviour treatment of borderline personality disorder. New
6	York City, NY: Guilford Press.
7	Luoma, J. B., Hayes, S. C., & Walser, R. D. (2017). Learning ACT: An Acceptance and
8	Commitment Therapy skills-training manual for therapists (2 <sup>nd</sup> ed.). Oakland, CA: New
9	Harbinger Publications.
10	Mack, R., Breckon, J., Butt, J., & Maynard, I. (2017). Exploring the understanding and
11	application of motivational interviewing in applied sport psychology. The Sport
12	Psychologist, 31, 396-409. doi:10.1123/tsp.2016-0125
13	Mack, R. J., Breckon, J. D., O'Halloran, P. D., & Butt, J. (2018). Enhancing athlete
14	engagement in sport psychology interventions using motivational interviewing: A case
15	study. The Sport Psychologist, 33, 159-168. doi:10.1123/tsp.2018-0053
16	Masley, S.A., Gillanders, D.T., Simpson, S., & Taylor, M.A. (2012). A systematic review of
17	the evidence base for Schema Therapy. Cognitive behaviour therapy, 41(3), 185-202.
18	doi: 10.1080/16506073.2011.614274
19	Massey, W. V., Gnacinski, S. L., & Meyer, B. B. (2015). Psychological skills training in
20	NCAA division I athletics: Are athletes ready for change? Journal of Clinical Sport
21	Psychology, 9, 317-334. doi:10.1123/jcsp.2014-0042
22	Matweychuk, W., DiGiuseppe, R., & Gulyayeva, O. (2019) A Comparison of REBT with
23	Other Cognitive Behavior Therapies. In: Bernard M., Dryden W. (eds) Advances in
24	REBT. Springer: Champaign, IL.

25 McArdle, S., & Moore, P. (2012). Applying evidence-based principles from CBT to sport

1	psychology. The Sport Psychologist, 26, 299-310. doi:10.1123/tsp.26.2.299
2	Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2002). Motivational interviewing: Preparing people for
3	change. New York: Guilford Press.
4	Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2013). Motivational interviewing: Helping people change.
5	London, United Kingdom: Guilford Press.
6	Mohr, C. (2001). Learning how to be a good sport: An intervention aimed at increasing
7	sportsperson-like behavior. Behavior Change, 18, 236-240. doi:10.1375/bech.18.4.236P
8	Moloney, P., & Kelly, P. (2004). Beck never lived in Birmingham: Why CBT may be a less
9	useful treatment for psychological distress than is often supposed. Clinical Psychology,
10	34, 4-9.
11	Noetel, M., Ciarrochi, J., Van Zanden, B., & Lonsdale, C. (2017). Mindfulness and
12	acceptance approaches to sporting performance enhancement: A systematic review.
13	International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 12, 39-175.
14	doi:10.1080/1750984X.2017.1387803
15	Norcross, J. C., Karpiak, C. P., & Lister, K. M. (2005). What's an integrationist? A study of
16	self-identified integrative and (occasionally) eclectic psychologists. Journal of
17	Clinical Psychology, 61, 1587-1594. doi: 10.1002/jclp.20203
18	Noyce, R., & Simpson, J. (2018). The experience of forming a therapeutic relationship from
19	the client's perspective: A metasynthesis. Psychotherapy Research, 28, 281-296.
20	doi:10.1080/10503307.2016.1208373
21	Padesky, C. A. & Beck, A. T. (2003). Science and philosophy: Comparison of cognitive
22	therapy and rational emotive behavior therapy. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy, 17,
23	211-224. doi:10.1891/jcop.17.3.211.52536
24	Poczwardowski, A., & Sherman, C. P. (2011). Revisions to the sport psychology service
25	delivery (SPSD) heuristic: Explorations with experienced consultants. The Sport

1	Psychologist, 25, 511-531. doi:10.1123/tsp.25.4.511
2	Rush, A. J., Beck, A. T., Kovacs, M., & Hollon, S. (1977). Comparative efficacy of cognitive
3	therapy and pharmacotherapy in the treatment of depressed outpatients. Cognitive
4	Therapy and Research, 1, 17-37. doi:10.1007/BF01173502
5	Sharp, L., Hodge, K., & Danish, S. (2014). Sport psychology consulting at elite sport
6	competitions. Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology, 3, 75-88.
7	doi:10.1037/spy0000011
8	Stefan, S., Cristea, I., Szentagotai-Tatar, A., & David D. (2019). Cognitive-behavioral
9	therapy (CBT) for generalized anxiety disorder: Contrasting various CBT approaches in
10	a randomized clinical trial. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 75, 1188-1202.
11	doi:10.1002/jclp.22779.
12	Stiles, W. B., Barkham, M., Mellor-Clark, J., & Connell, J. (2008). Effectiveness of
13	cognitive-behavioral, person-centred, and psychodynamic therapies in UK primary-care
14	routine practice: replication in a larger sample. Psychological Medicine, 38, 677-688.
15	doi:10.1017/S0033291707001511
16	Strosahl, K. D., Hayes, S. C., Wilson, K. G., & Gifford, E. V. (2004). An ACT Primer: Core
17	therapy processes, intervention strategies, and therapist competencies. In S. C. Hayes &
18	K. D. Strosahl (Eds.), A practical guide to Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (pp.
19	31-58). New York City, NY: Springer
20	Turner, M. J. (2016a). Rational Emotive Behavior Therapy (REBT), irrational and rational
21	beliefs, and the mental health of athletes. Frontiers: Movement Science and Sport
22	Psychology. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01423.
23	Turner, M. J. (2016b). Proposing a rational resilience credo for athletes. Journal of Sport
24	Psychology in Action, 7, 170-181. doi:10.1080/21520704.2016.1236051
25	Turner, M. J. (2019). REBT in Sport. In M. E. Bernard & W. Dryden (Eds.), Advancing

1	REBT Theory, Research and Practice. New York City, NY: Springer.
2	Turner, M. J., & Allen, M. (2018). Confirmatory factor analysis of the irrational Performance
3	Beliefs Inventory (iPBI) in a sample of amateur and semi-professional athletes.
4	Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 35, 126-130. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.11.017.
5	Turner, M. J., Aspin, G., & Gillman, J. (2019). Maladaptive schema as a potential mechanism
6	through which irrational beliefs relate to psychological distress in athletes. Psychology
7	of Sport & Exercise, 44, 9-16. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2019.04.015
8	Turner, M. J., & Barker. J. B. (2014). Using rational emotive behavior therapy with athletes.
9	The Sport Psychologist, 28, 75-90. doi:10.1123/tsp.2013-0012
10	Turner, M. J., & Bennett, R. (2018). Rational Emotive Behaviour Therapy in Sport and
11	Exercise. Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
12	Turner, M. J., Carrington, S., & Miller, A. (2019). Psychological distress across sport
13	participation groups: The mediating effects of secondary irrational beliefs on the
14	relationship between primary irrational beliefs and symptoms of anxiety, anger, and
15	depression. Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 13, 17-30. doi:10.1123/jcsp.2017-
16	0014
17	Turner, M. J., & Davis, H. (2018). Exploring the effects of rational emotive behaviour
18	therapy (REBT) on the irrational beliefs and self-determined motivation of triathletes.
19	Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 31, 253-272.
20	doi:10.1080/10413200.2018.1446472
21	Turner, M. J., & Moore, M. (2016). Irrational beliefs predict increased emotional and
22	physical exhaustion in Gaelic football athletes. International Journal of Sport
23	Psychology, 47, 187-199. Retrieved from http://www.ijsp-online.com
24	Turner, M. J., & Wood, A. G. (2018). Smarter Thinking 2 (1.0) [Mobile application

25 software]. Digital Kiln. Retrieved from https://itunes.apple.com/gb/store

1	Ward, E., King, M., Lloyd, M., Bower, P., Sibbald, B., Farrelly. S., Gabbay, M., Tarrier, N.,
2	& Addington-Hall, J. (2000). Randomised controlled trial of non-directive counselling,
3	cognitive-behaviour therapy, and usual general practitioner care for patients with
4	depression. I : Clinical effectiveness. British Medical Journal, 321, 1383-1388.
5	doi:10.1136/bmj.321.7273.1383
6	Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief
7	measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. Journal of Personality
8	and Social Psychology, 54, 1063-1070. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.54.6.1063
9	Watson, J., Hilliard, R., & Way, W. (2017, July 27). Counseling and Communication Skills
10	in Sport and Performance Psychology. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of
11	Psychology. Retrieved 8 Aug. 2019, from
12	https://oxfordre.com/psychology/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190236557.001.0001/acre
13	fore-9780190236557-e-140.
14	Wood, A., Barker, J. B., & Turner, M. J. (2017). Developing performance using rational
15	emotive behavior therapy (REBT): A case study with an elite archer. The Sport
16	Psychologist, 31, 78-87. doi:10.1123/tsp.2015-0083
17	Young, J. (1999). Cognitive therapy for personality disorder (3rd ed.). Sarasota, FL:
18	Professional Resource Press.
19	Young, J. (2005). Young schema questionnaire - short form 3. New York City, NY: Schema
20	Therapy Institute.
21	Young, J., Klosko, J., & Weishaar, M. (2003). Schema therapy: A practitioner's guide. New
22	York City, NY: Guildford Press.
23	
24	

Table 1. Exemplar TAS based on Jordan's case (adapted with permission from Didymus & Fletcher, 2017).

1. Situation	2. Automatic Thoughts	3. Emotions	4. Alternative Thoughts	5. Alternative Emotions
Describe the situation clearly and concisely.	What thoughts do you have about the situation? Rate the believability of these thoughts from 0% to 100%	What are you feeling? Rate the intensity of these emotions from 0% to 100%	What more functional thoughts could you have about this situation? Rate the believability of these thoughts 0% to 100%	How might you feel after having the alternative thought? Rate the intensity of these emotions from 0% to 100%
Underperformance in training and competition, which presents as missed passes, lack of accuracy when shooting, and unhelpful muscle tension.	"I'm useless at football these days" (80%) "What's the point in trying any more" (50%) "I'll never make the starting line up if I continue to play like this" (70%)	Irritated (80%) Annoyed (80%) Upset (80%)	"This is just a phase and my effort will pay off in the end" (80%) "I will keep trying" (100%)	Determined (90%) Apprehensive (80%) Irritated (30%)
Being dropped from the starting line-up for three consecutive games.	"The coach thinks I'm not good enough" (100%) "It will be downhill from here for sure" (100%) "I will not play well even if I do make the starting team" (80%) "I bet they're laughing behind my back" (60%) "I have nothing to fall back on if football doesn't work out" (90%)	Angry (80%) Embarrassed (90%) Anxious (60%)	"It's worth trying" (100%) "I can play well" (80%) "I can have an impact on the game even if I start from the bench" (80%)	Excited (80%) Nervous (60%) Angry (30%)

*Table 2*. Comparison of CBTs for the case of Jordan (concept adapted from Matweychuk, DiGiuseppe, & Gulyayeva, 2019). This table is not a practice guide, but rather, it portrays the core elements of the hypothetical work done with Jordan.

Characteristics	REBT	СТ	ACT	ST
Chief aims	Address core beliefs about the	Address thoughts and inferences	Change client's relationship with	Identify and reduce deeply held
	self to address the UNE of	about self and the coach, and	internal experiences to reduce	maladaptive schemas of 'failure to
	shame.	future expectancies, to address	experiential avoidance and produce	achieve' and 'defectiveness' and
		anger and embarrassment.	psychological flexibility.	develop more adaptive alternatives.
Cognitive	Irrational and rational core	Core and intermediate beliefs, and	Psychological rigidity is the root of	Maladaptive schemas underpin
mediation	beliefs determine emotional and	automatic thoughts, determine	suffering, and psychological	view of self and world, leading to
	behavioral reactivity.	emotional and behavioral	flexibility is the root of wellbeing.	psychological distress.
		reactivity.		
Assessment	GABCDE conceptualization,	Cognitive conceptualization,	Case formulation, focus on the six	Explore existence and origins of
	development of working	development of working alliance,	core ACT processes, establish	schema-related thoughts and
	alliance, inference chaining,	the TAS, and psychometrics.	existence of psychological	feelings, psychometrics, imagery.
	psychometrics.		inflexibility, focus on function	
			rather than form, psychometrics.	
Cognitive	Disputation of core beliefs is a	Disputation of thoughts is a core	Sceptical of disputation and avoids	Schemas empirically challenged,
restructuring	core strategy, including	strategy, primarily relying on	it. Efforts to undermine	fought, controlled, and distanced.
	empirical, logical, and pragmatic	empirical challenges.	maladaptive cognitions are at odds	Veracity of schema is weakened.
	challenges.		with ACT.	
Prominent	Psycho-education, strengthening	Ongoing focus on functionality	Acceptance and mindfulness	Imagery rescription, empty chair,
treatment	the B-C connection, interactive	and veracity of automatic	processes, commitment and	homework tasks.
techniques	activities, ARRC, homework	thoughts, psycho-education,	behavioral activation processes,	
	tasks (Smarter Thinking App),	homework tasks.	diffusion, creative hopelessness	
	role play.		exercises, self-as-context.	