



## 7 Bone health and the exercising female

*Jacky Forsyth and Karen Hind*

### Introduction

The benefits of regular exercise throughout the lifespan are well recognised, with certain types of exercise more beneficial to bone than others. In contrast, high levels of endurance exercise, and participation in sports that emphasise leanness, have been associated with low bone strength, bone loss, and elevated stress fracture risk. In females, these skeletal problems are mainly reported in athletes displaying Female Athlete Triad conditions, arising from relative energy deficit and/or functional hypothalamic amenorrhoea.

In this chapter, the following will be addressed: the issues that the exercising female may encounter due to low bone density as a result of relative energy deficit (purposeful or inadvertent), menstrual dysfunction, and endogenous and exogenous changes in oestrogen that occur across the lifespan; and how to optimise bone health for the exercising female, to ensure athletic success, and longevity of an athletic career.

### *Aims of the chapter*

The aims of the chapter are as follows:

- 1 To examine the physiological processes involved in bone remodelling, and the effect of oestrogen and energy deficiency on bone turnover.
- 2 To evaluate the research on the interplay between endocrinology, exercise, and bone health.
- 3 To examine strategies to support bone health for the exercising female and how to effectively maximise bone strength.

### Osteoporosis

Osteoporosis is characterised by low bone mineral density (BMD) and architectural deterioration of bone. For women, bone loss and osteoporosis are of particular concern, since around 50 per cent of females suffer an



osteoporotic fracture in their lifetime (Randell et al., 1995). Common sites of fragility fracture are the femoral neck, distal radius, and vertebrae. Fractures can lead to a loss of independence, a reduction in quality of life, and an increase in premature mortality. Osteoporosis is known as a 'silent disease' in that bone loss is not realised until fracture. Thereafter, secondary prevention is key. Nonetheless, the most tangible approach to reducing fracture risk is through primary prevention. The maximisation of bone mass during adolescence, maintaining optimal bone mass during the premenopausal years, and reducing the rate of postmenopausal bone loss are critical for avoiding osteoporotic bone fracture.

### The measurement of bone

The most widely used and universally recognised method for bone health assessment is dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). This method provides a highly precise measurement of BMD (Carey & Delaney, 2017; Hind, Oldroyd, & Truscott, 2010), and uses low ionising radiation with bone density evaluations typically equivalent, or less, than 2 days of natural background radiation. A bone density assessment by DXA usually includes scans of both the lumbar spine and total hip, with each scan only taking several minutes. Areal BMD ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) measured by DXA is a robust predictor of fracture risk (Cummings et al., 1993). The Z- and T-score scales measure the deviation from age- and sex-matched and young normal mean values, respectively, and are calibrated in SD (standard deviation) units. A 1-SD decline in BMD results in around a doubling of fracture risk (Marshall, Johnell, & Wedel, 1996).

In postmenopausal females, osteoporosis is defined as a BMD T-score that is  $-2.5$  or less, and osteopaenia as a BMD T-score that is between  $-1.0$  and  $-2.4$  (Kanis & Kanis, 1994). In people aged under 50 years, low BMD is identified as a Z-score that is equal to or less than  $-2.0$ , although  $-1.0$  also indicates suboptimum BMD, particularly for exercising females who require stronger bones for repetitive or higher impact activities. The definitions of osteoporosis and low BMD for age, are further described in the official Positions of the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (Schousboe, Shepherd, Bilezikian, & Baim, 2013).

Volumetric BMD is assessed using medical imaging such as peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT). This method can clearly distinguish between the different types of bone tissue, and high resolution pQCT systems provide advanced information on the bone microarchitecture, which is an independent risk factor for fracture. Over the last decade, studies of bone architecture in female athletes from various sports demonstrate the osteogenic effects of loading (e.g., Kontulainen, Sievänen, Kannus, Pasanen, & Vuori, 2003; Nikander, Sievänen, Uusi-Rasi, Heinonen, & Kannus, 2006). Athletes with amenorrhoea demonstrate lower cortical volumetric BMD (Ackerman et al., 2011), which suggests an increased risk of fracture as a result of menstrual disturbances.



## Bone structure and bone turnover

There are two types of bone tissue – cortical and trabecular, which differ according to structure, function, and location. In cortical bone, the structural unit is the Haversian system or osteon, which runs the length of the bone, and consists of concentric layers or lamellae. Cortical bone has a high resistance to torque, and has a slow bone turnover rate. It is found on the outer surfaces of most bones and in the shafts of long bones.

Trabecular bone consists of a matrix, called trabeculae. This mesh-like design enables trabecular bone to withstand sudden stresses that occur through the joints during loading. Bone remodelling takes place predominantly within trabecular bone, and it is where haematopoiesis and mineral (calcium and phosphate) metabolism takes place. Trabecular bone is more associated with osteoporosis, and more sensitive to oestrogen deficiency (Beerthuis et al., 2000). It is found mainly at the ends of long bones, and in the internal portions of other bones, such as the spine and pelvis. Approximately 80 per cent of the skeleton consists of cortical bone, and 20 per cent of trabecular bone (Eriksen, Axelrod, & Melsen, 1994).

There are five main types of bone cell: bone-lining cells, osteoprogenitor cells, osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. Bone-lining cells remain on the bone surface when there is no active bone growth. Osteoprogenitor cells are derived from mesenchymal stem cells, and differentiate into osteoblasts, the bone-forming cells, found at the bone surface. Osteocytes are the mature bone cells, found deep within the bone matrix in small lacunae (spaces), and are also central to mechanotransduction (sensing and responding to mechanical loading). Osteoclasts, derived from osteoclastic precursors, develop and differentiate to become mature, bone-resorbing cells.

Throughout life, bone is in a constant state of remodelling through bone resorption (removal) and bone formation. Bone remodelling occurs in response to the need for calcium in the extracellular fluid, to mechanical stress on the bone (e.g., through exercise), and to changes in hormones. Following bone resorption, osteoblasts migrate to the resorption pit, and secrete collagen and various proteins, creating osteoid, which is uncalcified bone tissue. Osteoblasts assist with the calcification of the osteoid, involving the secretion of alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, and osteonectin (Florescu-Silva et al., 2015). The whole remodelling cycle takes approximately three months.

### *Endocrine and hormone effects*

Bone turnover is modulated by a wide variety of hormones/endocrine activity. Of primary importance for women's bone health is the hormone oestrogen, which comprises a group of steroid hormones (oestradiol, oestriol, and oestrone), produced by the ovaries in women and in small amounts by the male testes and adrenal cortex. Oestrogen has a necessary role in the development



and maintenance of BMD. Deficiencies, such as that arising from the menopause, can bring rapid bone loss. Oestrogen deficiency can also occur in young women, who exercise excessively and/or eat too little; secondary hypothalamic amenorrhoea (present when a female, with previously normal menstrual cycles, has fewer than three menstrual cycles per year) is an acquired gonadal-releasing hormone deficiency, leading to ovarian suppression, and a deficiency of the sex steroids.

The interaction of oestrogen within the bone remodelling process involves tumour necrosis factor (TNF) cells: RANKL (the name is derived from Receptor Activator of Nuclear factor Kappa-B Ligand), RANK (Receptor Activator of Nuclear factor Kappa-B), and osteoprotegerin (OPG) (Rosen, 2013; Scheurer, 2013). RANKL is expressed by osteoblasts, and plays a key role in bone resorption, through its binding with its receptor, RANK, which is expressed on the surface of osteoclast precursors. This binding activates signalling pathways that promote fusion, differentiation, and maturation of osteoclasts. Osteoblasts also express OPG, which works as a decoy receptor for RANKL, by preventing the binding of RANKL to RANK.

With optimal oestrogen levels, RANKL expression by osteoblasts is inhibited, and OPG blocks the binding of RANKL to RANK; osteoclastic activity is reduced. Suboptimal levels of oestrogen (leading to an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-1 [IL-1]) result in an increased expression of RANKL (Schett, 2011). Osteoprotegerin, which also decreases, is unable to block the binding of RANKL to RANK, being overwhelmed by the excessive expression of RANKL. Increased osteoclastic activity results and outstrips the pace of osteoblastic activity, leading to net bone loss (Marques et al., 2013; Xiong & O'Brien, 2012). Oestrogen also exerts its influence on bone formation through an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines (Manolagas, 2013).

There are several other hormones, relevant to women that can influence bone metabolism. Increases in follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (for example during the perimenopause, prior to a notable reduction in oestrogen, or in subclinical menstrual dysfunction) can impact bone metabolism through osteoclast FSH receptors and FSH-increased expression of RANKL (Colaianni, Cuscito, & Colucci, 2013). Reductions in testosterone promote osteoclastogenesis and decrease bone formation and calcium absorption (Chen, Kaji, Kanatani, Sugimoto, & Chihara, 2004). In women, as in men, androgens also have independent effects on bone development (Manolagas, O'Brien, & Almeida, 2013).

### Exercise and bone health

Bone adapts to its habitual loading environment and responds to a wide range of biochemical and physical stimuli. In particular, the musculoskeletal loading sustained during exercise is a major osteogenic stimulus. Exercise has an undisputed role for the attainment of peak bone mass, and the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45



1 subsequent maintenance of bone as a prophylaxis against osteoporosis. The  
2 mechanism by which bone adapts to loading is well described in the mech-  
3 anostat theory (Frost, 1987), which proposes that survival of the skeleton  
4 depends on the functional coordination of bone modelling and remodelling,  
5 and that when all else is equal, individuals who are physically active will  
6 possess stronger bones than their less active peers. Evidencing the mechanos-  
7 tat, superior bone strength is frequently reported in female athletes from  
8 sports such as gymnastics, running, and alpine skiing compared to non-  
9 athletic peers or athletes from non-weightbearing sports (Hind, Gannon,  
10 Whatley, Cooke, & Truscott, 2012; Sievänen et al., 2015), as well as positive  
11 skeletal effects from impact- or resistance-exercise (Tucker, Strong, LeChem-  
12 inant, & Bailey, 2015; Watson et al., 2018).

### 13 14 *Cellular responses to loading*

15  
16 At the molecular level, osteocytes sense bone loading through impacts (grav-  
17 itational) or directly from muscle forces upon bone. Osteocyte mechanosen-  
18 sation is facilitated through plasma membrane disruption (Yu et al., 2017).  
19 When bone is loaded, movement of interstitial fluid creates shear stress on  
20 the cell membrane of the osteocytes instigating mechanotransduction pro-  
21 cesses (Robling & Turner, 2009). Osteocytes respond through calcium sig-  
22 nalling to osteoblasts and osteoclasts (Marques et al., 2013), which leads to a  
23 decrease in RANKL/OPG ratio (Robling & Turner, 2009). Loading of bone  
24 also downregulates sclerostin expression via osteocytes (Xiong & O'Brien,  
25 2012), which increases bone formation via relieving inhibition of canonical  
26 Wnt signalling in osteoblasts and through regulating OPG, which sup-  
27 presses the resorptive activity of osteoclasts (Galea, Lanyon, & Price, 2017).

### 28 29 *Optimal exercise for bone health*

30  
31 Animal studies have provided important insights for our understanding of  
32 the key components of an optimal exercise programme. It has been clearly  
33 demonstrated that dynamic rather than static loads, high strain magnitudes,  
34 high strain rates, rapid strain reversal, and unusual frequency distributions  
35 provide optimal osteogenic stimuli (Ehrlich & Lanyon, 2002; Rubin, Som-  
36 merfeldt, Judex, & Qin, 2001). The duration of load and the number of  
37 loading cycles appear to be of minor importance, whereas rest periods  
38 between bouts of loading have a positive role (Robling, Burr, & Turner,  
39 2000).

40 In humans, exercise that mimics the loading patterns identified in animal  
41 studies, have been successful in increasing bone health. For instance,  
42 jumping interventions are particularly efficacious for improving femoral  
43 BMD, and especially if undertaken as short-discrete bouts (Babatunde &  
44 Forsyth, 2013; Babatunde, Forsyth, & Gidlow, 2012; Martyn-St James &  
45 Carroll, 2010; Zhao, Zhao, & Zhang, 2014). In contrast, walking and



jogging bring about relatively modest improvements in bone health (Martyn-St James & Carroll, 2008; Palombaro, 2005), likely reflecting the habituation and desensitisation to the continuous loading and repetitive nature of these activities. Athletes involved in non-weightbearing sports, such as cyclists, can have lower BMD than athletes participating in weightbearing sports, to a level that is similar to, or less than, their non-active peers (Campion et al., 2010; Hind et al., 2012). It is also important to consider that the skeletal response to loading is localised to the focus of strain, which means that any changes in bone mass and structure are site specific. This localisation is clearly demonstrated through greater bone strength in the dominant versus non-dominant forearms of racquet sports' players (Ducher, Tournaire, Meddahi-Pellé, Benhamou, & Courteix, 2006; Kontulainen et al., 2003), in the upper body of gymnasts (Burt, Greene, Ducher, & Naughton, 2013), and in the greater BMD of the lower limbs compared to the spine in long-distance runners (Hind, Truscott, & Evans, 2006).

As well as gravitational loading, skeletal muscles provide an osteogenic driving force. Resistance training programmes can be designed to develop muscle and bone strength throughout the whole body and resistance can be adjusted to suit the level of the individual. Regular strength training is associated with higher BMD in female distance runners regardless of amenorrhoea (Hind et al., 2006), and in intervention studies improved BMD in premenopausal women and prematurely menopausal women have been reported following resistance training interventions (Watson et al., 2018; Winters-Stone et al., 2013). From the evidence to date, exercise programmes should include not only gravitational, impact loading to the skeleton, but also exercises that develop muscle strength.

*The Female Athlete Triad and bone health*

The Female Athlete Triad is characterised by the three inter-related components of low energy availability, altered menstrual function, and low BMD. Reduced energy availability or relative energy deficit is the key driver of the Triad and occurs when there is a failure to match calorific energy intake with exercise energy expenditure. Over time, energy deficit can negatively affect bone health in female athletes through: (a) effects on the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis; and (b) effects on metabolic hormones and substrates. The Triad is covered in more detail in Chapter 6.

Athletes with longstanding functional hypothalamic amenorrhoea have been shown to benefit less from the osteogenic effects of exercise (Ackerman et al., 2012; Bonis, Loftin, Speaker, & Kontos, 2009). Even subtle alterations in the oestrogen/progesterone imbalance (e.g., regular menstruation but alterations in luteinising hormone), as seen in subclinical ovulatory disturbances, may adversely impact bone, particularly at trabecular-bone-dominant sites, such as the spine (Li, Hitchcock, Barr, Yu, & Prior, 2014). With

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45





1 optimal levels of oestrogen, exercise brings a greater osteogenic response  
2 than either exercise alone or oestrogen alone (Balasch, 2003).

3 Other endocrine disturbances from energy deficit include hypercortisolaemia,  
4 growth hormone resistance, reductions in insulin-like growth factor-1  
5 (IGF-1) and suppressed 3,5,3 triiodothyronine ('low T3 syndrome') (Zanker  
6 & Cooke, 2004). Each have been shown to influence bone turnover; for  
7 example, hypercortisolaemia limits osteoblastic function and increases osteo-  
8 clastic activity (Bressot et al., 1979), while reductions in IGF-1 retard the  
9 activity of osteoblasts and bone collagen synthesis (Yakar et al., 2002). In  
10 studies where an energy deficit has been experimentally induced in exercis-  
11 ing females, significant reductions in IGF-1 and total triiodothyronine  
12 (TT<sub>3</sub>), with corresponding reductions in bone formation, have been demon-  
13 strated, indicating that low energy availability directly affects bone metabo-  
14 lism (Ihle & Loucks, 2004). Prolonged relative energy deficit also brings  
15 disruptions in the body's nitrogen balance (Zanker & Cooke, 2004), which  
16 can lead to further negative effects on skeletal integrity through a loss of  
17 muscle mass and muscle strength (Kortebein, Ferrando, Lombeida, Wolfe, &  
18 Evans, 2007).

19 In the short-term, amenorrhoea and energy deficit in female athletes are  
20 associated with an increased risk for skeletal injury such as stress fracture and  
21 stress reaction (Barrack et al., 2014). There have been case reports of dis-  
22 placed femoral-neck fractures in amenorrhoeic female long-distance runners  
23 after continuing to run on untreated femoral-neck stress fractures (Goolsby,  
24 Barrack, & Nattiv, 2012; Okamoto, Arai, Hara, Tsuzihara, & Kubo, 2010).  
25 These athletes also had a history of disordered eating and low body mass.  
26 The long-term effects on bone strength are unclear but researchers indicate  
27 that, in some cases, bone density is recoverable through weight gains and  
28 resumption of menses, at least by the age of 30 years (Hind, 2008; Hind,  
29 Zanker, & Truscott, 2011).

### 31 *Contraceptives and bone health*

32 The effects of contraceptives on bone health and performance in female  
33 athletes has been a topic of much interest over the last few decades.  
34 Hormone-based contraceptives are used, by some, for the purposes of regu-  
35 lating or manipulating menses and associated symptoms, as well for its  
36 intended purpose (see Chapter 4 for a full review). The BMD of combined  
37 oral contraceptive (OC) users has been found to be lower than that of non-  
38 users (Hartard et al., 2007; Prior et al., 2001), although many researchers  
39 have found no change in BMD with OC use (e.g., Hind, Truscott, & Carroll,  
40 2008; Nappi, Bifulco, Tommaselli, Gargano, & Di Carlo, 2012). The mixed  
41 findings concerned with OC use might be explained by the ratio of progesterone  
42 to oestradiol found in the different OC preparations (Nappi et al.,  
43 2012), and the type of concomitant exercise undertaken. The use of OCs  
44 might also lower the set point for mechanical adaptation as a result of  
45



exercise (Hartard, Bottermann, Bartenstein, Jeschke, & Schwaiger, 1997; Weaver et al., 2001).

Using progesterone-only contraception, in particular Depot Medroxyprogesterone Acetate (DMPA), also known as Depo-Provera®, can decrease BMD, especially with sustained use, among adolescents and with advancing age (Curtis & Martins, 2006; Shaarawy, El-Mallah, Seoudi, Hassan, & Mohsen, 2006). The use of DMPA and concurrent engagement in high levels of exercise may not be as beneficial to bone health as exercise undertaken without DMPA use (Babatunde & Forsyth, 2014). In the hypo-oestrogenic state, mechanical strain, brought about through exercise, could downregulate oestrogen receptor-alpha (ERα) expression (Zaman, Cheng, Jessop, White, & Lanyon, 2000) and hence impair the osteocytes' signalling capability. It is, therefore, important, to self-regulate hormone-based contraceptive use, and to check bone health and oestrogen status regularly.

*The menopause, exercise, and bone health*

The menopause (which is reviewed in Chapter 20) can negatively impact bone metabolism and lead to net bone loss. In response, there have been numerous studies to explore the effectiveness of exercise for protecting bone health in postmenopausal women. The results have been mixed and are likely to reflect differences in exercise modalities and exercise compliance (Howe et al., 2011; Kelley & Kelley, 2006). In interventions that have included high-impact activity, such as impact loading and jumping, BMD improvements have been modest (Bolton et al., 2012), although others have reported more beneficial osteogenic effects (Borer, Fogleman, Gross, La New, & Dengel, 2007). Positive effects have also been reported from interventions where high-magnitude joint loading has been achieved through resistance training (Marques, Mota, & Carvalho, 2012; Watson, Weeks, Weis, Horan, & Beck, 2015). Exercise for this population may counteract the negative effects of hypo-oestrogenism, but it needs to be targeted.

**Practical recommendations based on research**

- Exercising females should ensure that energy needs are well balanced with sufficient energy intake to support normal menstruation and bone health and reduce the risk of injuries including stress fracture.
- The Female Athlete Triad includes negative consequences for bone strength and, therefore, exercising females, their coaches, and support teams, should recognise signs, and seek positive interventions.
- Training programmes for the exercising female, regardless of age or menstrual status, should consist of bone-targeted, multicomponent exercise, such as muscle-strengthening exercise, and exercise that is dynamic, of high impact, discrete (with rest bouts), and unusual, with all areas of the body targeted.





## Real-world example

1 A 21-year-old, international-level, female, long-distance runner displayed all  
2 three components of the Female Athlete Triad, with significant, and pro-  
3 longed energy deficit. At first presentation, she was running around 88 km/  
4 week, her body mass was 44.3 kg and her lumbar spine, total hip, and total  
5 body BMD Z-scores were -2.2, -0.5, and -0.3, respectively. She suffered a  
6 stress fracture of the left sacrum at first presentation and of the third meta-  
7 tarsal two years later. Six years later, following a recovery plan of 20 weeks  
8 consisting of cognitive behavioural therapy, weight gain (10 kg), improved  
9 dietary intake, and reduced training load (88 to 22 km/week), she regained  
10 menstrual function, and BMD. Her lumbar spine, hip, and total body BMD  
11 Z-scores improved to -0.6, 0.1, and -0.1, respectively. Restoration of ferti-  
12 lity was indicated by pregnancy, following only four months of regular men-  
13 struation. This real-world example suggests that bone density and fertility  
14 may be recovered in formerly amenorrhoeic and osteopaenic athletes,  
15 through diet, weight gain, and return of menstruation.  
16  
17  
18

## Summary

19 Energy balance and adequate levels of oestrogen are important for the exer-  
20 cising female, since both are key mediators of bone remodelling. Decrements  
21 to bone health can also occur through the use of certain contraceptives, such  
22 as progesterone-only contraceptives, and through changes in hormones as a  
23 result of menopause. Exercise that specifically targets the bone, such as  
24 dynamic, high-impact, muscle-strengthening, and discrete bouts of exercise  
25 are important for the exercising female, especially when oestrogen is subop-  
26 timal, or when the usual exercise undertaken is non-weightbearing.  
27  
28  
29  
30

## References

- 31  
32 Ackerman, K. E., Nazem, T., Chapko, D., Russell, M., Mendes, N., Taylor, A. P.,  
33 ... Misra, M. (2011). Bone microarchitecture is impaired in adolescent amenor-  
34 rheic athletes compared with eumenorrheic athletes and nonathletic controls. *The*  
35 *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 96(10), 3123–3133. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1614)  
36 [org/10.1210/jc.2011-1614](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1614).  
37 Ackerman, K. E., Putman, M., Guereca, G., Taylor, A. P., Pierce, L., Herzog, D. B.,  
38 ... Misra, M. (2012). Cortical microstructure and estimated bone strength in  
39 young amenorrhoeic athletes, eumenorrheic athletes and non-athletes. *Bone*, 51(4),  
40 680–687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2012.07.019>.  
41 Babatunde, O., & Forsyth, J. (2013). Effects of lifestyle exercise on premenopausal  
42 bone health: A randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*,  
43 32(5), 563–572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-013-0527-9>.  
44 Babatunde, O., & Forsyth, J. (2014). Association between depot medroxyprogester-  
45 one acetate (DMPA), physical activity and bone health. *Journal of Bone and Mineral*  
*Metabolism*, 32(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-013-0497-y>.



Babatunde, O. O., Forsyth, J. J., & Gidlow, C. J. (2012). A meta-analysis of brief high-impact exercises for enhancing bone health in premenopausal women. *Osteoporosis International*, 23(1), 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-011-1801-0>. 1  
2  
3

Balasz, J. (2003). Sex steroids and bone: Current perspectives. *Human Reproduction Update*, 9(3), 207–222. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmg017>. 4  
5

Barrack, M. T., Gibbs, J. C., De Souza, M. J., Williams, N. I., Nichols, J. F., Rauh, M. J., & Nattiv, A. (2014). Higher incidence of bone stress injuries with increasing female athlete triad-related risk factors. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 42(4), 949–958. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513520295>. 6  
7  
8

Beerthuizen, R., van Beek, A., Massai, R., Mäkäräinen, L., Hout, J. in't, & Bennink, H. C. (2000). Bone mineral density during long-term use of the progestagen contraceptive implant Implanon® compared to a non-hormonal method of contraception. *Human Reproduction*, 15(1), 118–122. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/15.1.118>. 9  
10  
11  
12  
13

Bolton, K. L., Egerton, T., Wark, J., Wee, E., Matthews, B., Kelly, A., ... Bennell, K. L. (2012). Effects of exercise on bone density and falls risk factors in postmenopausal women with osteopenia: A randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 15(2), 102–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2011.08.007>. 14  
15  
16  
17

Bonis, M., Loftin, M., Speaker, R., & Kontos, A. (2009). Body composition of elite, eumenorrheic and amenorrheic, adolescent cross-country runners. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 21(3), 318–328. 18  
19  
20

Borer, K. T., Fogleman, K., Gross, M., La New, J. M., & Dengel, D. (2007). Walking intensity for postmenopausal bone mineral preservation and accrual. *Bone*, 41(4), 713–721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2007.06.009>. 21  
22  
23

Bressot, C., Meunier, P., Chapuy, M., Lejeune, E., Edouard, C., & Darby, A. (1979). Histomorphometric profile, pathophysiology and reversibility of corticosteroid-induced osteoporosis. *Metabolic Bone Disease and Related Research*, 1(4), 303–311. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0221-8747\(79\)90024-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0221-8747(79)90024-9). 24  
25  
26  
27

Burt, L. A., Greene, D. A., Ducher, G., & Naughton, G. A. (2013). Skeletal adaptations associated with pre-pubertal gymnastics participation as determined by DXA and pQCT: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 16(3), 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.07.006>. 28  
29  
30

Campion, F., Nevill, A. M., Karlsson, M. K., Lounana, J., Shabani, M., Fardellone, P., & Medelli, J. (2010). Bone status in professional cyclists. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 31(7), 511–515. <http://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1243616>. 31  
32  
33

Carey, J. J., & Delaney, M. F. (2017). Utility of DXA for monitoring, technical aspects of DXA BMD measurement and precision testing. *Bone*, 104, 44–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2017.05.021>. 34  
35  
36

Chen, Q., Kaji, H., Kanatani, M., Sugimoto, T., & Chihara, K. (2004). Testosterone Increases Osteoprotegerin mRNA Expression in Mouse Osteoblast Cells. *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, 36(10), 674–678. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-826013>. 37  
38  
39

Colaianni, G., Cuscito, C., & Colucci, S. (2013). Review article FSH and TSH in the regulation of bone mass: The pituitary/immune/bone axis. *Clinical and Developmental Immunology*, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/382698>. 40  
41

Cummings, S. R., Browner, W., Cummings, S. R., Black, D. M., Nevitt, M. C., Browner, W., ... Vogt, T. M. (1993). Bone density at various sites for prediction of hip fractures. *The Lancet*, 341(8837), 72–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(93\)92555-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(93)92555-8). 42  
43  
44  
45



- 1 Curtis, K. M., & Martins, S. L. (2006). Progestogen-only contraception and bone  
2 mineral density: a systematic review. *Contraception*, 73, 470–487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2005.12.010>.
- 3  
4 Ducher, G., Tournaire, N., Meddahi-Pellé, A., Benhamou, C. L., & Courteix, D.  
5 (2006). Short-term and long-term site-specific effects of tennis playing on trabecular  
6 and cortical bone at the distal radius. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*,  
7 24(6), 484–490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-006-0710-3>.
- 8 Ehrlich, P. J., & Lanyon, L. E. (2002). Mechanical strain and bone cell function: A  
9 review. *Osteoporosis International*, 13(9), 688–700. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001980200095>.
- 10 Eriksen, E. F., Axelrod, D. W., & Melsen, F. (1994). *Bone histomorphometry. An official  
11 publication of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research*. Philadelphia,  
12 PA: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins.
- 13 Florencio-Silva, R., Rodrigues, G., Sasso-Cerri, E., Simões, M. J., & Cerri, P. S.  
14 (2015). Biology of bone tissue: structure, function, and factors that influence bone  
15 cells. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/421746>.
- 16 Frost, H. M. (1987). Bone ‘mass’ and the ‘mechanostat’: A proposal. *The Anatomical  
17 Record*, 219(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.1092190104>.
- 18 Galea, G. L., Lanyon, L. E., & Price, J. S. (2017). Sclerostin’s role in bone’s adaptive  
19 response to mechanical loading. *Bone*, 96, 38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2016.10.008>.
- 20 Goolsby, M. A., Barrack, M. T., & Nattiv, A. (2012). A displaced femoral neck  
21 stress fracture in an amenorrheic adolescent female runner. *Sports Health: A Multi-  
22 disciplinary Approach*, 4(4), 352–356. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738111429929>.
- 23 Hartard, M., Bottermann, P., Bartenstein, P., Jeschke, D., & Schwaiger, M. (1997).  
24 Effects on bone mineral density of low-dose oral contraceptives compared to and  
25 combined with physical activity. *Contraception*, 55, 87–90.
- 26 Hartard, M., Kleinmond, C., Wiseman, M., Weissenbacher, E. R., Felsenberg, D.,  
27 & Erben, R. G. (2007). Detrimental effect of oral contraceptives on parameters of  
28 bone mass and geometry in a cohort of 248 young women. *Bone*, 40(2), 444–450.  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2006.08.001>.
- 30 Hind, K. (2008). Recovery of bone mineral density and fertility in a former amenor-  
31 rheic athlete. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 7(3), 415–418. Retrieved from  
32 [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24149911](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24149911).
- 33 Hind, K., Gannon, L., Whatley, E., Cooke, C., & Truscott, J. (2012). Bone cross-  
34 sectional geometry in male runners, gymnasts, swimmers and non-athletic con-  
35 trols: A hip-structural analysis study. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*,  
36 112(2), 535–541. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-2008-y>.
- 37 Hind, K., Oldroyd, B., & Truscott, J. G. (2010). In vivo precision of the GE Lunar  
38 iDXA densitometer for the measurement of total-body, lumbar spine, and femoral  
39 bone mineral density in adults. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, 13(4), 413–417.  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocd.2010.06.002>.
- 41 Hind, K., Truscott, J., & Carroll, S. (2008). Case report: Female athlete triad in  
42 monozygotic twins. *The Physician and Sportsmedicine*, 36(1), 119–124. <https://doi.org/10.3810/psm.2008.12.20>.
- 43 Hind, K., Truscott, J. G., & Evans, J. A. (2006). Low lumbar spine bone mineral  
44 density in both male and female endurance runners. *Bone*, 39(4), 880–885. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2006.03.012>.
- 45



Hind, K., Zanker, C., & Truscott, J. (2011). Five-year follow-up investigation of bone mineral density by age in premenopausal elite-level long-distance runners. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 21(6), 521–529. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e3182377257>.

Howe, T. E., Shea, B., Dawson, L. J., Downie, F., Murray, A., Ross, C., ... Creed, G. (2011). Exercise for preventing and treating osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (7). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000333.pub2>.

Ihle, R., & Loucks, A. B. (2004). Dose-response relationships between energy availability and bone turnover in young exercising women. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 19(8), 1231–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1359/JBMR.040410>.

Kanis, J. A., & Kanis, J. A. (1994). Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis: Synopsis of a WHO report. *Osteoporosis International*, 4(6), 368–381. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01622200>.

Kelley, G. A., & Kelley, K. S. (2006). Exercise and bone mineral density at the femoral neck in postmenopausal women: A meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials with individual patient data. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 194(3), 760–767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2005.09.006>.

Kontulainen, S., Sievänen, H., Kannus, P., Pasanen, M., & Vuori, I. (2003). Effect of long-term impact-loading on mass, size, and estimated strength of humerus and radius of female racquet-sports players: A peripheral quantitative computed tomography study between young and old starters and controls. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 18(2), 352–359. <https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2003.18.2.352>.

Kortebein, P., Ferrando, A., Lombeida, J., Wolfe, R., & Evans, W. J. (2007). Effect of 10 days of bed rest on skeletal muscle in healthy older adults. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 297(16), 1769. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.297.16.1772-b>.

Li, D., Hitchcock, C. L., Barr, S. I., Yu, T., & Prior, J. C. (2014). Negative spinal bone mineral density changes and subclinical ovulatory disturbances – prospective data in healthy premenopausal women with regular menstrual cycles. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 36(1), 137–147. <https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxt012>.

Manolagas, S. C. (2013). Steroids and osteoporosis: The quest for mechanisms. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 123(5), 1919–1921. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI68062>.

Manolagas, S. C., O'Brien, C. A., & Almeida, M. (2013). The role of estrogen and androgen receptors in bone health and disease. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 9(12), 699–712. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2013.179>.

Marques, E. A., Mota, J., & Carvalho, J. (2012). Exercise effects on bone mineral density in older adults: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Age*, 34(6), 1493–1515. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-011-9311-8>.

Marques, E. A., Mota, J., Machado, L., Sousa, F., Coelho, M., Moreira, P., & Carvalho, J. (2011). Multicomponent training program with weight-bearing exercises elicits favorable bone density, muscle strength, and balance adaptations in older women. *Calcified Tissue International*, 88(2), 117–129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-010-9437-1>.

Marques, E. A., Mota, J., Viana, J. L., Tuna, D., Figueiredo, P., Guimarães, J. T., & Carvalho, J. (2013). Response of bone mineral density, inflammatory cytokines, and biochemical bone markers to a 32-week combined loading exercise

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45



- 1 programme in older men and women. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 57(2),  
2 226–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2013.03.014>.
- 3 Marshall, D., Johnell, O., & Wedel, H. (1996). Meta-analysis of how well measures  
4 of bone mineral density predict occurrence of osteoporotic fractures. *British*  
5 *Medical Journal*, 312(7041), 1254–1259. [https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.312.](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.312.7041.1254)  
6 7041.1254.
- 7 Martyn-St James, M., & Carroll, S. (2008). Meta-analysis of walking for preservation  
8 of bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Bone*, 43(3), 521–531. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2008.05.012)  
9 doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2008.05.012.
- 10 Martyn-St James, M., & Carroll, S. (2010). Effects of different impact exercise  
11 modalities on bone mineral density in premenopausal women: A meta-analysis.  
12 *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism*, 28(3), 251–267. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-009-0139-6)  
13 s00774-009-0139-6.
- 14 Nappi, C., Bifulco, G., Tommaselli, G. A., Gargano, V., & Di Carlo, C. (2012).  
15 Hormonal contraception and bone metabolism: A systematic review. *Contraception*,  
16 86(6), 606–621. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2012.04.009>.
- 17 Nikander, R., Sievänen, H., Uusi-Rasi, K., Heinonen, A., & Kannus, P. (2006).  
18 Loading modalities and bone structures at nonweight-bearing upper extremity  
19 and weight-bearing lower extremity: A pQCT study of adult female athletes.  
20 *Bone*, 39(4), 886–894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2006.04.005>.
- 21 Okamoto, S., Arai, Y., Hara, K., Tszuhara, T., & Kubo, T. (2010). A displaced  
22 stress fracture of the femoral neck in an adolescent female distance runner with  
23 female athlete triad: A case report. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation*,  
24 2(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2555-2-6>.
- 25 Palombaro, K. M. (2005). Effects of walking-only interventions on bone mineral  
26 density at various skeletal sites: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Geriatric Physical*  
27 *Therapy*, 28(3), 102–107.
- 28 Prior, J. C., Kirkland, S. A., Joseph, L., Kreiger, N., Murray, T. M., Hanley, D. A.,  
29 ... Tenenhouse, A. (2001). Oral contraceptive use and bone mineral density in  
30 premenopausal women: cross-sectional, population-based data from the Canadian  
31 Multicentre Osteoporosis Study. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 165(8),  
32 1023–1029.
- 33 Randell, A., Sambrook, P. N., Nguyen, T. V., Lapsley, H., Jones, G., Kelly, P. J., &  
34 Eisman, J. (1995). Direct clinical and welfare costs of osteoporotic fractures in  
35 elderly men and women. *Osteoporosis International*, 5(6), 427–432.
- 36 Robling, A. G., Burr, D. B., & Turner, C. H. (2000). Partitioning a daily mechan-  
37 ical stimulus into discrete loading bouts improves the osteogenic response to  
38 loading. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 15(8), 1596–1602. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2000.15.8.1596)  
39 org/10.1359/jbmr.2000.15.8.1596.
- 40 Robling, A. G., & Turner, C. H. (2009). Mechanical signaling for bone modeling  
41 and remodeling. *Critical Reviews in Eukaryotic Gene Expression*, 19(4), 319–338.
- 42 Rosen, C. J. (Ed.). (2013). *Primer on the metabolic bone diseases and disorders of mineral metabo-*  
43 *lism*. Ames, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118453926>.
- 44 Rubin, C. T., Sommerfeldt, D. W., Judex, S., & Qin, Y. X. (2001). Inhibition of  
45 osteopenia by low magnitude, high-frequency mechanical stimuli. *Drug Discovery*  
*Today*, 6(16), 848–858. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6446\(01\)01872-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6446(01)01872-4).
- Schett, G. (2011). Effects of inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines on the  
bone. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 41(12), 1361–1366. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2362.2011.02545.x)  
org/10.1111/j.1365-2362.2011.02545.x.





- Scheurer, H. (2013). *Osteoblasts: Morphology, functions and clinical implications: Morphology, functions and clinical implications*. Hauppauge: Nova. 1
- Schousboe, J. T., Shepherd, J. A., Bilezikian, J. P., & Baim, S. (2013). Executive summary of the 2013 International Society for Clinical Densitometry Position Development Conference on Bone Densitometry. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*, 16(4), 455–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocd.2013.08.004>. 2
- Shaarawy, M., El-Mallah, S. Y., Seoudi, S., Hassan, M., & Mohsen, I. A. (2006). Effects of the long-term use of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate as hormonal contraceptive on bone mineral density and biochemical markers of bone remodeling. *Contraception*, 74(4), 297–302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2006.04.003>. 3
- Sievänen, H., Zagorski, P., Drozdowska, B., Vähä-Ypyä, H., Boron, D., Adamczyk, P., & Pluskiewicz, W. (2015). Alpine skiing is associated with higher femoral neck bone mineral density. *Journal of Musculoskeletal & Neuronal Interactions*, 15(3), 264–269. 4
- Tucker, L. A., Strong, J. E., LeCheminant, J. D., & Bailey, B. W. (2015). Effect of two jumping programs on hip bone mineral density in premenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 29(3), 158–164. <https://doi.org/10.4278/ajhp.130430-QUAN-200>. 5
- Watson, S. L., Weeks, B. K., Weis, L. J., Harding, A. T., Horan, S. A., & Beck, B. R. (2018). High-intensity resistance and impact training improves bone mineral density and physical function in postmenopausal women with osteopenia and osteoporosis: The LIFTMOR randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 33(2), 211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.3284>. 6
- Watson, S. L., Weeks, B. K., Weis, L. J., Horan, S. A., & Beck, B. R. (2015). Heavy resistance training is safe and improves bone, function, and stature in postmenopausal women with low to very low bone mass: Novel early findings from the LIFTMOR trial. *Osteoporosis International*, 26(12), 2889–2894. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-015-3263-2>. 7
- Weaver, C. M., Teegarden, D., Lyle, R. M., McCabe, G. P., McCabe, L. D., Proulx, W., ... Johnston, C. (2001). Impact of exercise on bone health and contraindication of oral contraceptive use in young women. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 33(6), 873–880. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200106000-00004>. 8
- Winters-Stone, K. M., Dobek, J., Nail, L. M., Bennett, J. A., Leo, M. C., Torgrimson-Ojerio, B., ... Schwartz, A. (2013). Impact + resistance training improves bone health and body composition in prematurely menopausal breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Osteoporosis International*, 24(5), 1637–1646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-012-2143-2>. 9
- Xiong, J., & O'Brien, C. A. (2012). Osteocyte RANKL: New insights into the control of bone remodeling. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, 27(3), 499–505. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.1547>. 10
- Yakar, S., Rosen, C. J., Beamer, W. G., Ackert-Bicknell, C. L., Wu, Y., Liu, J.-L., ... LeRoith, D. (2002). Circulating levels of IGF-1 directly regulate bone growth and density. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 110(6), 771–781. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI15463>. 11
- Yu, K., Sellman, D. P., Bahraini, A., Hagan, M. L., Elsherbini, A., Vanpelt, K. T., ... McGee-Lawrence, M. E. (2017). Mechanical loading disrupts osteocyte plasma membranes which initiates mechanosensation events in bone. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.23665>. 12





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

Zaman, G., Cheng, M. Z., Jessop, H. L., White, R., & Lanyon, L. E. (2000). Mechanical strain activates estrogen response elements in bone cells. *Bone*, 27(2), 233–239. [https://doi.org/S8756-3282\(00\)00324-0](https://doi.org/S8756-3282(00)00324-0) [pii].

Zanker, C. L., & Cooke, C. B. (2004). Energy balance, bone turnover, and skeletal health in physically active individuals. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 36(8), 1372–1381. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000135978.80362.AA>.

Zhao, R., Zhao, M., & Zhang, L. (2014). Efficiency of jumping exercise in improving bone mineral density among premenopausal women: A meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 44(10), 1393–1402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0220-8>.

Taylor & Francis  
Not for distribution