Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Energy 360

journal homepage: www2.cloud.editorialmanager.com/engy/default2.aspx

### Overview and prospects of low-emissions hydrogen ( $H_2$ ) energy systems: Roadmap for a sustainable $H_2$ economy

### Linus Onwuemezie

Centre For Renewable and Sustainable Engineering (CRSE), School of Digital, Technology, Innovation and Business (DTIB), Staffordshire University, Stoke-on-Trent ST4 2DE, UK

#### ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Keywords: Hydrogen $(H_2)$ has a big role to play in energy transition to achieve net-zero carbon emissions by 2050. For $H_2$ to Energy compete with other fuels in the energy market, more research is required to mitigate key issues like greenhouse H<sub>2</sub> production systems gas (GHG) emissions, safety, and end-use costs. For these reasons, a software-supported technical overview of $H_2$ H<sub>2</sub> storage methods production, storage, transportation, and utilisation is introduced. Drawbacks and mitigation approaches for $H_2$ H<sub>2</sub> transportation routes technologies were highlighted. The recommended areas include solar thermal or renewable-powered plasma H<sub>2</sub> fuel cell and heat engines systems for feedstock preheating and oxy-hydrogen combustion to meet operating temperatures and heat duties Hybrid H<sub>2</sub> production and utilisation due to losses; integration of electrolysis of $H_2O$ into hydrocarbon reforming methods to replace air separation unit (ASU); use of renewable power sources for electrical units and the introduction of thermoelectric units to maximise the overall efficiency. Furthermore, a battolyser system for small-scale energy storage; new synthetic hydrides with lower absorption and desorption energy; controlled parameters and steam addition to the combustor/cylinder and combustors with fitted heat exchangers to reduce emissions and improve the overall efficiency are also required. This work also provided detailed information on any of these systems implementations based on location factors and established a roadmap for $H_2$ production and utilisation. The proposed $H_2$ production technologies are hybrid pyrolysis-electrolysis and integrated AD-MEC and DR systems using renewable, bioelectrochemical and low-carbon energy systems. Production and utilisation of synthetic natural gas (NG) using renewable-powered electrolysis of $H_2O$ , oxy-hydrogen and direct air capture (DAC) is another proposed $H_2$ energy system for a sustainable $H_2$ economy. By providing these factors and information, re-

searchers can work towards pilot development and further efficiency enhancement.

#### 1. Introduction

The continuous combustion of fossil fuels for energy and the rapid growth of world populations have increased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. In addition, the growing energy demand and war in Europe and the Middle East have increased end-use costs resulting in inflation in importing countries (Chen et al., 2023). While more weather extreme is likely year-by-year because of continuous global climate change caused by continuous GHG emissions. If the trend of increasing energy demand is to continue, a renewable and low-carbon source of energy is required to limit fossil fuels' combustion and keep the temperature increase below 1.5 °C in line with the Paris climate agreement (IPCC, 2015). Furthermore, simple and reliable transport and storage of renewable and low-carbon energy systems is required because of their intermittencies. Over the years, battery energy storage has been introduced to reduce fossil fuel combustion by storing the produced renewable energy for

later use. However, self-discharge and environmental issues are the main disadvantages of battery energy storage. As of 2019, global battery sales was \$120 billion with an annual increase by 7 % and pose more health and environmental issues (Dehghani-Sanij et al., 2019). Nowadays, the global energy system is moving towards renewable and sustainable energy systems. Considering the carbon footprints, limitations and availability of fossil fuels and batteries, shifting towards a  $H_2$ economy has received so much attention recently.  $H_2$  is regarded as an energy carrier due to its low natural occurrence and a sustainable fuel of the future.  $H_2$  can be burnt to produce heat in combustion engines or reactor furnaces and ovens.  $H_2$  can also be combined with air or  $O_2$  to release electrons in fuel cell (FC) systems. Currently, the demand for  $H_2$ fuel is growing and expected to reach 18 % of the world's energy demand by 2050 at a sales price of 1.80/kg (Kannah et al., 2021). The  $H_2$ economy has the potential to create 30 million new jobs and reduce carbon emissions by 6Gt per year. H2 fuel cell (FC) application is

E-mail addresses: linus.onwuemezie@staffs.ac.uk, linus.onwuemezie@outlook.com.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energ.2024.100008

Received 21 May 2024; Received in revised form 14 July 2024; Accepted 25 July 2024 Available online 13 August 2024

2950-4872/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







expected to grow to reach 25 % in powertrains and 10 % in buildings by 2050 (Uyar and Beşikci, 2017; Mostafaeipour et al., 2016; Acar and Dincer, 2029).

 $H_2$  is a flammable, odourless and non-toxic substance with an atomic weight of 1.008. Due to its low density, it is considered the simplest and lightest element in the universe. Molecular  $H_2$  was first discovered by Paracelsus between 1493 and 1541. The well-known H<sub>2</sub> was discovered by Henry Cavendish in 1766 (Jecobson, 2002). Nowadays,  $H_2$  is mostly produced from fossil fuel technologies where  $CO_2$  is released into the environment or captured. Fossil fuel routes for H2 production emit between 8.8 –  $13.7kg_{CO_2}$  for every  $1kg_{H_2}$  and the introduction of carbon capture and storage (CCS) increases the cost (Bareiß et al., 2019). Renewable sources of  $H_2$  production such as electrolysis of  $H_2O$  are considered carbon negative if it is operated by electricity from renewable energy sources such as solar or wind. However, as recently reported by the Hydrogen Council, green  $H_2$  costs  $\frac{5.62}{kg_{H_2}}$  which is still above  $2.16/kg_{H_2}$  for the obtained  $H_2$  from the hydrocarbon reforming processes with CCS unit (Omid et al., 2024; Argyris et al., 2023). Recently, the use of solar thermal and oxy-hydrogen to preheat feedstocks in hydrocarbon reforming methods was investigated and the result revealed that  $4kg_{CO_2}$  by-product for every  $1kg_{H_2}$  is feasible (Onwuemezie et al., 2024). Although, Guo et al (Guo et al., 2024). studies on the prospect of green  $H_2$  from  $H_2O$  electrolysis suggested the use of low-cost electrocatalysts in PEM electrolyser, stability improvement of alkaline electrolyser cells and long service life for solid oxide electrolysis cell (SOEC) to reduce the cost of green  $H_2$ . Nevertheless, recent investigation on green  $H_2$  system maintained that the integration of renewable sources of  $H_2$  production into utility power systems such as wind farms to use excess electricity for  $H_2$  production can lower the cost of green  $H_2$ . Other options to reduce capital expenditures (CAPEX) by 33 % include scaling up green  $H_2$  production capacity from 1 to 20 MW (Niblett et al., 2024). Nowadays, more efforts are being made to increase the share of biohydrogen. For instance, Salamony et al. investigated an integrated microbial fuel cell (MFC) and microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) of feather hydrolysate and reported current and power densities of 9.26  $W/m^2$  and 11.5  $A/m^2$ , in addition to 7.5 mmol/day.L of biohydrogen (Salamony et al., 2024). The researched work also reported a MEC current of 10 mA - 60 mA, which can be utilised to operate other units. Most recently, integrated anaerobic digestion (AD) and dry reforming (DR) to use the recovered heat for AD feed pretreatment and AD biogas as DR feedstock was investigated. The finding shows lower volatile fatty acids (VFAs) formation and absence of carbon emissions from thermal units by adopting solar thermal and oxy-hydrogen systems for heat production (Onwuemezie and Darabkhani, 2024a).

Long-distance transport of pure  $H_2$  or blended  $H_2$  with natural gas (NG) using an existing NG pipeline offers the most promising route to prevent major investment in new infrastructure. Nonetheless, current NG pipelines were constructed with steel materials, which means that hydrogen embrittlement and hydrogen-induced crack (HIC) are inevitable (Wu et al., 2022). To prevent the interaction of  $H_2$  and other alloying metals, steel pipelines for  $H_2$  transport may undergo heat and surface pretreatments, including shot peening, electroplating and cathodic protection (CP) (Zhu et al., 2024). In addition, *Zhang et al* (Zhang et al., 2024a), proposed a controlled flowrate and leak detection monitoring to mitigate hydrogen embrittlement.

At present,  $H_2$  can be stored in compressed, liquid, cryogenic and solid forms to increase the storage volume and density, as 1 kg of  $H_2$ occupies  $11m^3$  and 0.09 kg/ $m^3$  under ambient and atmospheric conditions. Not long ago, a review of  $H_2$  production and utilisation by *Ishaq et al* (Ishaq et al., 2020). suggested an *NH*<sub>3</sub>-based  $H_2$  storage method and  $H_2$  recovery from *NH*<sub>3</sub> at the point of use. In addition, several researchers have proposed the improvement of the volumetric and gravimetric density of  $H_2$  storage and the use of  $H_2$  in internal combustion engines (ICEs) and micro gas turbine (MGT) engines (Abdalla et al., 2018; Rasul et al., 2022).

 $H_2$  can be utilised in a fuel cell (FC) to generate electricity and in heat engines to generate both heat and power in utility applications and thrust in propulsion systems. In FC systems,  $H_2$  react with air or  $O_2$  to produce electricity, heat and  $H_2O$  as by-products. Lower emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and higher efficiency are achieved in the oxyhydrogen reaction/combustion in contrast to air-hydrogen reaction/ combustion. Due to  $H_2$  low density (0.0813 g/l at 25 °C and 1 bar), high energy density (120 MJ/kg) and flammability limit in air (4-75 %), high NOx formation at higher reaction temperatures, thermal combustor wall stress, pre-ignition, short reactant residence time and low volumetric efficiency are some of the drawbacks in contrast to the competing engines (Verhelst, 2001; Abdel-Aal et al., 2005; Jo and Crowl, 2010; Gao et al., 2022; Babayev et al., 2021; Møller et al., 2017). For example, a  $H_2$ ICE operated with a supercharged unit achieved a maximum thermal efficiency of 50 % and thermal brake efficiency of 37 % (Verhelst et al., 2009). Overall, safety and end-use costs of  $H_2$  are some of the barriers for fossil fuels' substitution. H<sub>2</sub> production, transportation, storage and utilisation methods are represented in Fig. 1a and 1b.

For  $H_2$  to compete with other fuels in the energy market, hybrid fossil fuel and renewable sources of  $H_2$  production systems utilising renewable and low-carbon energy sources for operations are required for cost reduction. In addition, the use of  $H_2$  fuel in domestic applications may consider synthetic NG through CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and hydrogenation to mitigate safety issues and reuse the existing infrastructure. For these reasons, this article has provided a detailed and comprehensive overview of the current  $H_2$  systems covering production, transportation, storage and utilisation using Aspen Plus Software for some schematic diagrams. This work also covers an estimation of carbon emissions of  $H_2$ energy systems at a given feed rate for individual units, progress of lowcarbon and efficiency of  $H_2$  energy systems, comparisons and suitable locations for implementation. This is important to broaden readers' understanding of the various H<sub>2</sub> energy systems. Integrated CH<sub>4</sub> pyrolysis and electrolysis of  $H_2O$  and hybrid anaerobic digestion (AD) and a microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) coupled with biogas reforming methods were developed as sustainable  $H_2$  production technologies. Both proposed sustainable  $H_2$  production systems utilised solar thermal or renewable powered-plasma systems and an oxy-hydrogen furnace, solar PV, wind turbine and microbial fuel cell (MFC) units for heat and electricity production to achieve carbon neutrality. The sustainable use of  $H_2$  in heat engines applied electrolysis of  $H_2O$ , oxy-hydrogen and direct air capture (DAC) systems to produce synthetic NG for the reuse of existing NG infrastructures. This paper is structured as follows:

- 1. Section one (the introduction) provides a brief description of the importance of  $H_2$  technologies, recent progress and challenges to establish the novelty of this work and schematic diagrams of  $H_2$  energy systems.
- 2. The overview of *H*<sub>2</sub> production methods (fossil fuels and renewable sources) and its roadmap is provided in section two.
- 3. Section three covers the overview of  $H_2$  transportation routes.
- 4.  $H_2$  storage systems are given in section four.
- 5. Section five illustrates  $H_2$  utilisation technologies and roadmap.
- 6. The final section covers the conclusion that summarised the findings of this study.

Supplementary such as comparisons of current  $H_2$  production, storage methods and pilot studies on  $H_2$  energy technologies are in the appendix.

#### 2. Overview of $H_2$ production processes

At present, hydrocarbon reforming (steam methane, plasma, autothermal, partial oxidation, dry and sorption enhanced-chemical looping (SE-CL)), hydrocarbon pyrolysis and solid fuel gasification are fossil fuel methods of producing  $H_2$ .  $H_2O$  splitting (electrolysis, thermolysis and photolysis), thermochemical (biomass pyrolysis and gasification), and



Fig. 1a. H<sub>2</sub> production methods from fossil fuels and renewable sources.



Fig. 1b. H<sub>2</sub> transportation, storage and utilisation routes.

biological (bio-photolysis, bio-electrochemical and fermentation) processes are renewable sources of  $H_2$  production.

# 2.1. Hydrocarbon reforming and pyrolysis, and solid fuel gasification processes of $H_2$ production

#### 2.1.1. Steam methane reforming (SMR) method

SMR is one of the most advanced and cheapest  $H_2$  production methods with an efficiency of 74–85 % and accounts for 48 % of global  $H_2$  production (Onwuemezie et al., 2023a). This method requires heat for sulphur removal, steam production and the endothermic reaction of  $H_2O_{gas}$  with  $CH_4$  in the reformer or reactor. The main by-products of SMR reformer are carbon monoxide (*CO*) and  $H_2$  and require the presence of catalysts such as promoted nickel (Ni)-based or bi/polymetallic catalyst at a reaction temperature of 700 - 900 °C and pressure up to 3270kPa (Kim et al., 2018). Although, Fe-based catalysts for SMR reformers were suggested to reduce the operation cost in contrast to Ni-based catalysts (Li et al., 2023). Other SMR reformer catalysts for include *Ni/SiO*<sub>2</sub>/*Al*<sub>2</sub>*O*<sub>3</sub> at 3.5 S/C ratio and *Ru/La* – *Al*<sub>2</sub>*O*<sub>3</sub>, *Ru/Al*<sub>2</sub>*O*<sub>3</sub>@*AI*, *Ru/Nb*<sub>2</sub>*O*<sub>5</sub> and *Ru/MgO* at  $\geq$  3 S/C ratio (Zhang et al.,

2021). As desulphurisation and steam reaction with  $CH_4$  are the first and second steps, the third step is the introduction of steam in water gas shift (WGS) units to convert CO to  $CO_2$  and increase the  $H_2$  concentration. The desulphurisation stage reduces the chances of early catalysts' deactivation. In this stage, natural gas (NG) or CH<sub>4</sub> is preheated to 350 °C prior to desulphurisation column where  $H_2$  or other elements react with approximately 5 % of sulphur content in the CH<sub>4</sub> gas to form  $H_2S$ . WGS units are made up of a high-temperature shift (HTS) and a low-temperature shift (LTS) with heat exchangers for proper control of reactants' temperatures (Gillis and O'Sullivan, 2003). The operating temperatures of HTS and LTS are 350 °C and 200 °C respectively, and both use different catalysts such as promoted Ni/Al2O3 catalyst with palladium- ruthenium (Pd-Ru) to increase the conversion efficiency of  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  Iulianelli et al. (2016). In the final stage,  $H_2$  from WGS units is separated from  $CO_2$  in the separation column (pressure swing adsorption (PSA)) (Onwuemezie et al., 2023a). NG or CH<sub>4</sub> is the simplest alkane and abundant, making it the preferred feedstock for hydrocarbon reforming processes in contrast to other light hydrocarbons ( $C_2H_6$ ,  $C_3H_8$ ,  $C_4H_{10}$  and  $C_5H_{10}$ ). At a daily production capacity of 379,387kg<sub>H2</sub>, feedstock cost accounts for 61 %, 29 % for investment and 10 % for operation and maintenance (Steinberg and Cheng, 1989). Eqs. 1 - 4describe the chemical reaction of SMR. A program-based schematic diagram of the SMR process is shown in Fig. 2a.

$$H_2 + S \rightarrow H_2 S \tag{1}$$

$$CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow CO + 3H_2 = 206kJ/mol \tag{2}$$

$$CO + H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2 = -41.2kJ/mol$$
 (3)

$$CH_4 + 2H_2O \rightarrow CO_2 + 4H_2 = 206kJ/mol \tag{4}$$

With 33.8 $kg/hr_{H_{2O}}$  and 15.75 $kg/hr_{CH_4}$  mass flowrates, 7.54  $kg/hr_{H_2}$ , 41.15 $kg/hr_{CO_2}$  and 0.18  $kg/hr_{H_2O}$  were produced. At \$0.13/ $kWh_{NG}$  and \$0.37kWhe for thermal and electrical units, 17.3 $kg_{CO_2}$  from the SMR reformer furnace, 7.3 $kg_{CO_2}$  from  $CH_4$  preheater and steam vaporiser and < 0.3 $kg_{CO_2}$  from the pressure increaser was emitted. The energy input and emission from the separator unit were excluded. However, ASU uses 0.31 - 0.63  $kWh/kg_{N_{2gus}}$  which is equivalent to 1.17 – 2.37  $kWh/kg_{O_{2gus}}$  and PSA for  $H_2$  recovery from other by-products requires 2.4  $kWh/kg_{H_{2gus}}$ . The efficiency of hydrocarbon processes can be calculated using Eq. 5.

$$Efficiency(n) = \frac{H_2 LHV * mass flowrate}{heat input (Q_H)}$$
(5)



Fig. 2a. Schematic diagrams of SMR process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

#### 2.1.2. Plasma dry reforming method (PDRM)

PDRM of  $H_2$  production is a combination of SMR and dry reforming (DR) processes that allow the recycling of a CO<sub>2</sub> by-product. Although, it differs from single SMR and DR by using electricity instead of heat for the endothermic reaction, with/without catalysts in the reformer and the  $CO_2$  conversion in the reformer favours acetylene  $(C_2H_2)$  formation. This process is considered more environmentally friendly than SMR because of the usage of electricity from renewable sources to decompose CH<sub>4</sub> feedstock before the addition of steam into the reformer to produce  $H_2$  and CO. However, the ecotoxicity impact of using electricity from renewable energy sources like wind is another issue with PDRM (King et al., 2021). In addition, by using electricity from fossil fuel power plants such as coal plants to operate a microwave plasma reformer (MPR), the carbon footprint is higher than other competing hydrocarbon reforming processes. Hybrid microwave discharge plasma (HMDP) reforming has been investigated in previous studies and the results showed that it is energy intensive and cannot substitute SMR despite the usage of electrical energy as heat is cheaper than electricity (Onwuemezie et al., 2023a). A similar result was reported by Czylkowski et al (Czylkowski et al., 2016). during the investigation of HMDP as a replacement for SMR. Eq. 6 describes the chemical reaction of plasma reforming. While Fig. 2b displays a software-aided schematic diagram of the PDRM. The same operating parameters as the SMR were used except for  $CO_2$  recycling and a higher  $H_2O$  mass flowrate and nearly the same  $H_2$  by-product was obtained. However, higher  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ , lower  $C_2H_2$ by-products and higher input energy which decreases the overall efficiency were recorded. By using electricity from renewable sources to operate the plasma microwave reformer,  $17.4kg_{CO_2}$  can be avoided. However,  $> 17.3 kg_{CO_2}$  from the same unit (plasma microwave reformer) can be emitted if the reformer's electricity comes from fossil fuels powerplants without CCS units.

$$2CH_4 + H_2O + CO_2 \rightarrow CO + CO_2 + C_2H_2 + 4H_2 \tag{6}$$

#### 2.1.3. Partial oxidation reforming (POR)

The POR process of producing  $H_2$  and other by-products such as  $CO_2$  is considered exothermic and has an efficiency of 60 - 75 % (Holladay et al., 2009). However, this process is not exothermic because it requires a large amount of thermal energy for the reaction of  $CH_4$  or another hydrocarbon with  $O_2$  in the reformer to produce  $H_2$  and CO. Unlike the SMR method, using hydrocarbon feedstocks like  $CH_4$ , the reformer or

combustor requires less energy (heat) to achieve partial combustion in catalytic reforming processes. Nonetheless, the  $H_2$  concentration in the POR reformer is lower than that of other reforming methods except for the ATR. When a catalyst is involved in the reaction, POR becomes catalytic partial oxidation reforming (CPOR). In the absence of catalysts, higher reaction temperatures up to 1350 °C may be needed for higher H<sub>2</sub> and CO formations (Holladay et al., 2009). The reaction temperature of the CPOR reformer is about > 800 °C. By using metal catalysts such as  $Ni/C_eO_2$  and noble metal catalysts (lanthanum (La), Ru, iridium (Ir), rhodium (Rh), Pd, and platinum (Pt)) in the reformer, high syngas conversion efficiency can be achieved (Osman, 2020). In addition,  $\leq$  99 % yield of the reformer syngas (CO) can be achieved using bimetallic catalysts such as  $ZrO_2 - Al_2O_3$  with either Ni or Co support at a reaction temperature of 800 °C (Boscherini et al., 2023). Other reformer or reactor catalysts for POR are doped  $Ni/\gamma - Al_2O_3$  with Fe support, bimetallic Ru-Ni/CeO2, Ru-CeO2, Ni-CeO2, 0.5%Pt-0.5%Pd/CeO and 0.5%Pt -0.5%Ru/CeO (Fazlikeshteli et al., 2024; Khaleel et al., 2023).

In terms of feedstock flexibility, the POR method is superior to other hydrocarbon reforming processes. Similar to SMR, better conversion efficiency can be achieved by using  $CH_4$  or NG as one of the reforming feedstocks instead of other hydrocarbons. WGS units, syngas separation and purification processes are entirely the same as the SMR process. Investment and operating costs for the POR (54.5 % and 19.5 %) are higher compared to the SMR system because of the involvement of ASU. Although, the feedstock cost for POR which represents 26 % is much lower than that of the SMR method (Steinberg and Cheng, 1989). Eq. 7 illustrate POR chemical reaction pathways. The schematics of a POR process is depicted in Fig. 3a. In the schematics of POR, air is compressed and cooled to a cryogenic temperature in the ASU to release  $O_2$  feed to the reformer where it reacts with steam to produce syngas for the WGS units. The downstream units are entirely the same as the SMR process.

$$CH_4 + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 = -36kJ/mol$$
 (7)

With 16.9 kg/hr<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 129.7kg/hr<sub>air</sub> and the same CH<sub>4</sub> mass flowrate as the SMR method,  $5.6kg/hr_{H_2}$ ,  $41.15kg/hr_{CO_2}$ ,  $15kg/hr_{O_2}$  and 0.1kg/hr<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> were produced from the POR process. At  $0.13/kWh_{NG}$  and 0.37kWhe for thermal and electrical units,  $2.1kg_{CO_2}$  from the combustor furnace,  $4.1kg_{CO_2}$  from CH<sub>4</sub> preheated and steam vaporiser furnaces,  $5.1kg_{CO_2}$  from the air compressor and  $13.6kg_{CO_2}$  from the O<sub>2</sub> cryogenic unit was emitted. Excess O<sub>2</sub> by-product reduces the energy efficiency of</sub>

![](_page_4_Figure_11.jpeg)

Fig. 2b. A schematic diagram of PDRM of H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 3a. A schematic diagram of POR process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

the POR, as the reduction in  $O_2$  feed rate decreases  ${\it CH}_4$  conversion efficiency.

#### 2.1.4. Autothermal reforming (ATR)

The ATR method of producing  $H_2$  involves the reforming and partial combustion of steam,  $O_2$  and hydrocarbons such as  $CH_4$  in the same reformer. Followed by WGS units for the conversion of CO to  $CO_2$  and increase of  $H_2$  production rate before the separation of  $H_2$  from other byproducts in the separation column. In a gas-heated ATR (GH-ATR),  $CH_4$ and steam react in the reformer and another  $CH_4$  and  $O_2$  combust partially in the combustor to release syngas mainly of  $H_2$  and CO. Produced  $H_2$  from the ATR method is lower or equal to  $H_2$  obtained from the POR method. However, the  $H_2$  by-product from the GH-ATR is higher than the obtained  $H_2$  from both POR and ATR.  $NiO/Al_2O_3$  is widely used as the ATR reformer catalyst. Similar to precious metal supported  $Al_2O_3$ catalysts, the use of  $10Ni - 0.9\text{Re}/Ce_0.5Zr_{0.5}O_2$  for  $Al_2O_3$  support showed excellent self-activation, stability and high  $H_2$  concentration (Matus et al., 2020). Unlike the POR which requires  $Ni - M/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2/Al_2O_3$ or  $10Ni - 0.9\text{Re}/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_2/Al_2O_3$  or other noble promoted  $Al_2O_3$ catalysts, GH-ATR uses SMR catalysts in the reformer and another POR catalysts in the combustor to produce synthetic gas. Fig. 3b and 3c are schematic diagrams of both ATR and GH-ATR methods. In the displayed ATR diagram, the entire process is the same as SMR and POR except for the reaction of  $CH_4$ , steam and  $O_2$  in the same reformer to produce synthetic gas. However, it is difficult to achieve the equilibrium conversion of steam and  $O_2$  with  $CH_4$  in the ATR reformer. Although, the GH-ATR method utilises an equilibrium  $CH_4$  feed rate to the reformer and combustor. ATR efficiency ranges from 40 % to 75 % and has been the preferred option among other hydrocarbon reforming methods for biodiesel and bioethanol production (Jordan, 2022; Martin and Wörner, 2011). The investment costs in much lower than SMR and suitable for medium-scale applications.

With 25.4  $kg/hr_{H_2O}$ , 65 kg/ $hr_{air}$  and the same  $CH_4$  mass flowrate as the POR or SMR method, 5.6  $kg/hr_{H_2}$ , 41.15  $kg/hr_{CO_2}$ , 7.6 $kg/hr_{O_2}$  and

![](_page_5_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig. 3b. A schematic diagram of ATR process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 3c. A schematic diagram of GH-ATR process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

8.66  $kg/hr_{H_{2O}}$  were produced from the ATR method. At \$0.13/ $kWh_{NG}$  and \$0.37kWhe for thermal and electrical units,  $1.9kg_{CO_2}$  from the ATR reformer furnace,  $5.7kg_{CO_2}$  from  $CH_4$  preheated and steam vaporiser furnaces,  $2.5kg_{CO_2}$  from an air pressure unit and  $6.7kg_{CO_2}$  from the refrigerant unit was emitted. Unlike the conventional ATR, GH-ATR operating with the same feeds' mass flowrate, emits  $9.6kg_{CO_2}$  from reformer and combustor furnaces and produced  $6.6kg/hr_{H_2}$ ,  $41.15kg/hr_{CO_2}$  and  $0.1kg/hr_{H_2O}$ . At a plant size of 300 MW capacity,  $H_2$  sales price of POR, ATR and GH-ATR ranges from £ 2.36 to £ 2.56/kg. However, In a larger plant of approximately 1000 MW capacity, the sales price of  $H_2$  from any of the hydrocarbon reforming methods is between \$2.16 and \$2.40/kg (Argyris et al., 2023).

#### 2.1.5. Dry reforming (DR) method

The DR route for producing  $H_2$  and recycling half of  $CO_2$  by-product involves the endothermic reaction of hydrocarbons such as  $CH_4$  with  $CO_2$  to produce  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$ . The DR method is similar to other hydrocarbon reforming methods, except for the absence of  $O_2$  and steam in the reformer. Nonetheless, sulphur removal, WGS and syngas separation units are entirely the same as the other hydrocarbon reforming technologies (Onwuemezie et al., 2024). However, plasma assistance DR has an energy efficiency of 66 % and DR can be integrated into any reforming method, either as dual-reforming or triple-reforming to feed  $CO_2$  by-product from the PSA unit to the reformer (Cleiren et al., 2017). The DR method requires the use of catalysts to reduce energy input to the reformer and increase the energy efficiency of the process. Among other catalysts, nickel upgraded slag oxides (Ni-UGSO), Ni-based catalysts with  $CeO_2 - ZrO_2 - SiO_2$  supports,  $Ni/Al_2O_3$  catalysts with CeO<sub>2</sub> -ZrO<sub>2</sub> supports, NiO -Mg/Ce -ZrO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst with noble metal support exhibit good resistance to  $H_2S$  deposition on catalysts and higher feed conversion rate in both dual and triple DR methods (Dega et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021; Aboosadi et al., 2022). The purchase price of obtained  $H_2$  from DR or plasma reforming methods would be < 30 higher than other reforming methods because of the involvement of CO2 feedstock. A schematic diagram of the DR method is depicted in Fig. 4a. Eq. 8 shows the DR chemical reaction for

![](_page_6_Figure_8.jpeg)

Fig. 4a. A schematic diagram of DR process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

 $H_2$  production.

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2H_2 + 2CO = 247kJ/mol \tag{8}$$

With 33.8 $kg/hr_{H_2O}$ , 41.1 $kg/hr_{CO_2}$  and the same  $CH_4$  mass flowrate as any of the reforming methods, 7.45 $kg/hr_{H_2}$ , 41.25 $kg/hr_{CO_2}$  and 0.2 $kg/hr_{H_2O}$  were produced from the DR method. At \$0.13/ $kWh_{NG}$  and \$0.37kWhe for thermal and electrical units, 20.2 $kg_{CO_2}$  from the DR reformer furnace, and 7.3 $kg_{CO_2}$  from  $CH_4$  preheated and steam vaporiser furnaces was released into the environment.

#### 2.1.6. Sorption enhanced chemical-looping (SE-CL)

Unlike other hydrocarbon reforming methods, the SE-CL method avoids steam or  $O_2$  addition into the reformer by using oxygen-carrier catalysts to enable reduction and regeneration in separate reformers. Oxygen-carrier (solid species) such as NiO, CO2 and CaO are mostly used in this emerging  $H_2$  production system because of higher feed conversion efficiency at a lower reaction temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> capture under ambient and atmospheric conditions (Onwuemezie et al., 2023a). Other utilised solid species in the SE-CL reforming method include CuO and MgO and have been used for on-board  $H_2$  production to feed a  $H_2$  fuel cell. This H<sub>2</sub> production method involves the reaction of NiO with CH<sub>4</sub> in the first reformer to produce Ni, CO and  $H_2$ , CO conversion to  $CO_2$  in WGS, CO<sub>2</sub> separation in the PSA column, the regeneration of NiO by reacting Ni with  $CO_2$  in the second reformer and  $CO_2$  capture by the absorption process (Zeng et al., 2022). The absorption process allows the formation of  $CaCO_3$  by reacting CaO with  $CO_2$ . This method can be as efficient as the SMR method with the same  $H_2$  production rate. However, NiO regeneration is energy intensive, making SMR more efficient. Eqs. 9 -11 demonstrate the SE-CL chemical reactions' pathways. H<sub>2</sub> sales price for this route is expected to be < 20 % higher than that of the SMR method due to the higher energy requirement for NiO recovery. A schematic diagram of the SE-CL system is given in Fig. 4b.

$$CH_4 + NiO \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 + Ni = 203kJ/mol$$
(9)

$$CO_2 + Ni \rightarrow NiO + CO = 43kJ/mol \tag{10}$$

$$CaO + CO_2 \rightarrow CaCO_3 = -179.8 kJ/mol \tag{11}$$

With 33.8kg/hr<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub>, 70.2kg/hr<sub>NiO</sub>, 41.4kg/hr<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> and the same CH<sub>4</sub> mass flowrate as the SMR process, 7.53kg/hr<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>, 41.25kg/hr<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> and 0.18kg/hr<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub> were produced from the SE-CL method. At \$0.13/kWh<sub>NG</sub> and \$0.37kWhe for thermal and electrical units,  $22kg_{CO<sub>2</sub>}$  from the endothermic reformer furnaces, and 7.3kg<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> from CH<sub>4</sub> preheated and steam vaporiser furnaces was emitted.

#### 2.1.7. Hydrocarbon pyrolysis (HP)

HP uses the same upstream unit as the hydrocarbon reforming methods to remove sulphur and  $H_2S$  before the thermal decomposition of hydrocarbon feedstock  $(CH_4)$  in the pyrolyser without oxidants.  $H_2$ and carbon are by-products of CH<sub>4</sub> pyrolysis. When catalyst is present in the decomposer, it becomes catalytic HP and in the absence of catalyst, it is called fast pyrolysis. The absence of catalysts increases the reaction temperature and heat input, while the presence of catalysts reduces the reaction temperature and heat input. Catalytic HP requires the use of catalysts that prevent coke deposition on the active site and fast degradation rates (Onwuemezie et al., 2023b). Ni/dolomite or NiO/dolomite and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts are mostly used in the pyrolysis route for H<sub>2</sub> production to minimise fast catalyst deactivation (Elbaba and Williams, 2014). Other pyrolyser catalysts with lower coking are Ni, Pt, or Cu promoted by Sn, Pb, Bi, In, and Ga. The efficiency of CH<sub>4</sub> pyrolysis operating with CCS can reach > 58 % and the  $H_2$  sales price from this route is cheaper than  $H_2$  obtained from any of the hydrocarbon reforming methods (Sánchez-Bastardo et al., 2021). A schematic diagram of the HP method is depicted in Fig. 5a. In the displayed diagram of the  $CH_4$  pyrolysis process, the sulphur or  $H_2S$  removal process is the same as hydrocarbon reforming methods. Desulphurised  $CH_4$  was decomposed in the pyrolyser and  $H_2$  was recovered from a cyclone solid separator. However, a Pd-Ag alloy separation and purification unit may be needed to remove carried-over solid residues (char) as a H2-PSA column can consume  $\geq 2.4 \ kW_{H_2}$ . Eq. 12 represents the CH<sub>4</sub> cracking process of producing  $H_2$  and carbon.

$$CH_4 \rightarrow 2H_2 + Carbon = 74.8kJ/mol \tag{12}$$

With 15.75kg/ $hr_{CH_4}$  mass flowrate,  $3.7kg/hr_{H_2}$  and  $11.2kg/hr_{carbon}$  were produced from the  $CH_4$  pyrolysis method. At  $$0.13/kWh_{NG}$  and \$0.37kWhe for thermal and electrical units,  $7.6kg_{CO_2}$  from the pyrolyser furnace,  $0.9kg_{CO_2}$  from the  $CH_4$  preheater and  $0.25kg_{CO_2}$  from the pressure increaser was emitted. In the absence of a carbon sales price, produced  $H_2$  from the  $CH_4$  pyrolysis costs \$1.72/kg (Rojas et al., 2024).

#### 2.1.8. Solid fuel gasification (SFG)

SFG method involves fast pyrolysis of solid feed such as coal, desulphurisation, separation, WGS and purification steps to produce solid residues and gases. In the SFG process, catalysts are required to reduce the energy input and cobalt is one of the preferred catalyst choices over others (iron, nickel, and alkali metals catalysts) despite its high cost (Feng et al., 2023). The coal gasification route for syngas production is preferred in thermal applications where carbon is used as one of the feedstocks due to low  $H_2$  yield. Promoted  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $Ca(OH)_2$ , *CaO*, *NaOH*, *KOH* and Raney-Ni are common catalysts for SFG. The use of coal gasification in thermal industries such as cement, iron and steel

![](_page_7_Figure_17.jpeg)

Fig. 4b. A schematic diagram of SE-SC process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 5a. A schematic diagram of CH<sub>4</sub> pyrolysis process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

making plants excludes  $H_2$  recovery from other syngas by burning the mixture of *CO*, *CH*<sub>4</sub> and  $H_2$  syngas in thermal units like blast furnace (BF) or basic oxygen furnace (BOF) (Onwuemezie and Darabkhani, 2024b). A software-aided schematic diagram of the SFG process is shown in Fig. 5b. In the depicted diagram of the SFG method using coal as the decomposer feed, coal is decomposed into gas and solid residues before the removal of solid residues like carbon or ash in the cyclone. Sulphur was removed by the absorption process before  $H_2$  and *CO* recovery in the first separator. The downstream units (WGS and separation) are entirely the same as the hydrocarbon reforming methods. The proximate and ultimate analyses of the utilised Shenmu coal (SM) were taken from *Song, et al* (Song et al., 2022). work. The efficiency of coal gasification with and without CCS is between 43 % and 60 % and the  $H_2$  sales price ranges from \$0.9 - \$2.11/kg (Sánchez-Bastardo et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022).

With 15.75kg/hr<sub>coal</sub> and  $0.5kg/hr_{H_2O}$  mass flowrates,  $0.4kg/hr_{H_2}$ ,  $1.65kg/hr_{CH_4}$ ,  $10.5kg/hr_{carbon}$  and  $1.23kg/hr_{CO_2}$  were produced from the coal gasification method (CGM).  $CO_2$  emission from thermal and electrical units was < 3 kg/hr. The prospect of  $H_2$  production by CGM should consider thermal energy recovery and the integration of renewable energy-powered low-temperature electrolysis of  $H_2O$  to increase the  $H_2$  production rate. To prevent carbon emissions from the CGM, it is also recommended to use renewable power systems for electrical units and oxy-hydrogen combustion in the decomposer furnace.

2.1.9. Advances and prospects of hydrocarbon reforming and pyrolysis methods (HRPMs) for low-carbon  $H_2$  production

Since  $0.356kg_{CO_2}$  for 1kWe and  $0.24kg_{CO_2}$  for 1kWt are released in natural gas energy plants, reducing carbon footprints represents a pathway to achieve sustainable  $H_2$  production by HRPMs. More recently, concentrating solar power (CSP) and oxy-hydrogen units for heat production, solar PV and wind turbine systems for electricity generation and heat recovery systems such as thermoelectric converters and heat exchangers to operate other downstream units were demonstrated. Only 20 % of by-product CO<sub>2</sub> was produced and captured by these approaches, resulting in an efficiency improvement of >10 % (Onwuemezie et al., 2024). In addition,  $H_2$  production by plasma reforming of  $H_2O$  at a lower power input (0.8 kW) and temperature (150 °C) was investigated to substitute the electrolysis of  $H_2O$ . The studied work achieved an energy efficiency of 10.31 %. In another study, CH4 or H2O was replaced with banana as the reformer feedstock using a pseudo-stem. The investigated work reported a  $H_2$  selectivity of 98.8 % and a yield of 70.7 % (Oner and Dincer, 2023; Saad et al., 2024). Advances in HRMs that rely on  $O_2$  as one of the feedstocks, low-carbon thermal units and renewable energy systems to achieve carbon neutrality were demonstrated in recent studies by substituting  $O_2$  from ASU with that of the electrolyser system. The application of this approach by producing the electrolyser feed (distilled  $H_2O$  or steam) with the recovered heat and feeding the electrolyser  $O_2$  to the reformer/reactor resulted in energy savings of 0.25 kW/H2 - 0.75 kW/H2 Onwuemezie et al. (2024). Furthermore, molten hydrides, supported, non-supported, doped heteroatom metal and alloy catalysts, as well as

![](_page_8_Figure_8.jpeg)

Fig. 5b. A schematic diagram of solid fuel (coal) steam gasification process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

both sand-based and iron (Fe) ore-based catalysts that do not require regeneration can also be explored for catalytic pyrolysis of hydrocarbon ( $CH_4$ ). Nowadays, more efforts are being made to develop reformer and pyrolyser catalysts for the reduction of energy consumption. However, advanced heat recovery systems and the inclusion of other units such as thermoelectric converters and organic Rankine cycle (ORC) for waste heat conversion to usable energy can improve the overall efficiency of these matured  $H_2$  production systems.

# 2.2. Thermochemical, $H_2O$ splitting and biological processes of $H_2$ production

#### 2.2.1. Thermochemical route

Pyrolysis and gasification are the two main processes for syngas recovery from biomass and organic waste. In the thermochemical pyrolvsis route, biomass feed is dried and reduced before thermal decomposition in the absence of oxidising agents (air,  $O_2$  or  $H_2O$ ) to produce gaseous compounds (syngas and gas), solid residues (carbon and ash) and liquid oil ( $C_2H_4$ ,  $C_3H_6$  and others). Solid separators (cyclone) remove solid residues, absorbents such as H2 absorb sulphur to form  $H_2S$  in the desulphurisation column and  $H_2$  is separated from other by-products as shown in Fig. 6a. Biomass steam gasification which is the continuation of biomass pyrolysis allows the introduction of WGS and PSA units as displayed in Fig. 6b. The operating temperature of the pyrolysis decomposer ranges from 500 - 1400 °C and uses well-known petroleum catalyst (zeolite socony mobil-5 (ZSM-5) or A-zeolites) in the catalytic process to reduce the reaction temperature and improve deoxygenation (Onwuemezie et al., 2023b; Luna-Murillo et al., 2020). Fe-Ni/ZSM-5, montmorillonite with Fe loading and Ni-Co/C composite catalysts are other types of pyrolysis decomposer catalysts (Ellison and Boldor, 2021; Bai et al., 2024). Improved resistance to coking, stability and feed conversion efficiency can be achieved using precious metal-supported CeO2-SiO2. Biomass pyrolysis and gasification efficiency is between 45.46 % and 75.41 %, and the produced  $H_2$  from this route cost between \$1.77 and \$2.4/kg (Hasan et al., 2024; Cui et al., 2024; Goria et al., 2024; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2022). The proximate and ultimate analyses of the utilised biomass (rice husk (RH)) were taken from Song et al (Song et al., 2022). investigated work.

With  $15.75 kg/hr_{biomass}$  and  $3kg/hr_{H_2O}$  mass flowrates,  $0.8 kg/hr_{H_2}$ ,  $< 0.1 kg/hr_{olefin}$  ( $C_2H_4 \& C_3H_6$ ),  $3.7 kg/hr_{carbon}$  and  $7.3 kg/hr_{CO_2}$  were produced from the biomass gasification method. The  $CO_2$  emission from thermal and electrical units was < 3 kg/hr. Produced  $H_2$  from the

biomass pyrolysis was 45 % lower than  $H_2$  obtained from the biomass gasification. Higher  $H_2$  and lower carbon production can be seen from both biomass pyrolysis and gasification in contrast to the SFG method.

The prospect of  $H_2$  production from biomass pyrolysis and gasification systems should consider thermal energy recovery and the introduction of other units such as the electrolysis of  $H_2O$  or power cycle (steam, organic and gas) to utilise the recovered heat for operation. Oxyhydrogen combustion or a renewable-powered plasma furnace for the pyrolysis decomposer and gasifier are also recommended in addition to slurry preparation for biomass gasification feed. To achieve carbon neutrality, it is necessary to integrate renewable power systems in both biomass pyrolysis and gasification for the operation of the electrical units.

#### 2.2.2. Electrolysis of H<sub>2</sub>O

The electrolysis of  $H_2O$  produces both  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  as by-products through the electrochemical dissociation of the  $H_2O$  substrate. Electricity (DC) is required during the electrochemical reaction in both hightemperature and low-temperature electrolysis systems. Alkaline electrolyser cells (AECs), proton exchange membrane electrolyser cells (PEMECs), solid oxide electrolyser cells (SOECs), anion exchange membrane electrolysis cells (AEMECs) and battery-electrolysis (battolyser) are commercial and emerging types of electrolysis of  $H_2O$  systems. Liquid, polymer and solid oxide are electrolyte states of electrolytic cells, and they are usually acid and alkaline (Onwuemezie et al., 2023b). Table 1 shows the characteristics of AEC, PEMEC, SOEC and AEMEC electrolyser systems. Fig. 7a and 7b depict electrolyser systems. The integrated battery-electrolyser (battolyser) system stores electricity from any source and utilises it to dissociate  $H_2O$  molecules into  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  via the AEC process. This is an alternative form of power storage for small-scale applications. The efficiency of the battolyser system is about 76 % (Dawood et al., 2020). The cost of green  $H_2$  (<\$9.31/kg<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>) from solar-powered electrolysis of  $H_2O$  is cheaper than other renewable-assisted H<sub>2</sub>O electrolysis (Frowijn and Sark, 2021). Eqs. 13 -17 are the overall chemical reactions of  $H_2O$  electrolysis and battolyser systems for  $H_2$  production and electricity storage.

$$2H_2 O \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2 \tag{13}$$

$$Fe(OH)_2 + 2e^- \leftrightarrow Fe + 2OH^-$$
 (14)

$$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2(g) + 2OH^-$$
 (15)

![](_page_9_Figure_14.jpeg)

Fig. 6a. A schematic diagram of biomass (RH) pyrolysis process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 6b. A schematic diagram of biomass (RH) steam gasification process of H<sub>2</sub> production.

Table 1	
Operating and target parameters of current electrolysis of $H_2O$ systems (IRENA,	2020)

	AEC	PEMEC	SOEC	AEMEC
Cell electrolyte or Cell membranes	КОН	PFSA	YSZ	KOH/NaHCO3 or supported DVB polymer.
Stack separator	ZrO <sub>2</sub> stabilised PPS	Solid electrolyte	Solid electrolyte	Solid electrolyte
Cathode electrode	Ni-coated stainless steel or Ni/carbon.	Pt.	Ni/YSZ	Ni/carbon.
Anode electrode	Ni/Co/Fe.	Ir.	Perovskite LSM/LSCF	Ni/Co/Fe.
Cell temperature.	70 - 100°C	50 - 100°C	500 - 850°C	40 - 60°C
Target cell temperature.	>90°C	50 - 80°C	<500° C	80°C
Cell pressure.	1 - 30 bar	<70 bar	1 bar	<35 bar
Target cell pressure.	>70 bar	>70 bar	>20 bar	>70 bar
Current density.	$< 0.8 \ A/cm^2$	$<2 A/cm^2$	$<1 A/cm^2$	$<2 A/cm^2$
Target current density.	$>2 A/cm^2$	$>4 A/cm^2$	$>2 A/cm^2$	$>2 A/cm^2$
Operating voltage.	1.4 – 3V	1.4 - 2.5V	1 - 1.5V	1.4 - 2V
Target operating voltage.	<1.7V	<1.7V	<1.5V	<2V
Stack power output.	1MW	1MW	5kW	2.5kW
Target stack power output.	>10MW	>10MW	>200kW	>2MW
Service life (hours).	60,000	50 - 80,000	20,000	>5,000
Target service life.	100,000	>100,000	>80,000	>100,000
Electrode area ( $cm^2$ ).	<30,000	1500	200	<300
Target electrode area.	>30,000	>10,000	500	>1000
Voltage efficiency.	<68%	<68%	<85%	<67%
Target voltage efficiency.	>75%	>85%	>90%	>75%
Electrolyser efficiency.	$50 - 78 kWh/kg_{H_2}$	50 - 83kWh/kg <sub>H2</sub>	$40 - 50 kWh/kg_{H_2}$	$57 - 69 kWh/kg_{H_2}$
Target efficiency.	$<45kWh/kg_{H_2}$	$<45kWh/kg_{H_2}$	$<$ 40 $kWh/kg_{H_2}$	$<45kWh/kg_{H_2}$
Cost per <10MW.	\$1000/kW	\$1400/kW	\$2700 - \$3000/kW	\$1000-\$1300/kW
Target cost per <10MW.	<\$200/kW	<\$200/kW	<\$200/kW	<\$200/kW

 $Ni(OH)_2 + OH^- \leftrightarrow NiOOH + H_2O + e^-$  (16)

$$4OH^{-} \to O_2(g) + 2H_2O + 4e^{-} \tag{17}$$

With  $9kg/hr_{H_2O}$  mass flowrate,  $1kg/hr_{H_2}$  and  $8kg/hr_{O_2}$  were produced from the electrolysis of  $H_2O$  system. When renewable energy sources produce both heat and power for the vaporiser and electrolyser stack, the  $CO_2$  emission from these  $H_2$  production systems is negative. However, by using the electricity from natural gas power plants,  $9.5kg/hr_{CO_2}$ from the electrolyser stack and another  $1.6kg/hr_{CO_2}$  from the vaporiser unit was emitted. This amount of  $CO_2$  from the electrolysis of  $H_2O$  would increase when both heat and power for the stack and vaporiser units are produced from coal power plants. In the electrolysis of saltwater (saline), the required thermal energy to produce distilled  $H_2O$  or steam will increase by 40 %. In addition, an increase in saltwater substrate by 40 % is likely in the electrolysis of saltwater in contrast to the electrolysis of  $H_2O$ .

#### 2.2.3. Thermolysis

The efficiency of the thermolysis of  $H_2O$  method is between 20 % and 45 % and this process requires heat from concentrating solar power (CSP) or nuclear plants to dissociate  $H_2O$  molecule into  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  at decomposition temperatures between 500 and 2000 °C. The solar thermochemical (STC) process of H<sub>2</sub> production requires Zn/ZnO redox reactions through endothermic and exothermic hydrolysis reactions. The hybrid thermochemical and electrochemical (HTE) system uses H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as an electrolyte (Baykara, 2004; Gorensek et al., 2018). HTE pathway of producing  $H_2$  is preferred over Zn/ZnO redox reactions because of the lower dissociation temperature (850  $^{\circ}$ C). The electrolysis of SO<sub>2</sub> from thermally decomposed  $H_2SO_4$  to produce  $H_2$  in the cathode chamber operates at a cell voltage of < 0.8 V and temperature of 100  $^\circ\text{C}$ (Onwuemezie et al., 2023b). In the HTE process as shown in Fig. 8a and 8b,  $H_2SO_4$  is thermally decomposed to release  $SO_2$ , followed by the electrochemical reaction of distilled  $H_2O$  in the stake to produce  $H_2$  and  $H_2SO_4$ . The  $H_2$  sales price of this system can be cheaper than  $H_2$  from the electrolysis of  $H_2O$  processes due to the reduced electricity input to the

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 7a. Different types of commercially available and emerging electrolyser systems for green H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 7b. Schematic diagrams of H<sub>2</sub>O electrolysis systems for combined electricity storage and H<sub>2</sub> production.

electrolyser stack. The chemical reactions of  $H_2O$  thermolysis through ZnO and  $H_2SO_4$  redox reactions are represented in Eqs. 18 – 22.

 $Zn + H_2 O \rightarrow ZnO + H_2 \tag{19}$ 

$$ZnO \rightarrow Zn + 0.5O_2$$

$$H_2 SO_4 \to H_2 O + SO_2 + 0.5O_2 \tag{20}$$

(18)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 8a. A schematic diagrams of thermolysis method of H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig. 8b. A schematic diagram of  $\mathrm{H}_2$  production via the electro-oxidation of  $\mathrm{SO}_2.$ 

$$SO_2 + 2H_2O \rightarrow H_2SO_4 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$
 (21)

$$2H^+ + 2e^- \to H_2 \tag{22}$$

With  $18kg/hr_{H_2O}$  mass flowrate,  $1kg/hr_{H_2}$  and  $49kg/hr_{H_2SO_4}$  were produced from the sulphur depolarised electrocatalyst process of  $H_2$ production. Integrating this thermolysis-electrolysis unit into thermal applications to decompose  $H_2SO_4$  with recovered heat and deployment of renewable energy sources would achieve carbon negative and higher efficiency. Unlike other electrolysis processes, the electro-oxidation of the  $SO_2$  electrolysis system, including the vapouriser unit requires 32.8 kW to produce 1 kg of  $H_2$ .

#### 2.2.4. Photolysis (photoelectrolysis of $H_2O$ )

Photolysis uses p-type and n-type photon-harvesting semiconductors greater than the bandgap to generate the required DC for substrate ( $H_2O$ ) dissociation into  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  Acar et al. (2016). In this system, the properties of photolysis materials include high stability to pH change, resistance to corrosion in aqueous solution, good narrow bandgap and absorption spectrum, voltage above the theoretical value (1.23 V) within the bandgap and good photocatalytic efficiency (Wang et al., 2012). Furthermore, this green  $H_2$  production pathway as displayed in Fig. 8c requires additional electrons from another source to increase the  $H_2$  production rate. The efficiency of the photolysis method is 30 % and the sales price of the obtained  $H_2$  is \$3.12/kg (Frowijn and Sark, 2021; Jia et al., 2016). Corrosion, instability and low  $H_2O$  dissociation

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

Fig. 8c. A schematic diagram of photoelectrochemical method of  $\rm H_2$  production.

efficiency are some of the drawbacks of  $H_2O$  photolysis.

#### 2.2.5. Biophotolysis (artificial photosynthesis (APS))

The biophotolysis process of  $H_2$  production bio-mimics natural occurring photosynthesis (PS), using sunlight, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and microorganisms that absorb radiation between 400 and 700 nm for cells' growth to produce  $H_2$  reach gas. APS methods which involves direct and indirect  $H_2O$  splitting is part of the biological process of  $H_2$  production and uses light-driven cyanobacteria and blue-green algae to produce  $H_2$ . In biophotolysis, H<sub>2</sub>O and photon (sunlight) are reagents of photosynthesis (PS) for the conversion of light to chemical energy by organisms through chlorophyll. The creation of chemical energy requires light and dark reactions in the chloroplast. In these reactions, generated ATP and reduced ferredoxin (Fd) or NADPH coenzyme molecules as energy carriers (electrons), receive  $O_2$  stream from the oxidised  $H_2O$  or atmospheric  $CO_2$  through fixation by oxygenase ( $O_2$ ase) enzyme to produce energy rich-carbohydrate (CH<sub>2</sub>O) stores. The production of CH<sub>2</sub>O takes place in light and dark reactions. The light reaction (PS I) involves coenzyme molecules generated by photon energy and  $H_2O$  oxidation. In dark reaction (PS II), energy from the generated ATP and reduced NADPH molecules initiate a chemical pathway for  $H_2O$  and atmospheric  $CO_2$  reduction to form a 3-carbon product (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (G3P)) that generates C-C covalent bonds of  $CH_2O$  and  $O_2$  as by-products (Dogutan and Nocera, 2019). In direct biophotolysis (DBP), microorganisms absorb 680 nm solar radiation to activate Fd reduction via PS II and PS I reactions to release  $e^-$ ,  $H^+$  and  $O_2$ . A wavelength of 680 nm is required to reduce Fd. The reduced Fd donate electrons to the hydrogenase ( $H_2$ ase) enzyme to generate ATP and convert nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate ( $NADP^+$ ) to NADPH which produces  $H_2$ Ipata and Pesi (2015).  $O_2$ -sensitive and crossover to  $H_2$ ase chamber are some of the disadvantages of DBP (Nirmala et al., 2023). The chemical reaction of DBP is represented in Eqs. 23 and 24.

$$Sunlight + 2H_2O \to 4H^+ + 4e^- + O_2$$
(23)

$$2H^+ + 2Fd_{(react)} \rightarrow H_2 + 2Fd_{(oxid)} \tag{24}$$

Efforts to inhibit  $O_2$  in  $H_2$  as enzymes and mixture with  $H_2$  led to the discovery of indirect biophotolysis (IBP). This biological route for  $H_2$ production uses separate chambers for oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) to mitigate the  $O_2$  sensitivity issue in the DBP method. In the two-stage IBP process,  $O_2$  and  $CH_2O$  are produced with the aid of photon/light energy. In the second stage, the produced  $CH_2O$  absorbs light and is converted to  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  with minimal  $O_2$  by-product under anaerobic conditions. As this  $H_2$  production pathway occurs in an anaerobic environment after the conversion of sugar to  $CO_2$  and organic acid, a nitrogenase ( $N_2$ ase) enzyme is induced to fix  $N_2$ , which is responsible for  $NH_3$  production (Chandrasekhar et al., 2015). The sales price of  $H_2$  from APS methods ranges from \$1.42 -\$3.10 kg (Goria et al., 2024; Frowijn and Sark, 2021). However, Nirmala et al. reported a purchase price of  $\frac{7.24}{kg_{H_2}}$  for DBP and  $\frac{7.44}{kg_{H_2}}$  for IBP (Nirmala et al., 2023). The chemical reactions of IBP are described in Eqs. 25 and 26.

$$12H_2O + 6CO_2 + Sunlight \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$$
 (25)

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 12H_2O + Sunlight \rightarrow 12H_2 + 6CO_2$$
 (26)

APS pathways have been suggested for CCS in high-carbon-emitting industries. Nonetheless, the high cost of bionic leaf catalysts and poor efficiency (<2 %) because of low  $H_2$  yield, impurity, high  $O_2$  production, light dependency and  $O_2$  sensitivity limits economic feasibility and commercialisation. APS Schematic diagrams are given in Fig. 9a.

#### 2.2.6. Bio-electrochemical

Bioelectrochemical method utilises a microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) and substrates such as organic waste, acidified  $H_2O$ , wastewater and salt solution to produce  $H_2$ . The MEC requires a cell voltage between 0.2 V and 0.8 V to initiate exoelectrogenic microorganisms' activities responsible for  $H_2$  production. In dual-chambers MEC with wastewater feed,  $H_2$  is produced in the cathode chamber and both  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  are

by-products of mixed-culture MEC systems. High  $H_2$  selectivity can be achieved using food processing wastewater and electron transfer microorganisms (electrogene) like Shewanella spp and Geobacter spp at a neutral pH value (Osman et al., 2020).  $H_2$  purchase price of MEC operating with domestic or winery wastewater feed is approximately  $6/kg_{H_2}$  and the efficiency is within 41 - 75 %. The microbial fuel cell (MFC) has higher chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal rate in contrast to MEC because of  $O_2$  leakage. For the conversion of winery wastewater to energy, MFC is more efficient than MEC. However, MEC outperforms MFC in terms of wastewater to energy (Cusick et al., 2010; Jia et al., 2012; Kundu et al., 2013). The anode, cathode and overall chemical reactions of the dual MEC are described in Eqs. 27 – 29. Schematic diagrams of dual and single MEC systems are shown in Fig. 9b.

$$CH_3COOH + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2CO_2 + 8e^- + 8H^+ (Anode)$$

$$(27)$$

$$8e^{-} + 8H^{+} \rightarrow 4H_{2} \ (Cathode) \tag{28}$$

$$CH_3COOH + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2CO_2 + 4H_2 (Overal)$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

#### 2.2.7. Fermentation

Photo and dark fermentation are well-known biological processes of  $H_2$  production. Glucose, starch, cellulose and organic acid containing matters are the primary choice of fermentation substrates. Although,  $H_2$  yield from the glucose substrate, which is more expensive than other feedstocks, is much higher (Sivaramakrishnan et al., 2021). The yield of the biohydrogen by-product depend on reactor or digester configuration, total solid (TS) and volatile solid (VS) contents, pH values, fermenter operating temperatures, hydraulic retention time (HRT) and organic loading rate (OLR).

Photo fermentation (PF) uses light-absorbing organic acids like butyrate, acetate, succinate and malate in CO<sub>2</sub> environment to donate electrons to purple non-sulphur (PNS) photosynthetic bacterium and generate ATP from the reduced Fd in electron backflow. In the absence of environmental  $CO_2$  through  $H_2$  as catalytic chemical reactions, oxidation of carbon substrates to release  $CO_2$  and  $H^+$  occurs. Whereas the citric acid cycle (CAC) conveys electrons to the PNS photosynthetic bacterium. The choice of substrates to use depends on the light intensity, the carbon source and the level of anaerobiosis (tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA)) (Park et al., 2018; Akhlaghi and Najafpour-Darzi, 2020). In a PF reactor as displayed in the below diagram (left), solar irradiance from the visible light between 400 and 1000 nm is captured by butyrate or other organic acid with bacteria and CH2O-rich organic waste or VFA from the DF. An air and O2 free bioreactor operating under mesophilic-thermophilic conditions to produce ATP and electrons required in the Fd reduction process is commonly used in the PF process to produce  $H_2$ . Biohydrogen is produced when reduced Fd from the high-energy electron drives the proton reduction in the bioreactor. The

![](_page_13_Figure_18.jpeg)

Fig. 9a. Schematic diagrams of DBP (left) and IBP (right) biological method of H<sub>2</sub> production.

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 9b. Schematic diagrams of dual MEC (left) and mixed-culture MEC (right) biological method of H<sub>2</sub> production.

average efficiency of PF is 25 % and  $H_2$  produced from PF costs \$3.5/kg (Lee, 2021; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2022). The PF overall chemical reactions are described in Eqs. 30 and 31.

 $C_6 H_{12} O_6 + 6 H_2 O \rightarrow 12 H_2 + 6 C O_2 \tag{30}$ 

 $2CH_3COOH + 4H_2O \rightarrow 8H_2 + 4CO_2 \tag{31}$ 

Dark fermentation (DF) uses complex methods and biochemical reactions to convert organic matter into  $H_2$  in the absence of light,  $H_2O$ and  $O_2$ . In the DF method, carbohydrates from hydrolysed substrates are stored in the form of acetic acid, propionic acid, butyric acid, malic acid or ethanol and converted into pyruvic acid by glycolysis. Acetyl coenzyme (Acetyl-CoA) and  $CO_2$  are formed by the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate by the pyruvate ferredoxin oxidoreductase (PFOR) decomposition pathway. The hydrogenase microbes in the DF fermenter consume some of the acidic by-products of PFOR to release  $H_2$  under mesophilic-thermophilic conditions. Scenedesmus obliquus and alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii species are commonly used in the DF of biological method of  $H_2$  production (Giang et al., 2019; Khetkorn et al., 2017; Goria et al., 2024). The average efficiency of DF and hybrid DF-PF is 34 % and 70 % respectively and the sales price of the obtained  $H_2$ from the DF costs \$2.3/kg (Lee, 2021; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2022).

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig. 9c. Schematic diagrams of PF (left) and DF (right) biological method of H<sub>2</sub> production.

Although, *Nirmala et al.* reported a purchase price of  $7.61/kg_{H_2}$  for fermentation methods of  $H_2$  production (Nirmala et al., 2023). PF, DF and DF-PF bioreactors, PF (left) and DF (right) processes of biohydrogen production are displayed in Fig. 9c. The chemical reactions of DF are shown in Eqs. 32 - 39.

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2CH_3COOH + 2CO_2 + 4H_2 \quad (acetic \ acid) \tag{32}$$

 $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2 \rightarrow 2CH_3CH_2COOH + 2H_2O$  (propionic acid) (33)

$$C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow CH_3CH_2CH_2COOH + 2CO_2 + 2H_2$$
 (butyric acid) (34)

 $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2 \rightarrow COOHCH_2CH_2OCOOH + CO_2$  (malic acid) (35)

 $C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow CH_3CH_2CH_2OH + 2CO_2 + CO_2 \quad (ethanol) \tag{36}$ 

$$C_{6}H_{12}O_{6} + 2NAD^{+} \rightarrow 2CH_{3}COCOOH + 2NADH + 2H^{+} \quad (Acetyl - CoA)$$

(37)

$$2NADH + H^{+} + 2Fd^{2+} \rightarrow 2Fd^{+} + NAD^{+} + 2H^{+}(Acetyl - CoA)$$
(38)

$$2Fd^{+} + 2H^{+} \rightarrow 2Fd^{2+} + 2H_2 \quad (Acetyl - CoA) \tag{39}$$

Anaerobic fermentation (AF) is similar to the AD method except for the methanogenesis reaction stage and involves hydrolysis, acidogenesis and acetogenesis reaction pathways to convert organic waste into energy. Acidogenesis is the rate-limiting step and products such as amino acids are formed in this stage. In the acetogenesis stage, the acetogen enzyme consumes acidogenesis product to release  $H_2$  and other byproducts. High volatile fatty acid (VFA) production, syngas impurities and low  $H_2$  concentration are some of the challenges of fermentation methods of biohydrogen production (Onwuemezie and Darabkhani, 2024a).

#### 2.2.8. Advances and prospects of renewable H<sub>2</sub> production methods

Nowadays, more research is being undertaken to enhance the rate of COD (chemical oxygen demand) removal in the integrated MFC-MEC system as the nature of electrocatalytic materials such as anode materials, microbes like genetically modified microorganisms and the mediator increases the performance of biofilms (Kannah et al., 2021). Applying any of these approaches can increase the EET (extracellular electron transfer) efficiency. For example, Liu et al. studied a shared anode and cathode cell configuration to maximise the EET efficiency in an integrated MFC-MEC that enabled the released electrons from MFC to power MEC for biohydrogen production (Liu et al., 2024). The investigated work reported a power density of 120.9  $mW/m^2$  which is 2.3 higher than that of a control-operated MFC-MEC at a fixed resistance (Ref-MFC-MEC). Other recently investigated work includes feeding AF by-products into MFC to generate electricity for the electrolysis of  $H_2O$ stack (Onwuemezie and Darabkhani, 2024c). In addition to the recent advances in renewable  $H_2$  production technologies, the prospect of  $H_2$ recovery by  $H_2O$  electrolysis should work towards the target parameters reported in Table 1; integration of high-temperature  $H_2O$  electrolysis into thermal units where the recovered waste heat can be utilised for steam production. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> redox reaction and aqueous solution of SO<sub>2</sub> in thermolysis-electrolysis may require the introduction of a solar thermal unit for the decomposition of  $H_2SO_4$  and the use of a suitable composite membrane to prevent sulphur poisoning and improve proton conductivity and stability. For instance, the use of suitable polybenzimidazole membrane composites can lower the chances of sulphur poisoning (membrane deactivation), formation and crossover to the cathode chamber. Photolysis systems may require suitable composite photonic energy catalysts and polymer membranes to improve stack and photon absorber stabilities, resistance to corrosion and  $H_2O$  dissociation efficiency.

Infrared and red light absorber materials such as silicon (Si) with a wavelength > 680 nm and a self-heating-repairing catalyst (bionic leaf)

can improve biophotolysis efficiency by  $\geq 20$  %. The use of a bionic leaf catalyst that exhibits good stability in a neutral pH environment and charge separation; introduction of green algae and heterocystous cyanobacteria to enable heterocyst formation and oxygenic PS to protect  $N_2$  as and promote  $O_2$  sensitivity; use of  $O_2$  tolerance  $H_2$  as microorganisms like algae that conduct PS and the transition from  $H_2$  as to  $N_2$  as microorganisms such as cyanobacteria are also suggested (Chandrasekhar et al., 2015).

A mixed culture MEC that promotes higher biogas production and the application of reforming methods for the conversion of biogas to biohydrogen can minimise the high cost and stack electricity consumption of the  $H_2$  membrane separator. It was evident that the internal resistance in the dual-chamber MEC system is relatively higher than that of the mixed culture MEC-producing biogas (CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>) (Onwuemezie and Darabkhani, 2024a). Integrated MEC-AD, slow pyrolysis to obtain biochar for AD reactor and reforming methods for the conversion of both wastewater and organic wastes into biogas with high total solid content can be a promising route for biohydrogen production. In this approach, liquid oil and char from low-temperature pyrolysis of biomass can promote biogas concentration in the methanogenic stage. The integration of combined DF-PF or AF-PF into the thermolysis of organic VFAs seems promising. In this suggested hybrid system, the DF/AF by-products will feed PF and VFAs from PF will feed the thermolysis unit to recover CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, alcohol fuels and ketene. While the incorporation of MFC to the hybrid DF/AF-PF should utilise a battolyser system to store electricity for the digester/fermenter operation.

### 2.2.9. Summary and comparisons of $H_2$ technologies and proposed roadmap for a sustainable $H_2$ production systems

Table 2 summarises the  $H_2$  production systems, proposed thermal and electrical sources of energy, possible integration units and areas of applications. Renewable energy sources for electrical units of the  $H_2$ systems include solar, wind, geothermal and others. The proposed combined  $H_2$  production technologies using advanced waste heat conversion to electrical energy, renewable and low-carbon energy systems are shown in Fig. 10a.

Fig. 10b presents the proposed integrated pyrolysis and electrolysis systems for  $H_2$  production. In the presented hybrid  $H_2$  production technology, both solar thermal and oxy-hydrogen furnace were utilised to preheat the pyrolyser feedstock and compensate for heat losses. The waste heat recovered from cooling the pyrolyser syngas was used to produce steam for both high-temperature electrolysis of  $H_2O$  and steam Rankine cycle. The obtained thermal energy from cooling  $H_2$  and  $O_2$ from the high-temperature electrolyser stack was also utilised for distillation and preheat the organic Rankine cycle (ORC) working fluid (cyclopentane ( $C_5H_{10}$ )). In addition to the produced electricity by the Rankine cycle units, solar PV or wind turbine systems generated more electricity for the electrical units. Part of the produced  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  were consumed in the oxy-hydrogen furnace to exclude the carbon footprint.

In addition, a hybrid AD-MEC and DR for biohydrogen production is given in Fig. 10c. The displayed integrated  $H_2$  production system utilised exhaust heat of an oxy-hydrogen furnace for thermal pretreatment of AD feedstock in the hydrolysis stage. The methanogenic stage was also improved by adding biochar from the low-temperature pyrolyser unit. For biohydrogen production, DR was incorporated for the conversion of AD-MEC biogas into biohydrogen and bio- $CO_2$ . The absorption process was used to capture the bio- $CO_2$  from the DR unit. In locations with limited sunshine or wind, the proposed system introduced an option of using the released electrons from the MFC to operate the electricity units such as MEC stack or AD reactors. Both proposed  $H_2$ production technologies achieved carbon neutrality and higher efficiency. Thus, they represent a perfect roadmap for sustainable  $H_2$  production systems. Table 3 which depicts the comparison of different  $H_2$ production methods can be found in the appendix.

#### Table 2

Summary of H<sub>2</sub> production processes, thermal energy source, compactable technologies and suitable areas of application.

Technology	Thermal energy sources	Possible technology for integration	Suitable locations.
Hydrocarbon reforming and pyrolysis (HRP).	Solar thermal (CSP) systems and oxy-hydrogen combustion. Electricity from wind or solar during low demand for the plasma reforming process without $CO_2$ feed.	Electrolysis of $H_2O$ . Thermolysis via oxidation of $SO_2$ . Steam, organic or gas cycles with heat recovery units. Thermoelectric converters for heat flux conversion to electricity.	Areas with higher solar radiation and long sunny days. Areas with more wind such as the UK for plasma reforming and $CH_4$ pyrolysis methods.
Solid fuel gasification.	The same as HRP.	Low-temperature $H_2O$ electrolysis.	Cement, iron and steel plants.
Biomass pyrolysis and gasification.	Oxy-hydrogen combustion. Renewable powered-plasma furnace. Solar thermal for gasification with slurry feed.	The same as HRP.	Any location with more feedstock. Areas with higher solar radiation for the gasification unit.
Electrolysis of $H_2O$ . Photolysis.	Solar thermal for high-temperature electrolysis systems. Waste heat for high-temperature electrolysis systems.	Any of the fossil fuel methods but more suitable for technologies that require $O_2$ feed.	Thermal industries for waste heat conversion to usable energy. Location with more freshwater.
Thermolysis Biological (Bio-photolysis)	The same as HRP.	Low-temperature alkaline $H_2O$ electrolysis.	The same as HRP. Locations with higher solar radiation.
Bio-electrochemical(MEC).	The same as HRP for substrate pretreatment.	MFC, DF, AF and AD. Aerobic digestion.	Wastewater and organic waste treatment plants. Fertiliser and synthetic fuel production plants.
Fermentation		MFC, AF and AD. Thermolysis of VFAs coupled with catalytic $CH_4$ pyrolysis.	Organic waste and wastewater treatment plants.

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 10a. Renewable and low-carbon energy systems for integrated H<sub>2</sub> production technologies (Onwuemezie et al., 2024; Onwuemezie and Darabkhani, 2024a, 2024d).

#### 3. Overview of H<sub>2</sub> Transportation Routes

Stored  $H_2$  in liquid, gas and solid forms can be transported by pipeline, ships, rail and tankers.  $H_2$  pipeline transport is the same as natural gas (NG) pipeline transport except for higher infrastructure and

maintenance costs, embrittlement, higher flow velocity (250 - 270 %) and lower pressure loss (5.8 times) (Thawani et al., 2023). Similar to NG,  $H_2$  pipeline transport may require recompression in every 200 km to compensate for pressure losses (S, 2021). A computational model of NG pipeline transport at 800 kg/s flowrate, intake pressure of 300 bar and

(b)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 10b. Proposed H<sub>2</sub> production system through renewable powered hybrid pyrolysis and electrolysis technologies.

(c)

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

Fig. 10c. Proposed H<sub>2</sub> production technology via renewable and MFC powered integrated AD-MEC and DR systems.

4801 km distance may not necessarily require recompression (Prasad et al., 2023). Other forms of  $H_2$  pipeline transport include mixture or blending with NG/*CH*<sub>4</sub> (hythane) and reacting with other elements to form *NH*<sub>3</sub> and light hydrocarbons.  $H_2$  blend to form any of these gases, liquid fuels or elements for ease of storage or transportation may require  $H_2$  production facilities for recovery, which may increase the purchase price. Fig. 11 shows pipeline, tanker, ship and rail modes of  $H_2$  transportation.

#### 3.1. Advances and outlooks of $H_2$ transport

Since hydrogen embrittlement is one of the drawbacks of  $H_2$  transport by pipeline, researchers have proposed the use of alloy materials with high entropies and special microstructures. This can be achieved by using nitriding, carburising and shot peening treatments in addition to ceramic or metallic coatings to enhance the microstructures and surface properties needed to resist hydrogen embrittlement. Other materials suggested for  $H_2$  pipeline construction include composites and polymers, while advanced purification of  $H_2$  to remove other elements such

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

Fig. 11. Schematic diagrams of H<sub>2</sub> transportation modes.

as  $H_2O$  or  $O_2$  has also been proposed to mitigate embrittlement (Mohammadi et al., 2022; Laadel et al., 2022). In addition, proper handling to mitigate leakage and the use of carbon fibre-reinforced polymers (CFRPs) for better insulation and weight reduction and pressure relief systems should be considered for compressed and liquid  $H_2$ transport (Muhammed et al., 2023). Furthermore, local  $H_2$  pipeline delivery to reduce maintenance costs and safety concerns is encouraged.

#### 4. Overview of $H_2$ storage methods

 $H_2$  can be stored in liquid, compressed, cryogenic and solid states. Compressed  $H_2$  storage, which increases storage capacity up to 35 kg/ $m^3$  due to its low density requires lightweight composite storage units and a storage pressure up to 800 bar. Liquid  $H_2$  storage at a standard boiling point -252.87 °C to maximise storage capacity (70 kg/ $m^3$ ) twice that of compressed  $H_2$  at 800 bar, operates at atmospheric pressure conditions (Faye et al., 2022). Solid state  $H_2$  storage under atmospheric pressure conditions can increase storage capacity up to  $150 \text{ kg/m}^3$  and use metal alloy composites and hydrides (metal, non-mental and complex) absorbents. Alloy solid state storage includes Mg-Mg<sub>2</sub>Ni,  $Mg-Mg_2Cu$ ,  $Mg_2Fe$  and  $Mg_2Cu$ . Some of these hydrides for  $H_2$  storage by absorption form KAlH<sub>4</sub>, LiBH<sub>4</sub>and SiO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>NB<sub>3</sub>, LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, Ca(BH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and others. Currently,  $H_3NB_3$  and  $LiBH_4$  have the highest solid state  $H_2$ storage capacity (18 - 10 wt%) (Tarhan and Cil, 2021a). The liquefied H<sub>2</sub> storage at -252.87 °C requires 7.34 kW/1kg<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>, 6 kW/1kg<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub> for compression at 600 – 800 bar,  $2 \text{ kW}/1 kg_{H_2}$  for hydride LiBH<sub>2</sub> and 4.3 kW/1kg<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub> for MgH<sub>2</sub>. For the liquified and hydride  $H_2$  storage, a similar amount of energy for hydrogenation may be required for dehydrogenation. An increase in  $H_2$  storage capacity decreases the energy input per  $1kg_{H_2}$  and  $H_2$  storage by  $NH_3$  production using  $N_2$  from the ASU unit in hydrocarbon reforming methods is energy intensive during dehydrogenation. Fig. 12 displays compression, liquid and solid means of  $H_2$  storage.

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

Fig. 12. Schematic diagrams of H<sub>2</sub> storage methods.

#### 4.1. Advances and outlooks of $H_2$ storage

Solid-state  $H_2$  storage through metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) adsorbents looks promising against other storage methods such as the compression route. However, an uptake increase by  $\geq$  5 g/L is needed for applications that require > 30 cycles per year to be cost-competitive as thermal, solvent and waste based manufacturing routes cost between 10 \$/kg and 70 \$/kg (Peng et al., 2024). Recently, Zhou et al. conducted a review of  $Mg/MgH_2$  solid state  $H_2$  storage using MOFs and carbon-based porous material as catalysts' supports to minimise sintering issues. The reviewed work suggested advances in economical catalysts with high catalysts' recovery rates, improved stability, fast H<sub>2</sub> uptake and  $Mg/MgH_2$  release to promote the practical application (Zhou et al., 2024). In addition, the prospect of  $H_2$  storage by hydrides should look towards improving the kinetics and thermodynamic performance such as reducing the energy consumption of hydrogenation (absorption) and dehydrogenation (desorption). Consideration should be given to salt cavern storage in locations (Netherlands, China, the USA and others) where salt mountains can be found since it is impossible to use a single  $H_2$  storage material as a medium for real-life applications in solid-state storage routes. Table 6 which summarises the  $H_2$  storage in solid state is given in the appendix.

#### 5. Overview of H<sub>2</sub> Utilisation

Similar to the three main types of  $H_2O$  electrolysis,  $H_2$  FC includes proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC), Alkaline fuel cell (AFC), solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) and anion exchange membrane fuel cell (AEMFC) and works in reverse of electrolysis to produce electricity.  $H_2$ fuel cells outperform batteries in terms of recharge time, service life and range. However, the lower efficiency (52 %) of FC compared to batteries (~90 %) limits the full transition from battery to FC in some applications like automobiles (Thomas, 2009).

 $H_2$  heat engines are classified into  $H_2$  internal combustion engines ( $H_2$ ICEs),  $H_2$ -fuelled gas turbine engines ( $H_2$ GTEs) and  $H_2$ -based ovens/ furnaces. In each of these heat engines,  $H_2$  fuel is burnt with air or  $O_2$ oxidants in the combustor or cylinder to produce energy in the form of heat. The produced energy is converted to electricity with the use of turbines and electric generators and can also be transferred to elements/ molecules such as  $H_2O$  for tap-water/central heating systems. Fig. 13 depicts the  $H_2$  utilisation in FC and heat engines.

In the FC unit of the displayed diagram,  $H_2$  react with air or  $O_2$  to generate electricity. The compressed ambient air combusts with  $H_2$  fuel in the combustor to release high-pressure-temperature gas to drive the turbine connected to the compressor, while the exit gas from the turbine enters the nozzle and leaves as thrust. On the other hand, the turbine outlet gas drives another low-pressure turbine connected to the utility generator to generate electricity. The recuperator preheats the com-

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

Fig. 13. Schematic diagrams of H<sub>2</sub> utilisation in fuel cell (FC) and heat engines.

pressed air to the combustor.  $H_2$ ICE is a stroke cycle engine, and the 4stroke cycle engine involves an air intake through an air manifold, air compression, combustion for piston movement and exhaust. The mechanical work from the combustion stroke drives the crankshaft or utility generation. In addition,  $H_2$  can be used to produce chemicals such as fertilisers or synthetic fuels/gas ( $NH_3$ ,  $C_2H_6O/C_2H_5OH$ ,  $CH_3OH$  and biodiesel). The overall reaction of  $H_2$  and air or  $O_2$  in FC and heat engines are described in Eqs. 40 and 41.

$$H_2 + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow H_2O \tag{40}$$

$$H_2 + Air \rightarrow Heat + H_2O + NOx \tag{41}$$

With  $396kg/hr_{air}$  feed, a 1:2.42 NG to  $H_2$  ratio was required to achieve the same combustor heat output. Although, the NG compressor uses less electricity in contrast to the  $H_2$  pressure increaser. A  $H_2$  low-temperature fuel cell on the other hand, produced 33 kW for  $1kg/hr_{H_2}$  feed. The produced electricity from  $1kg/hr_{H_2}$  in the  $H_2$  fuel cell decreases as the stack temperature increases. This shows that PEMFC is more power efficient than SOFC. In addition, the combined heat and power (CHP) system based on a gas turbine system is preferable in applications where more heat is needed. However, a  $H_2$  fuel cell system is the right choice for applications that require more electricity. The efficiency of a  $H_2$ -fuelled gas turbine system can be estimated using Eq. 42.

$$n = \left[\frac{\dot{m}_a(h_{steam} - h_{water})}{\dot{m}_f(H_2 L H V)}\right] * 100 + \left[\frac{W_{net}}{Q_H}\right] * 100$$
(42)

Where:  $\dot{m}_a$  = Steam flowrate;  $h_{steam}$  = Steam enthalpy;  $h_{water}$  = Feed  $H_2O$  enthalpy;  $\dot{m}_f = H_2$  mass flowrate to the gas compressor; LHV = Low heating value;  $W_{net}$  = Turbine work – (compressors + pump works);  $Q_H$  = Boiler heat.

### 5.1. Advances, outlooks and proposed roadmap for $H_2$ utilisation in heat engines and for synthetic fuel production

 $H_2$  production using excess electricity (between 3–5 %) from wind farms and hydropower plants and its utilisation in grid balancing via fuel cell application was investigated, with findings showing that both approaches are economically feasible. The studied work utilised power plants with a capacity of 1 – 30 MW and between 16,000 MWh/day and 9340 MWh/day were recovered with a profit of \$27.13 - 617.92/kg for the wind farm and \$162.87 - 342.42/kg for the hydropower plant (Souza et al., 2024). Most recently, efforts were made to substitute fossil fuel gas turbine systems with pure  $H_2$  systems. For instance, *Banihabib et al.*  reported NOx formation of 22 ppm during an investigation on pure  $H_2$  utilisation in a gas turbine system using a  $H_2$  fuel train and controller approaches (Banihabib et al., 2024). In addition,  $H_2$  utilisation should look towards the addition of steam to reduce thermal wall stress and NOx formation in the combustor/cylinder, heat recovery using thermophotovoltaic systems and the introduction of a combustor with a fitted heat exchanger for distillation. Furthermore, the transition from a single-piston  $H_2$  heat engine to an opposed-piston engine (OPE) and the integration of  $H_2O$  electrolysis to generate  $H_2$  fuel to reduce end-use costs should be considered.

Given that the reuse of existing facilities or infrastructures for  $H_2$ transportation and storage faces many challenges as mentioned above, Fig. 14 presents the synthetic natural gas (NG) production via the  $H_2$ pathway and its utilisation in a combined heat and power (CHP) system. The presented hybrid system for  $H_2$  production and utilisation to produce synthetic NG includes a gas turbine cogeneration system, solarwind powered low-temperature  $H_2O$  electrolysis and oxy-hydrogen aided direct air capture (DAC) units. In this integrated system,  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  were produced from the electrolyser cell, and part of the produced  $H_2$ is synthesised with CO2 from the DAC unit and fed to the gas turbine system to produce heat and power.  $CO_2$  present in the exhaust gases of the gas turbine system was captured by DAC and was released from the CaCO<sub>3</sub> with the aid of an oxy-hydrogen furnace where the remaining produced  $H_2$  was burnt. The efficiency of the proposed  $H_2$  utilisation system was 96 % (20 % for power and 76 % for heat), 80 % for PEMEC and between 40 % and 55 % for combined PEMEC and oxy-hydrogen furnace. This proposed carbon-free system means that building new infrastructures for  $H_2$  transport and utilisation in small or medium sized utility systems can be avoided and represent a sustainable pathway to reduce reliance on the grid.

## 5.2. Challenges, policy and collaborations for scalability and applicability enhancement of the proposed $H_2$ systems

One of the challenges of the proposed  $H_2$  production and utilisation systems is the cost (installation) as each hybrid system has different subunits. For example, a PEMEC electrolyser costs \$1400/kW as written in Table 1 and requires < \$200/kW to achieve <  $$2kg_{H_2}$ . Therefore, research and development (R&D) funding from different collaborative platforms is required to mitigate costs and other issues that may arise in these proposed  $H_2$  systems. In addition, the involvement of policymakers to subsidise the cost of each unit of the hybrid systems such as electrolyser stacks is highly encouraged. For example, a plan to support

![](_page_20_Figure_14.jpeg)

Fig. 14. Proposed H<sub>2</sub> utilisation for synthetic NG production for small and medium scale applications.

and subsidise electrolyser manufacturers in India was announced back in September 2021 with the aim to increase the share of a green  $H_2$ production system and promote the integration to other units for efficiency improvement (Jeje et al., 2024). Another approach to promote the proposed  $H_2$  energy systems involve a scheme to financially support the end-users when these proposed technologies are readily available as a similar approach was demonstrated by the UK government for heat pumps. For example, in the UK, buyers of electric heat pumps received £ 5000 for air source and £ 6000 for ground source as of 2024. A streamline by policymakers to encourage the transfer of research findings to industries for practical applications can also facilitate technological breakthroughs in  $H_2$  energy systems. On the other hand, a scheme to promote collaboration between researchers and industries in  $H_2$  energy systems can enhance the scalability and applicability of emerging technologies such as those proposed.

#### Conclusion

 $H_2$  technologies (production, storage, transportation and utilisation) were developed and discussed to gain a comprehensive understanding of their performance, advances and areas for improvement. The study proposed the use of solar thermal units such as CSP technologies or renewable powered-plasma systems during low electricity demand to preheat the reformer feedstocks rather than burning fossil fuel in reformer furnaces. In addition, the use of oxy-hydrogen furnaces to compensate for heat losses was highlighted. Renewable power sources such as solar, wind, MFC and others were recommended to generate electricity for the electrical units. Waste heat recovery through heat exchangers (HXs) and thermoelectric converter systems was proposed for matured  $H_2$  production technologies to improve their energy efficiency. Hydrocarbon reforming methods of  $H_2$  production, coupled with the electrolysis of  $H_2O$  to feed  $O_2$  by-product to the reformer was also

suggested to exclude the use of an ASU. The proposed  $H_2$  production systems were hybrid pyrolysis and electrolysis and integrated MFC, AD-MEC and DR using renewable and low-carbon energy sources to achieve carbon neutrality. One of the proposed  $H_2$  production technologies also included Rankine cycles for the conversion of medium and low temperature waste heat to electricity. To alleviate the storage and transportation issues associated with  $H_2$  fuel, a hybrid green  $H_2$  production and utilisation with the aid of DAC to capture  $CO_2$  for hydrogenation to synthetic NG was proposed and developed. This study also indicated that applications of the proposed  $H_2$  energy systems will enable the reuse of existing networks and infrastructures. The highlighted areas for future research include the direct combustion of pure  $H_2$  in gas turbine engines with steam addition to reduce NOx formation and wall thermal stress and  $H_2$  utilisation in opposed piston engines for electricity generation.

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Linus Onwuemezie:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Software, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Data Availability**

No data was used for the research described in the article.

#### Appendix

Table 3

Comparison of current and proposed  $H_2$  production systems (Onwuemezie et al., 2023b).

$H_2$ production methods	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost (\$)	Efficiency (%)
Hydrocarbon reforming, pyrolysis and gasification	Low cost of $H_2$ and good working efficiencies.	Reliance on fossil fuels for thermal and electrical energy production. Carbon emissions from combustion without CCS units	1.91 - 2.9	35 - 85
Electrolysis of $H_2O$	Carbon neutral when renewable power systems generate the stack electricity. Good working efficiency and abundant feedstock $(H_2O)$ .	High cost of green $H_2$ and requires more energy in contrast to fossil fuel $H_2$ production systems.	5.10 - 10.3	68 - 100 (high- temperature electrolysis cell)
Photocatalyst	Low cost of $H_2$ and abundant feedstock.	Impurities and poor conversion efficiency.	9	0.06
Fermentation (photo and	Low cost of $H_2$ and minimal energy requirement.	Impurities, high VFA production and poor	2.57 –	0.1 - 10.14
dark)		conversion efficiency.	2.83	
Propose hybrid pyrolysis and electrolysis system	Reduced $CH_4$ feedstock. Carbon negative. High efficiency.	Higher installation cost and coke deposition on pyrolyser catalysts.	< 3	70 - 87
Proposed integrated MFC-	Higher syngas yield. Carbon neutral. Waste to energy	VFAs production. Higher installation cost because of	< 5	50 - 80
AD-MEC	and abundant feedstocks.	MEC and MFC stacks.		

#### Table 4

Comparison of H<sub>2</sub> storage methods (Zhou et al., 2024; Usman, 2022).

$H_2$ storage route	H <sub>2</sub> content (wt%)	Volumetric density (g/L)	Volumetric energy density (MJ/L)	Operation	Safety	Technical level	Cost
Gaseous state. 1 - 700 bar	5.7 - 100	0.0814 - 40.8	0.01 - 4.9	Easy	Poor	Matured	Lower
Liquid state. 1 bar - 253 °C	14 - 100	51 - 70.8	6.12 - 8.5	Difficult	Poor	Maturing	High
Solid state (metal hydrides)	1.89 - 7.9	20 - 114	2.4 - 13.7	Easy	Safe	More matured	Low

Table 5

Advantages and drawbacks of $H_2$ storage methods	(Tarhan	and	Çil, 1	2021b).	,
---	---------	-----	--------	---------	---

$H_2$ storage route	Advantages	Drawbacks
Gaseous state. 1 - 700 bar	Matured on small scales. Fast filling and discharge.	Losses (15%). Safety issues due to leakage. Required low temperature or high pressure for storage.
Liquid state. 1 bar - 253 °C Solid state (metal hydrides)	Low storage pressure. High energy density. Safer than compressed form because of lower storage pressure. Large storage in a small volume.	Higher losses (30%). Required cooling system to reduce boil-off within days. High weights of the utilised materials for $H_2$ storage (absorption).

Table 6

Advantages and drawbacks of MOFs/hydrides materials for  $H_2$  storage (Klopčič et al., 2023).

MOFs/hydrides materials for H <sub>2</sub> storage	Advantages	Drawbacks
MgH <sub>2</sub>	Low cost; abundant; high storage weight (7.6 wt%); good stability under thermal conditions and reuse.	Poor hydrogenation, cycle stability and kinetics; $O_2$ sensitivity and high dehydrogenation temperature (300 $^{\circ}$ C).
TiFe	Low cost; abundant; good cycling stability under low temperature (30 - 70 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C})$ conditions and reuse.	Low storage weight; higher activation temperature (400 °C); high storage pressure (10 - 20 bar); low $H_2$ recovery rate and sensitivity to gas impurities.
TiMn <sub>2</sub>	Low cost; abundant; good kinetics and stability under ambient temperature and mild activation conditions.	Sensitive to gas impurities; high storage pressure with hysteresis effects and limited bonding strength because of high oxidation caused by oxygen-affine.
LaNi <sub>5</sub>	Resistance to impurities and high cycling stability under ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure; high hydrogenation and volumetric ability.	High cost due to limited availability; low storage weight (1.4 wt%) and flammability.
NaAlH <sub>4</sub>	Low cost; abundant and moderate operating temperature.	Sluggish hydrogenation, kinetics and reversibility; pose significant physical hazards when ignited (pyrophoric).
LiBH <sub>4</sub>	High storage capacity and lightweight.	High cost and dehydrogenation temperature (>300 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ ); flammable; sluggish kinetics.

#### Pilot studies on $H_2$ energy technologies

A short while ago,  $H_2$  energy systems including production using solar-powered electrolysis of  $H_2O$ , storage with metal hydride and batteries and utilisation in a fuel cell for electricity generation in a market administration building were investigated. The finding shows that > 50 %  $CO_2$  reduction can be achieved when implemented in buildings (Segawa et al., 2022). Another pilot-scale experimental study utilised locally generated  $H_2$  in urban buildings because of the challenges of deploying renewable energy systems in non-rural buildings. The experimental work involved off-site metal hydride storage of  $100 Nm_{H_2}^3$  at a pressure of < 1 MPaG and the result indicates that green  $H_2$  utilisation in metropolitan buildings requires  $300 Nm_{H_2}^3$  to achieve carbon negative (Segawa et al., 2024). The pilot-scale study on biohydrogen production from hybrid DF-PF reveals that  $9.37t_{CO_2}$  can be emitted for every  $1t_{H_2}$  using 171,530 MJ of energy for fermenters and purification units (Zhang et al., 2024b). Carbon reduction on residential buildings in Spain, Portugal, Southwest France and Novales Spain by generating  $H_2$  with surplus electricity and utilising it for load balancing during high demand has recently been demonstrated on a pilot scale. The outcome shows that with the aid of a tracking device and remote operation of the solar-powered electrolysis of  $H_2O$  system, 2260 $kg_{CO_2}$  reduction, 15200 kWh energy saving and €1170 energy bill avoidance on social housing could be feasible within 2 years (Maestre et al., 2024). All proposed hybrid systems suggested the use of solar thermal and PV or wind-powered plasma during periods of low energy demand to be both environmentally and economically beneficial.

#### References

- Abdalla, A.M., Hossain, S., Nisfindy, O.B., Azad, A.T., Dawood, M., Azad, A.K., 2018. Hydrogen production, storage, transportation and key challenges with applications: a review. Energy Convers. Manag. 165, 602–627.
- Abdel-Aal, H., Sadik, M., Bassyouni, M., Shalabi, M., 2005. A new approach to utilize hydrogen as a safe fuel. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 30, 1511–1514.
- Aboosadi, Z.A., Dehghanfard, E., Abdosheikhi, M., 2022. Modeling of methane Trireforming slurry bubble column reactor via differential evolution optimization method to produce syngas. Int. J. Chem. React. Eng. 20, 911–928.
- IRENA, Green Hydrogen Cost Reduction: Scaling up Electrolysers to Meet the 1.5°C Climate Goal, International Renewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi (2020).
- C. Acar, I. Dincer, Review and Evaluation of Hydrogen Production Options for Better Environment, Journal of Cleaner Production, 218 (2029). 835 - 849.
- Acar, C., Dincer, I., Naterer, G.F., 2016. Review of photocatalytic water-splitting methods for sustainable hydrogen production. Int. J. Energy Res. 40, 1449–1473.
- Akhlaghi, N., Najafpour-Darzi, G., 2020. A comprehensive review on biological hydrogen production. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 45, 22492–22512.
- Argyris, P.A., Wong, J., Wright, A., Pereira, L.M., Spallina, V., 2023. Reducing the cost of low-carbon hydrogen production via emerging chemical looping process. Energy Convers. Manag. 277, 116581.

- Babayev, R., Andersson, A., Dalmau, A.S., Im, H.G., Johansson, B., 2021. Computational characterization of hydrogen direct injection and nonpremixed combustion in a compression-ignition engine. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 46, 18678–18696.
- Bai, Y., Wang, Y., Zou, L., Xiu, H., Liu, T., Zhang, X., 2024. Experimental study on hydrogen production from heavy tar in biomass gasification furnace catalyzed by carbon-based catalysts. Fuel 361, 130718.
- Banihabib, R., Lingstädt, T., Wersland, M., Kutne, P., Assadi, M., 2024. Development and testing of a 100 kW fuel-flexible micro gas turbine running on 100% hydrogen. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 49, 92–111.
- Bareiß, K., Rua, C. d I, Möckl, M., Hamacher, T., 2019. Life cycle assessment of hydrogen from proton exchange membrane water electrolysis in future energy systems. Appl. Energy 237, 862–872.
- Baykara, S., 2004. Experimental solar water thermolysis. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 29, 1459–1469.
- Boscherini, M., Storione, A., Minelli, M., Miccio, F., Doghieri, F., 2023. New perspectives on catalytic hydrogen production by the reforming, partial oxidation and decomposition of methane and biogas. Energies 16, 6375.
- Chandrasekhar, K., Lee, Y.-J., Lee, D.-W., 2015. Biohydrogen production: strategies to improve process efficiency through microbial routes. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16, 8266–8293.
- Chen, Y., Jiang, J., Wang, L., Wang, R., 2023. Impact assessment of energy sanctions in geo-conflict: Russian–Ukrainian war. Energy Rep. 9, 3082–3095.

#### L. Onwuemezie

- Cleiren, E., Heijkers, S., Ramakers, M., Bogaerts, A., 2017. Dry reforming of methane in a gliding arc plasmatron: towards a better understanding of the plasma. Chem. Chem. Sustain. Energy Mater. 10, 4025–4036.
- Cui, L., Ahmad, F., Zhang, Y., Liu, W., Nižetić, S., 2024. High-quality oil recovered from waste solar panel through using microwave-assisted pyrolysis. Sol. Energy 267, 112239.
- Cusick, R.D., Kiely, P.D., Logan, B.E., 2010. A monetary comparison of energy recovered from microbial fuel cells and microbial electrolysis cells fed winery or domestic wastewaters. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 35, 8855–8861.
- Czylkowski, D., Hrycak, B., Jasiński, M., Dors, M., Mizeraczyk, J., 2016. Microwave plasma-based method of hydrogen production via combined steam reforming of methane. Energy 113, 653–661.
- Dawood, F., Anda, M., Shafiullah, G., 2020. Hydrogen production for energy: an overview. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 45, 3847–3869.
- Dega, F.B., Chamoumi, M., Braidy, N., Abatzoglou, N., 2019. Autothermal dry reforming of methane with a nickel spinellized catalyst prepared from a negative value metallurgical residue. Renew. Energy 138, 1239–1249.
- Dehghani-Sanij, A., Tharumalingam, E., Dusseault, M., Fraser, R., 2019. Study of energy storage systems and environmental challenges of batteries. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 104, 192–208.
- Deng, G., Zhang, G., Zhu, X., Guo, Q., Liao, X., Chen, X., et al., 2021. Optimized Ni-based catalysts for methane reforming with O<sub>2</sub>-containing CO<sub>2</sub>. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 289, 120033.
- Dogutan, D.K., Nocera, D.G., 2019. Artificial photosynthesis at efficiencies greatly exceeding that of natural photosynthesis. Acc. Chem. Res. 52, 3143–3148.
- Elbaba, I.F., Williams, P.T., 2014. Deactivation of nickel catalysts by sulfur and carbon for the pyrolysis-catalytic gasification/reforming of waste tires for hydrogen production. Energy Fuels 28, 2104–2113.
- Ellison, C.R., Boldor, D., 2021. Mild upgrading of biomass pyrolysis vapors via ex-situ catalytic pyrolysis over an iron-montmorillonite catalyst. Fuel 291, 120226.
- Faye, O., Szpunar, J., Eduok, U., 2022. A critical review on the current technologies for the generation, storage, and transportation of hydrogen. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 47, 13771–13802.
- Fazlikeshteli, S., Vendrell, X., Llorca, J., 2024. Catalytic partial oxidation of methane over bimetallic Ru–Ni supported on CeO2 for syngas production. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 51, 1494–1507.
- Feng, J., Yan, S., Zhang, R., Gu, S., Qu, X., Bi, J., 2023. Recycling and reuse performance of cobalt catalyst for coal hydrogasification. Fuel 335, 126939.
- Frowijn, L.S., Sark, W.G. v, 2021. Analysis of photon-driven solar-to-hydrogen production methods in the Netherlands. Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess. 48, 101631.
- Gao, J., Wang, X., Song, P., Tian, G., Ma, C., 2022. Review of the backfire occurrences and control strategies for port hydrogen injection internal combustion engines. Fuel 307, 121553.
- Giang, T.T., Lunprom, S., Liao, Q., Reungsang, A., Salakkam, A., 2019. Enhancing hydrogen production from chlorella sp. biomass by pre-hydrolysis with simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (PSSF). Energies 12, 908.
- Gillis, E., O'Sullivan, J., 2003. Fuel Cells, Applications in Stationary Power Systems. in Encyclopedia of Physical Science and Technology, 3 ed.,. Academic Press,, Cambridge, Massachusetts, pp. 235–251.
- Gorensek, M.B., Corgnale, C., Staser, J.A., Weidner, J.W., 2018. Solar thermochemical hydrogen (STCH) processes. Electrochem. Soc. Interface 27, 53–56.
- Goria, K., Singh, H.M., Singh, A., Kothari, R., Tyagi, V., 2024. Insights into biohydrogen production from algal biomass: challenges, recent advancements and future directions. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 52, 127–151.
- Guo, X., Zhu, H., Zhang, S., 2024. Overview of electrolyser and hydrogen production power supply from industrial perspective. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 49, 1048–1059.
- Hasan, M., Rasul, M., Mofijur, M., 2024. Fuelling the future: Unleashing energy and exergy efficiency from municipal green waste pyrolysis. Fuel 357, 129815.
   Holladay, J., Hu, J., King, D., Wang, Y., 2009. An overview of hydrogen production
- technologies. Catal. Today 139, 244–260. Hosseinzadeh, A., Zhou, J.L., Li, X., Afsari, M., Altaee, A., 2022. Techno-economic and
- environmental impact assessment of hydrogen production processes using bio-waste as renewable energy resource. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 156, 111991.
- Ipata, P.L., Pesi, R., 2015. What is the true nitrogenase reaction? A guided approach. Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ. 43, 142–144.
- IPCC. What Kind of Pathways Limit Warming to 1.5°C and are we on Track?. (2015). [Online]. Available: https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/faq/faq-chapter-1/. [Accessed 06 11 2023].
- Ishaq, H., Dincer, I., Crawford, C., 2020. A review on hydrogen production and utilization: challenges and opportunities. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 47, 26238–26264.
- Iulianelli, A., Liguori, S., Wilcox, J., Basile, A., 2016. Advances on methane steam reforming to produce hydrogen through membrane reactors technology: a review. Catal. Rev. 58, 1–35.
- Jecobson, M.Z., 2002. Atmosheric Pollution: History, Science, and Regulation. Cambridge University Press., Cambridge.
- Jeje, S.O., Marazani, T., Obiko, J.O., Shongwe, M.B., 2024. Advancing the hydrogen production economy: a comprehensive review of technologies, sustainability, and future prospects. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 78, 642–661.
- Jia, J., Seitz, L.C., Benck, J.D., Huo, Y., Chen, Y., Ng, J.W.D., et al., 2016. Solar water splitting by photovoltaic-electrolysis with a solar-to-hydrogen efficiency over 30%. Nat. Commun., 13237
- Jia, Y.H., Ryu, J.H., Kim, C.H., Lee, W.K., Tran, T.V.T., Lee, H.L., et al., 2012. Enhancing hydrogen production efficiency in microbial electrolysis cell with membrane electrode assembly cathode. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 18, 715–719.

- Jo, Y.-D., Crowl, D.A., 2010. Explosion characteristics of hydrogen-air mixtures in a spherical vessel. Process Saf. Prog. 29, 216–223.
- Jordan, T., 2022. Chapter 2 Hydrogen technologies. in Hydrogen Safety for Energy Applications. Sciencedirect,, pp. 25–115.
- Kannah, R.Y., Kavitha, S., Preethi, Karthikeyan, O.P., Kumar, G., Dai-Viet, N.V., et al., 2021. Techno-economic assessment of various hydrogen production methods – a review. Bioresour. Technol. 319, 124175.
- Khaleel, A., Pillantakath, A.R., Adamson, A., 2023. International journal of hydrogen energy. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 48, 33913–33926.
- Khetkorn, W., Rastogi, R.P., Incharoensakdi, A., Lindblad, P., Madamwar, D., Pandey, A., et al., 2017. Microalgal hydrogen production – a review. Bioresour. Technol. 243, 1194–1206.
- Kim, C.-H., Han, J.-Y., Kim, S., Lee, B., Lim, H., L, K.-Y., et al., 2018. Hydrogen production by steam methane reforming in a membrane reactor equipped with a Pd composite membrane deposited on a porous stainless steel. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 43, 7684–7692.
- B. King, D. Patel, J.Z. Chen, D. Drapanauskaite, R. Handler, T. Nozaki, et al., Fuel Comprehensive Process and Environmental Impact Analysis of Integrated DBD Plasma Steam Methane Reforming 304 (2021) 121328.
- Klopčič, N., Grimmer, I., Winkler, F., Sartory, M., Trattner, A., 2023. A review on metal hydride materials for hydrogen storage. J. Energy Storage 72, 108456.
- Kundu, A., Sahu, J.N., Redzwan, G., Hashim, M., 2013. An overview of cathode material and catalysts suitable for generating hydrogen in microbial electrolysis cell. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 38, 1745–1757.
- Laadel, N.-E., Mansori, M.E., Kang, N., Marlin, S., Boussant-Roux, Y., 2022. Permeation barriers for hydrogen embrittlement prevention in metals – a review on mechanisms, materials suitability and efficiency. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 47, 32707–32731.
- Lee, D.-H., 2021. Biohydrogen yield efficiency and the benefits of dark, photo and darkphoto fermentative production technology in circular Asian economies. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 46, 13908–13922.
- Li, J., Wei, Y.-M., Liu, L., Li, X., Yan, R., 2022. The carbon footprint and cost of coalbased hydrogen production with and without carbon capture and storage technology in China. J. Clean. Prod. 362, 132514.
- Li, Y., Yu, H., Jiang, X., Deng, G., Wen, J.Z., Tan, Z., 2023. Techno-economic analysis for hydrogen-burning power plant with onsite hydrogen production unit based on methane catalytic decomposition. Energy Convers. Manag. 277, 116674.
- Liu, H.-z, Chen, T.-z, Wang, N., Zhang, Y.-r, Li, J.-c, 2024. A new strategy for improving MFC power output by shared electrode MFC–MEC coupling. Appl. Energy 359, 122677.
- Luna-Murillo, B., Pala, M., Paioni, A.L., Baldus, M., Ronsse, F., Prins, W., et al., 2020. Catalytic fast pyrolysis of biomass: catalyst characterization reveals the feeddependent deactivation of a technical ZSM-5-based catalyst. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 9, 291–304.
- Maestre, V., Ortiz, A., Ortiz, I., 2024. Sustainable and self-sufficient social home through a combined PV-hydrogen pilot. Appl. Energy 363, 123061.
- Martin, S., Wörner, A., 2011. On-board reforming of biodiesel and bioethanol for high temperature PEM fuel cells: comparison of autothermal reforming and steam reforming. J. Power Sources 196, 3163–3171.
- Matus, E.V., Ismagilov, I.Z., Yashnik, S.A., Ushakov, V.A., Prosvirin, I.P., Kerzhentsev, M. A., et al., 2020. Hydrogen production through autothermal reforming of CH<sub>4</sub>: efficiency and action mode of noble (M = Pt, Pd) and non-noble (M = Re, Mo, Sn) metal additives in the composition of Ni-M/Ce0.5Zr0.5O2/Al2O3 catalysts. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 45, 33352–33369.
- Mohammadi, A., Novelli, M., Arita, M., Bae, J.W., Kim, H.S., Grosdidier, T., et al., 2022. Gradient-structured high-entropy alloy with improved combination of strength and hydrogen embrittlement resistance. Corros. Sci. 200, 110253.
- Møller, K.T., Jensen, T.R., Akiba, E., Li, H.-w, 2017. Hydrogen a sustainable energy carrier. Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int. 27, 34–40.
- Mostafaeipour, A., Khayyami, M., Sedaghat, A., Mohammadi, K., Shamshirband, S., Sehati, M.-A., et al., 2016. Evaluating the wind energy potential for hydrogen production: a case study. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 6200–6210.
- Muhammed, N.S., Gbadamosi, A.O., Epelle, E.I., Abdulrasheed, A.A., Haq, B., Patil, S., et al., 2023. Hydrogen production, transportation, utilization, and storage: recent advances towards sustainable energy. J. Energy Storage 73, 109207.
- Niblett, D., Delpisheh, M., Ramakrishnan, S., Mamlouk, M., 2024. Review of next generation hydrogen production from offshore wind using water electrolysis. J. Power Sources 592, 233904.
- Nirmala, N., Praveen, G., AmitKumar, S., SundarRajan, P., Baskaran, A., Priyadharsini, P., et al., 2023. A review on biological biohydrogen production: outlook on genetic strain enhancements, reactor model and techno-economics analysis. Sci. Total Environ. 896, 165143.
- Omid, M.A., Şahin, M.E., Cora, Ö.N., 2024. Challenges and future perspectives on production, storage technologies, and transportation of hydrogen: a review. Energy Technol. 12, 2300997.
- Oner, O., Dincer, I., 2023. Numerical investigation of hydrogen production from lowpressure microwave steam plasma. Comput. Chem. Eng. 174, 108230.
- Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., 2024a. Solar and wind assisted biohydrogen production from integrated anaerobic digestion and microbial electrolysis cell coupled with catalytic reforming. Energy Convers. Manag. 305, 118224.
- Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., 2024b. Thermophotovoltaics (TPVs), solar and wind assisted hydrogen production and utilisation in iron and steel industry for low carbon emissions. J. Clean. Prod. 443, 140893.
- Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., 2024c. Biohydrogen production from solar and wind assisted AF-MEC coupled with MFC, PEM electrolysis of H<sub>2</sub>0 and H<sub>2</sub> fuel cell for small-scale applications. Renew. Energy 224, 120160.

#### L. Onwuemezie

Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., 2024d. Oxy-hydrogen, solar and wind assisted hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) recovery from municipal plastic waste (MPW) and saltwater electrolysis for better environmental systems and ocean cleanup. Energy 301.

- Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., Ardekani, M.M., 2023a. Hybrid solar-driven hydrogen generation by sorption enhanced–chemical looping and hydrocarbon reforming coupled with carbon capture and Rankine cycle. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 19936–19952.
- Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., Ardekani, M.M., 2023b. Integrated solar-driven hydrogen generation by pyrolysis and electrolysis coupled with carbon capture and Rankine cycle. Energy Convers. Manag. 277, 116641.
- Onwuemezie, L., Darabkhani, H.G., Montazeri-Gh, M., 2024. Pathways for low carbon hydrogen production from integrated hydrocarbon reforming and water electrolysis for oil and gas exporting countries. Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess. 61, 103598. Osman, A.I., 2020. Catalytic hydrogen production from methane partial oxidation:
- mechanism and kinetic study. Chem. Eng. Technol. 43, 1–8.
  Osman, A.I., Deka, T.J., Baruah, D.C., Rooney, D.W., 2020. Critical challenges in biohydrogen production processes from the organic feedstocks. Biomass Convers. Biorefin. 13, 8383–8401.
- Park, J.-Y., Kim, B.-N., Kim, Y.-H., Min, J., 2018. Whole-genome sequence of purple nonsulfur bacteria, Rhodobacter sphaeroides strain MBTLJ-8 with improved CO<sub>2</sub> reduction capacity. J. Biotechnol. 288, 9–14.
- Peng, P., Jiang, H.Z.H., Collins, S., Furukawa, H., Long, J.R., Breunig, H., 2024. Long duration energy storage using hydrogen in metal–organic frameworks: opportunities and challenges. ACS Energy Lett. 9, 2727–2735.
- Prasad, V., Almara, L.M., Wang, G.-X., 2023. Ultra-long-distance transport of supercritical natural gas (SNG) at very-high mass flow rates via pipelines through land, underground, water bodies, and ocean. Gas. Sci. Eng. 117, 205053.
- Rasul, M., Hazrat, M., Sattar, M., Jahirul, M., Shearer, M., 2022. The future of hydrogen: challenges on production, storage and applications. Energy Convers. Manag. 272, 116326.
- Rojas, J., Zhai, S., Sun, E., Haribal, V., Marin-Quiros, S., Sarkar, A., et al., 2024. Technoeconomics and carbon footprint of hydrogen production. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 49, 59–74.
- S III, M.A., 2021. Renewable energy transport via hydrogen pipelines and HVDC transmission lines. Energy Strategy Rev. 35, 100658.
- Saad, I., El-Dek, S., Eissa, M., Assaud, L., Abukhadra, M.R., Zoubi, W.A., et al., 2024. Recent hydrogen production strategies: recent advances in electrocatalysis. Inorg. Chem. Commun., 112474
- Salamony, D.H.E., Hassouna, M.S.E., Zaghloul, T.I., He, Z., Abdallah, H.M., 2024. Bioenergy production from chicken feather waste by anaerobic digestion and bioelectrochemical systems. Microb. Cell Factor. 102.
- Sánchez-Bastardo, N., Schlögl, R., Ruland, H., 2021. Methane pyrolysis for zero-emission hydrogen production: a potential bridge technology from fossil fuels to a renewable and sustainable hydrogen economy. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 60, 11855–11881.
- Segawa, Y., Endo, N., Shimoda, E., Maeda, T., 2022. Pilot-scale hydrogen energy utilization system demonstration: a commercial building case study on on-site green hydrogen production and use. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 47, 15982–15991.
- Segawa, Y., Endo, N., Shimoda, E., Yamane, T., Maeda, T., 2024. Pilot-scale hydrogen energy utilization system demonstration: a case study of a commercial building with supply and utilization of off-site green hydrogen. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 50, 26–36.
- Sivaramakrishnan, R., Shanmugam, S., Sekar, M., Mathimani, T., Incharoensakdi, A., Kim, S.-H., et al., 2021. Insights on biological hydrogen production routes and potential microorganisms for high hydrogen yield. Fuel 291, 120136.

- Song, J., Tang, C., Shiyao Yu, X.Y., Yang, L., 2022. Prediction of product yields using fusion model from Co-pyrolysis of biomass and coal. Bioresour. Technol. 353, 127132.
- Souza, E.G. d, Nadaleti, W.C., Thue, P.S., Santos, M.C. d, 2024. Exploring the capacity and economic viability of green hydrogen production by utilising surplus energy from wind farms and small hydropower plants in Southern Brazil. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 64, 1–14.
- Steinberg, M., Cheng, H.C., 1989. Modern and prospective technologies for hydrogen production from fossil fuels. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 14, 797–820.
- Tarhan, C., Çil, M.A., 2021a. A study on hydrogen, the clean energy of the future: hydrogen storage methods. J. Energy Storage 40, 102676.
- Tarhan, C., Çil, M.A., 2021b. A study on hydrogen, the clean energy of the future: hydrogen storage methods. J. Energy Storage 40, 102676.
- Thawani, B., Hazael, R., Critchley, R., 2023. Assessing the pressure losses during hydrogen transport in the current natural gas infrastructure using numerical modelling. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 48, 34463–34475.
- Thomas, C., 2009. Fuel cell and battery electric vehicles compared. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 34, 6005–6020.
- Usman, M.R., 2022. Hydrogen storage methods: Review and current status. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 167, 112743.
- Uyar, T.S., Beşikci, D., 2017. Integration of hydrogen energy systems into renewable energy systems for better design of 100% renewable energy communities. Int. J. Hydrog, Energy 42, 2453–2456.
- Verhelst, S., Sierens, R., 2001. Hydrogen engine-specific properties. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 26, 987–990.
- Verhelst, S., Maesschalck, P., Rombaut, N., Sierens, R., 2009. Efficiency comparison between hydrogen and gasoline, on a bi-fuel hydrogen/gasoline engine. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 34, 2504–2510.
- Wang, Z., Roberts, R., Naterer, G., Gabriel, K., 2012. Comparison of thermochemical, electrolytic, photoelectrolytic and photochemical solar-to-hydrogen production technologies. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 37, 16287–16301.
- Wu, X., Zhang, H., Yang, M., Jia, W., Qiu, Y., Lan, L., 2022. From the perspective of new technology of blending hydrogen into natural gas pipelines transmission: mechanism, experimental study, and suggestions for further work of hydrogen embrittlement in high-strength pipeline steels. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 47, 8071–8090.
- Zeng, L., Wei, D., Toan, S., Sun, Z., Sun, Z., 2022. Sorption-enhanced chemical looping oxidative steam reforming of methanol for on-board hydrogen supply. Green. Energy Environ. 7, 145–155.
- Zhang, H., Sun, Z., Hu, Y.H., 2021. Steam reforming of methane: current states of catalyst design and process upgrading. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 149, 111330.
- Zhang, L., Jia, C., Bai, F., Wang, W., An, S., Zhao, K., et al., 2024a. A comprehensive review of the promising clean energy carrier: hydrogen production, transportation, storage, and utilization (HPTSU) technologies. Fuel 355, 129455.
- Zhang, Q., Jiao, Y., He, C., Ruan, R., Hu, J., Ren, J., et al., 2024b. Nadeem, Biological fermentation pilot-scale systems and evaluation for commercial viability towards sustainable biohydrogen production. Nat. Commun. 4539.
- Zhou, D., Sun, H., Guo, S., Zhao, D., Li, J., Zhang, Y., 2024. Hydrogen storage properties of Mg-based alloys modified with metal-organic frameworks and carbon-based porous materials: a review and summary. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 57, 1373–1388.
- Zhu, Y.-Q., Song, W., Wang, H.-B., Qi, J.-T., Zeng, R.C., Ren, H., et al., 2024. Advances in reducing hydrogen effect of pipeline steels on hydrogen-blended natural gas transportation: a systematic review of mitigation strategies. Renew. Sustain. Energy. Rev. 189, 113950.